


#27 public comment

 Outlook

ARCO Gas Station in Shingle Springs

LATE DISTRIBUTION

From Surjit Singh Dhillon <dhillon_surjit@hotmail.com>

DATE 2/3/26

Date Mon 2/2/2026 4:41 PM

To BOS-Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

The ARCO gas station in Shingle Springs, CA will increase a huge safety issues for the area residents and businesses. We request that you please delay the ARCO project until all the scheduled roadwork has been completed.

Regards,
Surjit S. Dhillon
Cell:916.416.2901
Sent from my iPhone



public comment
27 25-2068

~~LATE DISTRIBUTION~~
DATE: 2/3/26

Re: 25-2068 Appeal Durock Road AM/PM conditional use permit CUP 23-0007

From Sue Taylor <suetaylor530@gmail.com>

Date Tue 2/3/2026 1:15 PM

To BOS-District I <bosone@edcgov.us>; BOS-District V <bosfive@edcgov.us>; BOS-District II <bostwo@edcgov.us>; BOS-District IV <bosfour@edcgov.us>; BOS-District III <bosthree@edcgov.us>; BOS-Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

Report Suspicious

Re: 25-2068 Appeal Durock Road AM/PM conditional use permit CUP 23-0007:

The findings explain the definition of "worsen", but the finding does not say that this project will worsen the traffic, since it will due to the addition of more that 10 trips during peak hours.

The developer has proposed several iterations of their proposed traffic and parking base on future projects from the County. How could the Planning Commission make a clear decision based on incomplete information or from information not clear, coming in the future? They could not, nor were they given complete information from their Planning and Transportation Staff to make an informed decision.

The County cannot allow mitigation based on future projects. They County, by law, must look at the current conditions when approving a project;

Currently the intersection of Durock Road and South Shingle function at Level of Service F.

According to TC-Xa(2) "The County shall not add any additional segments of U.S. Highway 50, or any other highways and roads, to the County's list of roads from the original Table TC-2 of the 2004 General Plan that are allowed to operate at Level of Service F without first getting the voters' approval." Therefore, since this is a discretionary project and will be allowed to operate at Level of Service F, it must be put up for a vote.

The Configuration of the proposed design for circulation violates El Dorado County Standard in Table TC-1 of the General Plan;

Per General Plan Policy TC-1b "In order to provide safe, efficient roads, all roads should incorporate the cross sectional road features set forth in Table TC-1."

The County cannot give public land to a private developer. To facilitate the desired project, they are using the public right of way from Durock Road and the Park and Ride for required capacity.

The Developer must reduce the project and propose a project that can only have egress and ingress from Durock Road. Durock Road is the only access that is currently available.

This developer has admitted to predatory practices in his comments. Is this legal?

We ask that the Board of Supervisors approve this appeal and deny the project. If that is not possible for this Board to consider, we ask that you deny the car wash and ask the developer to

come back with a project that fits on the parcel and does not use public properties. Or they can wait until the County provides the improvements that might make the project possible.

Thank you,

Sue Taylor

And Sue Taylor for Save Our County