Regarding Project: APN 331-420-12, consisting of 24.3 acres, in the El Dorado area, submitted by Michael, Robin, and Joshua McCann.

Dear Supervisor,

I have some concerns about this project that I request you seriously consider:

1. This project is being presented as a Mitigated Negative Declaration. The impact of this along with the 19 other projects proposed for the Diamond Springs-El Dorado area are ignoring the CEQA requirement that cumulative impacts be considered and properly mitigated.

2. The request for a design waiver to reduce the sidewalks from six feet to four feet wide should not be approved. This subdivision, as you know, is between two schools. Reducing the width of the sidewalks endangers pedestrians and deters parents from allowing their children the joy, exercise and freedom of walking to and from school.

3. From the Minutes of a Planning Commission meeting regarding the project, there was significant discussion about how the proposed development will add more pressure to existing roadways, particularly access to Union Mine High School and how it may affect the student drop-off location. I can tell you as a parent of two high school students. That inadequate mitigation of this issue will cause many of your constituents to question both your and the Planning Department's motives and abilities regarding future projects.

4. The Union Mine Road issue may also become significant in regards to ingress and egress at Union Mine Landfill, which, based on your Board's directions to the Environmental Management Department is being studied as a possible location for the county's new Materials Recovery Facility (MRF) and other trash-to-cash options. It may turn out that Union Mine Road won't be used as ingress and egress for the landfill at all and that a new roadway will be cut-in from Highway 49 instead. But I ask that you consider this possibility and not limit your options regarding Union Mine landfill in regards to the McCann project.

Respectfully,

Michael Mueller, RCDD, DCCA
Placerville

Regarding Project: APN 331-420-12, consisting of 24.3 acres, in the El Dorado area, submitted by Michael, Robin, and Joshua McCann

Dear Supervisor,

I have some concerns about this project that I request you seriously consider:

1. This project is being presented as a Mitigated Negative Declaration. The impact of this along with the 19 other projects proposed for the Diamond Springs-El Dorado area are ignoring the CEQA requirement that cumulative impacts be considered and properly mitigated.

2. The request for a design waiver to reduce the sidewalks from six feet to four feet wide should not be approved. This subdivision, as you know, is between two schools. Reducing the width of the sidewalks endangers pedestrians and deters parents from allowing their children the joy, exercise and freedom of walking to and from school.

3. From the Minutes of a Planning Commission meeting regarding the project, there was significant discussion about how the proposed development will add more pressure to existing roadways, particularly access to Union Mine High School and how it may affect the student drop-off location. I can tell you as a parent of two high school students that inadequate mitigation of this issue will cause many of your constituents to question both your and the Planning Department's motives and abilities regarding future projects.

4. The Union Mine Road issue may also become significant in regards to ingress and egress at Union Mine Landfill, which, based on your Board's directions to the Environmental Management Department, is being studied as a possible location for the county's new Materials Recovery Facility (MRF) and other trash-to-cash options. It may turn out that Union Mine Road won't be used as ingress and egress for the landfill at all and that a new roadway will be cut-in from Highway 49 instead. But I ask that you consider this possibility and not limit your options regarding Union Mine landfill in regards to the McCann project.

Respectfully,

Michael Mueller, RCDD, DCCA
Placerville