



LATE DISTRIBUTION BOS RCVD 6-18-15

#1

BOS 6-22-15

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Public Comment on draft Biological Policy, BOS meeting 6/22/15, file no. 12-1203

1 message

Siva Dawn <dragonwood65@att.net>

Wed, Jun 17, 2015 at 11:00 PM

Reply-To: Siva Dawn <dragonwood65@att.net>

To: "bosone@edcgov.us" <bosone@edcgov.us>, "bostwo@edcgov.us" <bostwo@edcgov.us>, "bosthree@edcgov.us" <bosthree@edcgov.us>, "bosfour@edcgov.us" <bosfour@edcgov.us>, "bosfive@edcgov.us" <bosfive@edcgov.us>, "edc.cob@edcgov.us" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>, "shawna.purvines@edcgov.us" <shawna.purvines@edcgov.us>

Subject: Public Comment on draft Biological Policy, BOS meeting 6/22/15, file no. 12-1203

Dear Supervisors:

Please do not eliminate the oak canopy retention standards from the draft biological policies. We value the oak woodland in our area and do not want it to be replaced elsewhere! Allowing 100% tree removal is not acceptable.

Thank you for your consideration.

Siva Dawn



EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Public Comment on draft Biological Policy BOS meeting June 22, 2015

1 message

Mary Lou Giles <mlgiles18@yahoo.com>

Thu, Jun 18, 2015 at 8:36 AM

Reply-To: Mary Lou Giles <mlgiles18@yahoo.com>

To: The BOSONE <bosone@edcgov.us>, The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us>, The BOSTHREE <bosthree@edcgov.us>, The BOSFOUR <bosfour@edcgov.us>, The BOSFIVE <bosfive@edcgov.us>, EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Cc: Shawna Purvines <shawna.purvines@edcgov.us>

Dear Supervisors Mikulaco, Frentzen, Veerkamp, Ranalli, and Novasel:

Please **do not eliminate the oak canopy retention standards from the draft biological policies**. We value the oak woodland in our area. Among other things, the oaks create habitat for native birds, animals, and understory plants. They also create a landscape we residents value for its beauty and serenity. Allowing unfettered destruction of this resource is against the best interests of the residents of this county.

The "mitigation" measures fail the logic test. How can planting oak seedlings or acorns on property miles away be any kind of replacement for the oak woodlands in your own neighborhood?! The same goes for in lieu payments to buy conservation easements miles away. It's pretty obvious that these "mitigation" measures are just a way to enable developers to profit at the expense of county residents.

Allowing 100% tree removal is not acceptable. Keep the oak canopy retention standards!

I consider this issue to be a critical test of your willingness and ability to protect the biological resources of this county, and of your respect for the lifestyle so many of us cherish.

Sincerely,
Mary Lou Giles



EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Public comment on draft biological policy, bos meeting 6/22/15 file no. 12-1203

1 message

Melanie Kramer <melaniekramer2@aol.com>

Thu, Jun 18, 2015 at 11:13 AM

To: "cc:" <bosfive@edcgov.us>, "To:" <bosone@edcgov.us>, "<edc.cob@edcgov.us>" <edc.cob@edcgov.us>, "<bostwo@edcgov.us>" <bostwo@edcgov.us>, "<bostthree@edcgov.us>" <bostthree@edcgov.us>, "<bosfour@edcgov.us>" <bosfour@edcgov.us>

Cc: "shawna.purvines@edcgov.us" <shawna.purvines@edcgov.us>

Dear Supervisors:

Please do not eliminate the oak canopy retention standards from draft biological policies. We value the woodland in our area and do not want it to be replaced elsewhere. The purpose of the General Plan is to preserve the quality of life in El Dorado County. Oak trees take a long time to grow and are not easily replaced. Allowing 100% tree removal is not acceptable. The developers can revise their plans. Thank you for your consideration.

Melanie Kramer
Shingle Springs