

EL DORADO COUNTY

2008 STATE LEGISLATIVE PLATFORM



*Chief Administrative Office
&
Peterson Consulting Inc.*

February 6, 2008

Table of Contents

General Principles.....	4
Angora Fire Issues	5
1. DISASTER ASSISTANCE REIMBURSEMENT	5
2. ANGORA FIRE PROPERTY TAX REIMBURSEMENT	5
Agriculture	6
3. WILLIAMSON ACT FUNDING	6
4. NONPOINT SOURCE POLLUTION AGRICULTURAL DISCHARGES	6
Assessor	6
5. PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM.....	6
Child Support.....	7
6. FEDERAL AUTOMATION PENALTIES	7
Elections.....	7
7. Presidential Primary Reimbursement.....	7
Environmental Management.....	8
8. NATURALLY OCCURRING ASBESTOS	8
9. WEST NILE VIRUS.....	8
10. STATEWIDE ONSITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT (OWTS) REGULATIONS – AB 885.....	8
11. FOREST MANAGEMENT AND FIRE PROTECTION	9
General Services	9
12. COURT FACILITIES TRANSFER.....	9
Human Services	10
13. ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES FUNDING	10
14. UPDATE CASELOAD STANDARDS FOR CHILD WELFARE SERVICES.....	11
15. “COST OF DOING BUSINESS” FUNDING.....	11
16. IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES FUNDING	12
17. CHILD WELFARE SERVICES FUNDING	12
18. AUTOMATION PROJECTS	13
Library.....	13
19. PUBLIC LIBRARY FOUNDATION FUNDING	13

Probation.....	13
20. PROPOSITION 36 (Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act of 2000)	13
21. JUVENILE JUSTICE CRIME PREVENTION ACT	14
22. JUVENILE PROBATION AND CAMPS PROGRAM.....	14
23. STANDARDS FOR TRAINING FOR CORRECTIONS.....	15
Public Health.....	15
24. REALIGNMENT	15
Transportation.....	16
25. PROPOSITION 1B	16
Veterans Services.....	16
26. VETERAN SERVICES FUNDING.....	16

GENERAL PRINCIPLES

El Dorado County's Legislative Platform is a statement of the goals and priorities of the Board of Supervisors and establishes the basis for its advocacy efforts with the Legislature and Administration of the State of California. The Platform contains broad goals and specific legislative proposals of interest to El Dorado County and its citizens.

Advocate for maximum local control in the allocation of resources and administration of local programs and oppose efforts that will hinder or limit the County's ability to self govern.

Support legislation that increases the opportunity for discretionary revenues and programmatic and financial flexibility for the County.

Oppose legislation that would shift costs from the State to the County for new or transferred mandated programs that do not contain their own revenue sources.

Support legislation that protects the County's quality of life, its diverse natural resources, and continued preservation of agricultural lands, wildlife habitat, and open space.

Seek cooperation with the State on regulatory and administrative issues affecting the County, to ensure the protection and well being of its citizens.

Support legislation that encourages local agencies and governments to cooperate for the betterment of the community, and encourage and expand voluntary regional solutions to regional problems.

ANGORA FIRE ISSUES

1. DISASTER ASSISTANCE REIMBURSEMENT

Background: In June, 2007 the Angora Fire burned 3,100 acres and destroyed 257 homes near Lake Tahoe. California officials declared a State of Emergency in El Dorado County due to the Angora Fire on June 25, 2007. To date, El Dorado County has spent over \$1 million due for initial incident management, structural debris removal, hazard tree removal, erosion control, and rebuilding activities. Many of these costs are extraordinary due to the environmental sensitivity of the Lake Tahoe area. In addition, on February 5, 2008 the Board of Supervisors approved the establishment of a new building permit center to process building permit applications from those who lost homes or other structures in the fire.

The California Disaster Assistance Act authorizes the Director of the Governor's Office of Emergency Services to administer a disaster assistance program that provides financial assistance from the State for costs incurred by local governments as a result of a disaster event. In general the State share for these costs is 75%.

Legislative Platform:

- Support legislative or administrative efforts to reimburse El Dorado County for costs incurred as a result of response to, and recovery from the Angora Fire, including the Angora Building Permit Center.

2. ANGORA FIRE PROPERTY TAX REIMBURSEMENT

Background: In June, 2007 the Angora Fire burned 3,100 acres and destroyed 257 homes near Lake Tahoe. California officials declared a State of Emergency in El Dorado County due to the Angora Fire on June 25, 2007. Section 170 of the Revenue and Taxation Code allows for adjustment to the tax roll as a result of disaster. In September, 2007 the Legislature passed and the Governor signed Assembly Bill 62 to reimburse El Dorado County for property taxes lost as a result of reassessment for damages. El Dorado County's FY 2006-07 property tax loss as a result of the Angora Fire was approximately \$11,000. However, the losses for FY 2007-08 are approximately \$64.5 million in assessed valuation, resulting in a general fund loss to the County of \$161,000. The Assessor also estimates a FY 2008-09 general fund loss of \$80,600. AB 62 only stated the intent of the Legislature to make the required reimbursement for FY 2006-07 in the annual Budget Act but did not mention losses through FY 2007-08 and beyond.

Legislative Platform:

- Support inclusion of El Dorado County's Angora Fire FY 2006-07 property tax reimbursement in the state budget.
- Sponsor legislation to provide property tax relief reimbursement to El Dorado County for the FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 losses incurred due to the Angora Fire.

AGRICULTURE

3. WILLIAMSON ACT FUNDING

Background: Passed in 1965, the Williamson Act is a property tax exemption designed to keep agricultural and open space land free of development and give local governments a tool to use in implementing land use planning goals. The Act provides financial recovery to local jurisdictions that approve Williamson Act contracts. There is great concern that the State may attempt to discontinue the Williamson Act Program and the local government reimbursement that is currently used to supplement the property tax exemption for contracted Williamson Act lands. El Dorado County's Williamson Act subvention from the State is approximately \$50,000.

Legislative Platform:

- Continued support for Williamson Act that provides financial recovery to local jurisdictions that approve Williamson Act contracts.

4. NONPOINT SOURCE POLLUTION AGRICULTURAL DISCHARGES

Background: The State's Regional Water Quality Control Board has eliminated the exemption for agricultural discharges and is requiring farmers and ranchers to file for individual discharge permits or be included under the permit of a watershed group. The added regulatory burden for agriculture ignores the relatively minor effect of the vast majority of the agricultural operations.

Legislative Platform:

- Support legislation that requires the State to appropriate funding to develop a science-based water quality-monitoring program based upon a watershed approach. The overall water quality within a watershed is directly related to soil conditions, terrain, mineralogy, geology, and the activities of all parties within the watershed, not farmers and ranchers exclusively.

ASSESSOR

5. PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATION PROGRAM

Background: This program provided \$60 million to counties to assist in paying for the assessment operations of property taxes. The final 2005-2006 budget negotiations eliminated the Property Tax Administration Grant program, and there remains significant reticence to provide state funding for the property tax system, despite the efforts being made to develop alternative proposals to restore this funding. The elimination of PTAP grants in the FY 2005-06 State Budget represented a funding loss of nearly \$303,000.

Legislative Platform:

- Support restoration of funding in the State Budget for the either the costs associated with the schools share of property tax administration or restore the grants program.

CHILD SUPPORT

6. FEDERAL AUTOMATION PENALTIES

Background: Federal fiscal penalties were levied against California totaling \$220 million for fiscal year 2004-05. Counties are not responsible for the State's failure to implement a single, statewide-automated child support collection system (by Oct. 1, 1997) as required in the federal guidelines of the 1988 Family Support Act. California has not paid penalties for 2006 and has applied for relief in a timely fashion. At this point the Federal government has not pursued any penalty payments and is in the process to verify the alternative system configuration meets federal automation standards. If California passes the configuration and system review, California will be relieved from future penalties. If the review concludes that California has not meet the federal automation standards and are in compliance the FY 2005, FY 2006 and FY 2007 penalties will become due.

El Dorado County supports the automation projects, and agreed to be a pilot for the Statewide conversion, which successfully occurred on 5/1/07. Our agreeing to be a pilot shows our commitment as a county, to the effort of full automation and permanent penalty relief.

In FY 2003-04 the State of California passed through those federal penalties to counties and El Dorado County's share that year exceeded \$450,000.

Legislative Platform:

- Continue to oppose any attempt by the State to pass on federal automation penalties imposed on the state to the counties.
- Support the State backfill of lost Federal matching incentive revenues.

ELECTIONS

7. PRESIDENTIAL PRIMARY REIMBURSEMENT

County reimbursement costs for the February Presidential Primary election are not included in the Governor's 2008-09 Budget proposal. The Governor's Office has indicated that since claims for reimbursement associated with conducting the February 5 election will not be available until mid-May, these costs would be addressed in the May Revision.

Legislative Platform:

- Support reimbursement to counties for the costs of conducting the February 5 Presidential Primary Election.

ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

8. NATURALLY OCCURRING ASBESTOS

Background: In a previous legislative cycle former Senator Ortiz of Sacramento introduced SB 655. This measure failed passage but a new version could be reintroduced this session. The measure contained broad sweeping requirements that included:

The State Geologist to compile maps identifying asbestos hazard zones, and, upon completion, to submit those asbestos hazard maps to the State Mining and Geology Board and all affected cities, counties, and state agencies for review and comment,

Disclosure to any prospective transferee the fact that the property is located within an asbestos hazard zone when real property is transferred, and

The California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA) to convene a task force to evaluate, compile policies and suggest guidance policies and guidelines for best management practices to mitigate the risks associated with naturally occurring asbestos (NOA) for use by cities and counties.

Of the forty-four counties where NOA exists El Dorado County has the most stringent dust mitigation measures and serves as a leader in NOA mitigation and dust control activities.

Legislative Platform:

- Monitor all NOA legislation and regularly report to the Board of Supervisors.

9. WEST NILE VIRUS

Background: West Nile Virus (WNV) has had a significant impact on the State of California and the local agencies responsible for protecting the public health and safety. In 2005 and 2006, the state provided an additional \$12 million and \$3 million respectively to enhance the resources of existing mosquito control programs, and to expand efforts in areas of the state not covered by mosquito control services. El Dorado County received a portion of this funding.

Legislative Platform:

- Support continued funding to local agencies for the control of WNV.
- Support legislation and industry in the registration of public health pesticides.
- Support legislation and activities that promote public education regarding the safe use of public health pesticides and the precautions to prevent WNV.

10. STATEWIDE ONSITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT (OWTS) REGULATIONS – AB 885

Background: AB 885 (Jackson), signed into law by Governor Gray Davis in September 2000, directed the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB), in consultation with numerous stakeholders including the California Department of Health Services, the California Conference of Directors of Environmental Health, counties, cities and other interested parties, to develop statewide regulations for onsite wastewater treatment systems (i.e., septic systems). AB 885 required the adoption of these regulations by the SWRCB by January 1, 2004, and implementation by local agencies six months later. The regulations proposed to date by SWRCB staff have been met with much opposition from stakeholders for several key reasons: The stake holder's concerns and recommendations were largely ignored by SWRCB staff; the proposed regulations take a one size fits all approach and do not accommodate the state's geologic diversity; the proposed regulations are financially burdensome to implement to both local jurisdictions and property owners; and the proposed regulations are based upon questionable scientific studies and conclusions.

Legislative Platform:

- Oppose the development of prescriptive regulations that mandate local jurisdictions to absorb the cost of implementation without State reimbursement.
- Support flexible, reasonable, science based, cost effective regulations that are developed with the input and support of interested stakeholders, such as the California Conference of Directors of Environmental Health.

11. FOREST MANAGEMENT AND FIRE PROTECTION

Background: The Angora Fire near Lake Tahoe and the catastrophic fires in Southern California demonstrate the need for a cohesive fire safe strategy that reduces the volatile high-risk forest fuels, encourages sound land use practices, and ensures defensible space for protection of life and property.

Legislative Platform:

- Support legislative efforts that will increase coordination, collaboration, and communication between the state and local governments in the development of better land use policies and wildland fuel management programs.
- Oppose forestry and fire protection legislation that preempts local land use authority, or requires additional local forest management review or fire related preparedness without corresponding state compensation.

GENERAL SERVICES

12. COURT FACILITIES TRANSFER

Background: The Trial Court Facilities Act of 2002 (TCFA, SB 1732, Escutia, Chapter 1082, Statutes of 2002) established a process for the transfer of responsibility for court facilities from counties to the state, and for calculating a county facility payment (CFP) to be paid to the state for those facilities that transfer. Senate Bill 1732 requires that all court facilities transfer from the counties to the state by June 30, 2007. A number of issues have slowed transfers to the state,

including seismic safety ratings, general process issues, and the methodology for calculating the CFP. In 2007, The California State Association of Counties (CSAC) and the California Judicial Council sponsored SB145 from Senator Ellen Corbett. Now a two-year bill SB 145 extends the transfer deadline to December 31, 2008 and establishes agreement regarding CFP's. As of February, 2008 the legislative vehicle to be used for court transfers authorization appears to be AB 1491 authored by Assemblymember Dave Jones (Assembly Judiciary Chair).

Legislative Platform:

- Support legislation that extends the deadline for transfer and establishing CFP's favorable to El Dorado County.

HUMAN SERVICES

13. ADULT PROTECTIVE SERVICES FUNDING

Background: In 2000, the State established law regulating a statewide response to elderly and disabled persons suspected of being victims of abuse or neglect. Current funding for these programs is inadequate. Referrals have increased 72% between 2000 and 2005 in El Dorado County. Additionally, in 2005 the Governor approved legislation that requires financial institutions to also become mandatory reporters of suspected abuse, further increasing the referrals for county investigations and requests for supportive services.

The APS Program is funded through a combination of State General Fund, County Services Block Grant (CSBG) funds, and County Maintenance of Effort (MOE). Funding for this program has remained stagnant since State Fiscal Year 2002/03, and has not kept up with inflation or the increased demand for services created by aging populations throughout the state. This is despite the fact that between January 2004 and June 2006, there was an 18% increase in the number of active cases carried by social workers each month. In addition, "confirmed" and "inconclusive" case reports jumped by 40% during this same time period. This indicates that mandated reporters and the public are doing exceptionally well in making reports to local APS agencies. Unfortunately, Local APS programs are straining to meet the increased demand without jeopardizing the health and safety of elderly and dependent adult abuse victims. A survey by the Adult Services Committee of several counties found that, under limited funding and resources, cases are being closed earlier—at the point where the elder and dependent adult is safe from harm, yet without all of the supports and services needed by the victim. Between 2001 and 2005, there was a 21% decrease in the time cases remained open for the 7 counties surveyed, moving from an average of 61 days to an average of just 46 days. Securing additional supports and services can be a time-consuming process. This is made more problematic because of diminishing local resources and increasing caseloads.

Legislative Platform:

- Support legislation that will provide for increased funding for Adult Protective Services and that allows for additional flexibility for counties to implement innovative programs.

14. UPDATE CASELOAD STANDARDS FOR CHILD WELFARE SERVICES

Background: The 2006-07 Budget Act directed the California Department of Social Services to work with CWDA to develop a proposed budgeting methodology for child welfare services by February 1, 2007 using available research. These discussions have begun and are continuing to move forward. Previous studies have confirmed what the field had long believed: that caseloads are significantly higher than existing mandates let alone institute best practices in the child welfare field. Since that time, even more requirements have been instituted, exacerbating the problem.

Legislative Platform:

- Support the California Welfare Directors Association efforts to maintain as a budget priority the need to update the budgeting methodology to reflect appropriate workload standards.

15. “COST OF DOING BUSINESS” FUNDING

Over the past several years, counties have not received funding to account for the true cost of operating their programs such as *child welfare, Adult Protective Services, and food stamps administration*. According to the Schwarzenegger Administration’s own estimates, the state should be paying counties \$835 million more each year for administering these and several other important human services programs. The provision of annual Cost of Doing Business (CODB) increases was discontinued for most programs in the 2001-02 budget. Medi-Cal is the one exception, in which CODB increases were reinstated in 2003-04 in exchange for the creation of a system of performance standards related to eligibility determination and redetermination. In the 2006-07 budget negotiations, the legislature adopted statutory language requiring the State Department of Social Services to develop, with California Welfare Directors Association (CWDA), a cost-based budgeting methodology for county administration of human services programs. Beginning with the May Revision of the 2007-08 budget the budget documents shall compare the methodology estimate with the amount budgeted. However, the language stopped short of requiring that the estimate be fully funded.

Update: The proposed 08-09 budget eliminates CODB for Medi-Cal as well as includes a 2.5% cut to the base, but retains the performance standards requirement that was created in exchange for CODB reinstatement. Also of concern is that the state faces federal sanctions if Food Stamp program errors rise above 7.4% two years in a row, while there is no CODB increase for this program.

In addition, The County Counsels’ Association has opined that not funding increases to counties for costs to administer programs on behalf of the state amounts to a cost shift triggering the mandate reimbursement provisions of Proposition 1A. As amended by the proposition, Article 13B, section 6 of the State Constitution states, in part: “A mandated new program or higher level of services includes a transfer by the Legislature from the State to cities, counties, cities and counties, or special districts of complete or partial financial responsibility for a required program for which the State previously had complete or partial financial responsibility.” Requiring

counties to absorb cost increases for county administration of programs has increased the county share of program costs beyond the statutory sharing ratios and, over time, will nearly eliminate the statutorily required state share of program costs.

Legislative Platform:

- Advocate for funding across county human services programs that recognize the actual cost of operating county-operated human services programs, including eligibility, services and automation.

16. IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES FUNDING

Background: As required by state law, El Dorado County established an IHSS program and a Public Authority that provides a registry service for the program that provides critical in-home care for Medi-Cal eligible elderly and disabled persons. El Dorado County's Public Authority negotiated, in good faith, a reasonable wage for county IHSS providers, based on an existing state-county reimbursement formula. State funding reductions will reduce the care available for eligible disabled and elderly persons who need assistance to remain in their homes independently, or will increase unbudgeted county costs. Out of home care is significantly more costly than In-Home Supportive Services.

Legislative Platform:

- Support legislation or budget proposals that will maintain or increase funding for In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS).
- Support legislation that would require the state to annually reimburse any county with a population of 250,000 or less for 100% of the costs of providing IHSS services and personal care services for all caseloads in excess of 1.4% of the county's population.

17. CHILD WELFARE SERVICES FUNDING

Background: In 2004, counties were required to implement program/system redesign and improvements to meet federal and state requirements. These requirements have been implemented with an inadequate increase in funding. The Child Welfare Services system continues to be significantly under funded. It is estimated that each county is currently under funded by 30-40%.

Legislative Platform:

- Support adequate state funding for the Child Welfare Services system in order to meet the federal and state requirements of this program. The increased funding should provide for more local flexibility to determine appropriate priorities, expenditures and innovative programs.

18. AUTOMATION PROJECTS

The proposed FY 2008-09 budget cuts all funding for migration from the antiquated ISAWS system to C-IV. It is anticipated state and counties will pay more for maintenance of ISAWS than C-IV. Changes to the ISAWS system typically required thousands of programmer hours, and a significant amount of county staff time, while C-IV uses current technology and updates to the system are cost effective and efficient.

Legislative Platform:

- Support adequate funding for migration from the ISAWS system to C-IV.

LIBRARY

19. PUBLIC LIBRARY FOUNDATION FUNDING

Background: In the last 5 years, the State has reduced Public Library Foundation (PLF) funding by more than \$40 million. The funding is provided to public libraries on a per capita matching basis that is adjusted annually. Loss of PLF funding would result in a direct loss in the library's operating revenue, adversely impacting the Library's materials budget, equipment, and facilities maintenance. In addition, the 2008-09 Budget proposal would cut \$5.1 million from the State Library, further reducing support to local libraries and reimbursements for inter- and intra-library loan programs.

Legislative Platform:

- Support legislation or budget proposals that maintain or increase the FY 2005-06 level of Public Library Foundation (PLF) funding in the State Budget.

PROBATION

20. PROPOSITION 36 (SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND CRIME PREVENTION ACT OF 2000)

Background: Proposition 36, approved by California voters, enacted the SACPA program. The program provides, in lieu of incarceration, treatment and supervision for persons with addiction problems that have committed drug-related crimes. El Dorado County services approximately 535 persons per year in programs that are an alternative to incarceration with an allocation of approximately \$585,567. Agencies providing services with this funding include community based treatment providers, Probation and the Health Department. In the annual Budget Bill for 2006/2007, \$120 million was appropriated for Prop. 36 and allocated to counties based on the SACPA allocation methodology. The Governor's Budget proposal for FY 2008-09 seeks a ten percent reduction in Proposition 36 funding.

It should be noted that with Probation's reduction in SACPA funding, the Probation Department had to request an additional \$84,554 from general fund in FY 2006/2007 to continue providing mandated services. Currently, the program consists of three (3) FTE Probation Officers, two (2) in Placerville and one (1) in SLT to support caseload demands.

Legislative Platform:

- Support continued state funding at actual costs (\$140 million) for the "Substance Abuse and Crime Prevention Act (SACPA)" of 2000.

21. JUVENILE JUSTICE CRIME PREVENTION ACT

Background: El Dorado County Probation Department receives Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (JJCPA) funding administered by the Corrections Standards Authority (CSA). The intent of the Legislations (AB1913) was to create programs that reduce delinquency and address juvenile crime including prevention, intervention, suppression, and incapacitation. El Dorado County Probation developed a program (CART) with this funding in FY 2000/2001 and was forced to reduce services when funding was cut by approximately 15% in 2004/2005 by one (1) FTE Deputy Probation Officer. The Governor's Budget proposal for FY 2008-09 seeks a ten percent reduction in Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention funding.

The 2006 the State Budget Act increased the JJCPA allocation from \$110M to \$119M. Based on the State allocation methodology, El Dorado County received an additional \$79K in funding. This funding is being used to restore one (1) FTE Deputy Probation Officer to the program when lost in FY 2004/2005 (subject to BOS approval on 12/12/06).

CART Program:

The Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act (Formerly Crime Prevention Act of 2000, CPA 2000) provides funding for the Community Alliance to Reduce Truancy (CART) program. With the increased funding in FY 2006/2007, this program will fund six (6) Probation Officers and one (1) Supervising Probation Officer to place Probation Officers at eight West Slope High School campuses and one SLT High School Campus. This intervention program allows intensive supervision of juveniles under the jurisdiction of the Department and Juvenile Court. The focus of the program is community protection and improving the attendance and academic achievement of juvenile program participants.

Legislative Platform:

- Support Governor's budget that continues to maintain the JJCPA funding for local crime prevention at the level allocated in FY 2006/2007.

22. JUVENILE PROBATION AND CAMPS PROGRAM

The Governor's Budget proposal includes a \$24.6 million reduction in local assistance grant funding for corrections and rehabilitation. This proposal would also reduce funding for the Juvenile Probation and Camps Program which allocates funds to counties to support a broad spectrum of

county probation services targeting at-risk youth, juvenile offenders, and the families of those youth, and to support the operation of camps and ranches. El Dorado County has a camp facility in the Tahoe region which has been accepted by the Corrections Standards Authority. The county receives approximately \$54,000 from the state to help operate this facility.

Legislative Platform:

- Oppose reductions to the Juvenile Probation and Camps Program.

23. STANDARDS FOR TRAINING FOR CORRECTIONS

Background: Since 1980, the Corrections Standards Authority has administered the STC program and has reimbursed Sheriff's Departments and Probation Departments for testing and training costs incurred for Probation Officers, Correctional Officers, and Juvenile Detention Officers. The State Standards and Training Program sets the standards for the kind of training these officers should receive. STC reimbursement to local jurisdictions was eliminated in the 2003/2004 State Budget. Prior to that, the State provided subvention funds to aid counties and cities in meeting training standards. Funding was reinstated in fiscal year 2006/2007. The revenue provided by Standards and Training for Corrections (STC) funds (\$57,460 for Probation only in FY 2006/2007) will be used for expenditures for training as approved in the Annual Training Plan filed and approved pursuant to Title 15, Division 1, Chapter 1, Subchapter 1, Article 7, Section 297, California Code of Regulations.

It should be noted the newly restored revenue from CSA does not fully cover all costs related to Probation sworn staff training requirements. The BOS continues to approve County general fund dollars to supplement full program costs.

Legislative Platform:

- Support legislation or budget proposals that would continue (at same or higher level) Standards and Training for Corrections (STC) funding to local jurisdictions.

PUBLIC HEALTH

24. REALIGNMENT

Background: In 1991, the Legislature enacted the Realignment legislation transferring financial responsibility, \$2 billion, for certain health, mental health, and social services programs from the State to counties. The Realignment legislation dedicated one-half cent of the State sales tax and 24.33% of the vehicle license fee (VLF) for the increased financial burden incurred by counties. These revenue sources are now generally referred to as Realignment revenue.

During economic downturns, Realignment revenue is decreases while service demands for the realigned programs increases. This imbalance results in significant shortfalls in both the base and growth accounts. Furthermore, legislative policy changes resulted in major cost escalation in Realignment program expenditures. One example is the In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) program, an entitlement without expenditure caps. Realignment legislation increased the County's

share of non-federal IHSS program costs from 3% to 35%. In 1999, the State mandated that counties establish an employer of record for the purpose of collective bargaining for wages and benefits with IHSS providers. This law significantly raised the cost of the IHSS program. Additionally, proposed Health Reform legislation has the potential of seriously undermining the Realignment structure and in particular, funding for public health, if not handled correctly.

Legislative Platform:

- Oppose legislation that impacts the cost to counties for providing realigned services and legislation that negatively impacts the amount of realignment revenue the County receives without offering benefits to the County in exchange.

TRANSPORTATION

25. PROPOSITION 1B

Background: In November 2006, the voters passed Proposition 1B, the \$19.9 billion transportation component of the \$115.8 billion statewide infrastructure bonds. Within Proposition 1B is the \$1 billion State-Local Partnership Account. These funds will be appropriated by the Legislature, providing a dollar-for-dollar [or lesser State percentage] match for projects in counties with local transportation funds. Caltrans Director Kempton and California Transportation Commission (CTC) Director Barna have repeatedly assured El Dorado County Transportation Commission that this account is for counties with transportation sales tax programs or uniform developer fees that can match state funds. Both El Dorado County and the City of Placerville are candidates for these funds utilizing TIM fees as the local match contribution. Since FY 1995-96, El Dorado County has contributed 62% or \$163,228,327 toward Highway 50 improvement projects within the county.

Legislative Platform:

- Support legislation that will include uniform developer fees as an eligible local match for State transportation bonds funding.

VETERANS SERVICES

26. VETERAN SERVICES FUNDING

Background: The Veterans Services department's mission is to assist veterans with any facet of their military experience and the administration of laws resulting from such service as well as to assist their dependents and survivors through entitlement assistance and successful litigation of claims to the U.S. Government. The funding for veteran services is primarily all county dollars, nearly \$400,000 last year. The Military and Veterans Code allows the State Department of Veterans Affairs to appropriate up to \$5 million statewide to augment county dollars.

Legislative Platform:

- Support legislation to increase the state subvention to the \$5 million provided for in M&V Code Section 972.1(d).