Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county
The BOSTWO to: Cynthia C Johnson 11/15/2011 08:19 AM
Sent by: **Kitty J. Miller**

Item 37

Thank you,

Ray Nutting, Chairman
El Dorado County Board of Supervisors
530) 621-5651

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Don Duncan to: bostwo 11/14/2011 09:29 PM

Please respond to don

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under

California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Don Duncan
1759 Silver Lake Blvd.
Los Angeles, CA 90026

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Brennon Yarbrough to: bostwo 11/14/2011 09:33 PM

Please respond to Brennonyarbrough

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS,

Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Brennon Yarbrough
306 Brentwood rd
306 Brentwood rd
Roseville, CA 95678

---- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM ----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Beatrice Orsot to: bostwo 11/14/2011 09:33 PM

Please respond to baorsot 3

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you

must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Beatrice Orsot
4132 Stonecutter Way
North Highlands, CA 95660

---- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM ----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Sarah Hadlock to: bostwo

11/14/2011 09:36 PM

Please respond to sjhadlock

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Sarah Hadlock
PO Box 1547
po box 1547
Shingle Springs, CA 95682

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Bruce Odelberg to: bostwo 11/14/2011 09:38 PM

Please respond to bruceodelberg

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Bruce Odelberg
33900 Dangberg Drive
Kirkwood, CA 95646

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Scott Herman to: bostwo 11/14/2011 09:43 PM

Please respond to scott .herman

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Scott Herman
89 Mossglen Circle
Sacramento, CA 95826

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Fred Azimi to: bostwo 11/14/2011 09:43 PM

Please respond to sales

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they

adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Fred Azimi
5816 Roseville Rd
#10
Sacramento, CA 95842

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

jeremy holst to: bostwo 11/14/2011 09:46 PM

Please respond to jholst 1999

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

jeremy holst
PO Box 18005
890 lakeview
South Lake Tahoe, CA 96151

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

David Price to: bostwo 11/14/2011 09:47 PM

Please respond to dprice 1

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

David Price
2800 Pleasant St
Placerville, CA 95667

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Max Dobeck to: bostwo 11/14/2011 09:53 PM

Please respond to dobeck

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Max Dobeck
11677 Melones Circle
Gold River, CA 95670

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Jeffrey Brown to: bostwo 11/14/2011 09:54 PM

Please respond to metasports

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of

marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Jeffrey Brown
1501 Secret Ravine Pkwy
1710 Gateforth Drive
Roseville, CA 95661

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Dave Kempker to: bostwo 11/14/2011 10:02 PM

Please respond to dlkempker

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Dave Kempker
724 Ramon Court
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Robert Krikourian to: bostwo 11/14/2011 10:14 PM

Please respond to krikour

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of

medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Robert Krikourian
4100 Milano Ct
El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Debbie deBord to: bostwo 11/14/2011 10:15 PM

Please respond to diverdeb 51

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions

that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Debbie deBord
2806 Hidden Springs Circle
Placerville, CA 95667

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Brian Goobic to: bostwo 11/14/2011 10:28 PM

Please respond to b .goobic

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on

cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Brian Goobic
PO BOX 368
Shingle Springs, CA 95682

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

jack stevens to: bostwo 11/14/2011 10:33 PM

Please respond to tessie

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

jack stevens
2279 sutter tr
s lake tahoe, CA 96150

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

jack stevens to: bostwo 11/14/2011 10:33 PM

Please respond to tessie

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical

cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

jack stevens
2279 sutter tr
s lake tahoe, CA 96150

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Karen Byng to: bostwo 11/14/2011 11:02 PM

Please respond to kbsnail

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under

California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Karen Byng
351 Alta Ave
Roseville, CA 95678

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Brett Hopkins to: bostwo 11/15/2011 12:12 AM

Please respond to brettwhopkins

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS,

Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Brett Hopkins
6310 Oak Hill Dr.,
Granite Bay, CA 95746

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Bruce Lieberman to: bostwo 11/15/2011 12:55 AM

Please respond to lieberman

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to

influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Bruce Lieberman
12635 Main Street #214
GARDEN GROVE, CA 92840

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Jason Bowman to: bostwo 11/15/2011 04:00 AM

Please respond to xyamuchax

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide

California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Jason Bowman
4361 Turnbridge Dr
Sacramento, CA 95823

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 11/15/2011 08:17 AM -----

Don't ban safe access to medical cannabis in our county

Candy Bowman to: bostwo 11/15/2011 04:29 AM

Please respond to canbowring

Dear Supervisor,

In response to the the October 4, 2011, decision by the Second Appellate Court in Pack vs. City of Long Beach, you and your colleagues may be considering significant changes in our medical cannabis policy. In this conversation, you must take into consideration that using this decision to influence your decisions will substantially inhibit the ability of legal patients to acquire and use cannabis for medical purposes. That is not what voters wanted when they adopted Proposition 215, calling on elected representatives "to implement a plan for the safe and affordable distribution of marijuana to all patients in medical need of marijuana."

Most of the legal patients in our community rely on cooperatives and collectives for safe and reliable access to their doctor-recommended medicine. Banning medical cannabis patients' associations or delaying implementation of local regulations means many patients will not get the medicine they need today to treat the symptoms of cancer, HIV/AIDS, Multiple Sclerosis, chronic pain, and other serious conditions.

Furthermore, it is important to understand the following points from the Pack decision, when considering how it will impact our regulatory process:

1. The Pack decision does not strike down local cannabis ordinances in their entirely, but only portions that involve "permitting" of cooperatives and collectives. Regulations regarding safety, operations, and locations are not prohibited.

2. The Pack decision is not yet final under California law. The City of Long Beach is seeking an appeal before the California Supreme Court.

3. The narrow finding of federal preemption in the Pack decision likely applies only with in California's

Second Appellate District.

4. The Pack decision continues to uphold the right of municipalities to consider the decriminalization of medical cannabis access centers.

Research conducted by Americans for Safe Access (ASA), the nation's leading medical cannabis patients' advocacy organization, shows that sensible regulations for medical cannabis reduce crime and complaints. Please read more from ASA about the Pack decision at http://safeaccessnow.org/downloads/Pack_Letter.pdf

Please continue to uphold regulations that provide California medical cannabis patients with access to their medication though legal cooperatives and collectives.

Thank you.

Candy Bowman
4361 Turnbridge Dr
Sacramento, CA 95823