Cindy Johnson <cynthia.johnson@edcgov.us>



Fwd: WPI Phase 1A Funding Assistance

1 message

Kimberly Kerr < kimberly.kerr@edcgov.us>

Mon, Sep 24, 2012 at 12:18 PM

To: Cynthia C Johnson < cynthia.johnson@edcgov.us>

Cc: Terri Daly <theresa.daly@edcgov.us>, Joe Ham <joe.ham@edcgov.us>

Cindy,

Please add to the agenda item for 9/25/12.

---- Forwarded message -----

From: "Theresa Daly" <theresa.daly@edcgov.us>

Date: Sep 24, 2012 12:14 PM

Subject: Fwd: WPI Phase 1A Funding Assistance To: "Kimberly Kerr" <kimberly.kerr@edcgov.us>

You got this right?

---- Forwarded message -----

From: Lynne Milliken milliken@cityofplacerville.org

Date: Thu, Sep 20, 2012 at 4:39 PM

Subject: WPI Phase 1A Funding Assistance

To: The BOSTHREE <bosthree@edcgov.us>, terri.daly@edcgov.us

Cc: Karen Feathers <karen.feathers@edcgov.us>, Cleve Morris <cmorris@cityofplacerville.org>

Dear Terri and Jack,

Attached please find Cleve's letter to you regarding the Western Placerville Interchanges Project Phase 1A funding assistance.

Regards, Lynne

Lynne Milliken Secretary to the City Manager CITY OF PLACERVILLE 3101 Center Street Placerville, CA 95667 530.642.5200

Imilliken@cityofplacerville.org

Please note that due to continued financial constraints, most City employees will be taking their unpaid mandatory time off on Fridays, and, as a result, City offices will be closed Fridays. City offices will be open Monday through Thursday 8:00am to 5:30pm.

Terri Daly Chief Administrative Officer

County of El Dorado PH: 530-621-5530

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system.

Thank you.

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system.

Thank you.

☑ WPI Ph1A Funding Itr 092012.pdf 795K



City of Placerville

3101 Center Street Placerville, California 95667 (530) 621-CITY, Fax: (530) 642-5538

September 20, 2012

Jack Sweeney, El Dorado County Board of Supervisors Theresa Daly, El Dorado County Chief Administrative Officer 330 Fair Lane, Building A Placerville, CA 95667

Re: WPI Phase 1A Funding Assistance

Dear Jack and Terri:

For the past several years, the City of Placerville has been working to secure funding to reconstruct and improve the Western Placerville Interchanges (WPI). The total cost of the project is estimated at over \$40,000,000 and includes a new interchange at Ray Lawyer Drive, as well as reconstruction of the bridge and on/off ramps at Placerville Drive. Because of the huge cost for the entire project, it has been divided into several phases. Due to the potential relocation of the Courthouse and efforts on behalf of the County and the City to keep the Courthouse located in Placerville, improvements to the Ray Lawyer Interchange portion of the project became a high priority.

Phase 1A of the Western Placerville Interchanges Project became the first priority based on need, project readiness, and funding availability. Phase 1A includes a westbound on-ramp to Highway 50 from Ray Lawyer Drive. Phase 1B includes improvements to Fair Lane, primarily a curve correction and other pedestrian improvements. Both of these projects are designed not only to assist the Courthouse Project, but to improve traffic in and out of the County offices on Fair Lane. Originally, the City made application to the El Dorado County Transportation Commission (EDCTC) for Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Improvement Program (CMAQ) funding for the project. The City was awarded \$5.825 million for Phases 1A and 1B of the project, the estimated total at that time. As the funding request proceeded through the process with the Federal Highway Administration, its eligibility was questioned. Eventually, the project was determined ineligible for CMAQ funding. Through great efforts on the part of the City, the County and EDCTC to meet deadlines to apply for Corridor Mobility Improvement Account (CMIA) funding, we were able to obtain \$6,000,000 of CMIA funding for Phase 1A of the Western Placerville Interchanges Project.

Phase 1A of the project was advertised for construction on June 29, 2012, and bids were opened on September 12, 2012, and are summarized below.

Jack Sweeney, El Dorado County Board of Supervisors Theresa Daly, El Dorado County Chief Administrative Officer September 20, 2012 Page Two

| <u>Bidder</u> | Base Bid |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Teichert Construction | \$ 4,696,068.95 |
| Doug Veerkamp General Engineering | 5,571,155.70 |
| Granite Construction | 5,589,585.52 |
| DeSilva Gates Construction | 5,912,176.52 |

Subsequent to the bid opening, Teichert Construction notified the City in writing of mistakes made preparing their bid and requested relief from the bid. Attached is a summary of the total project costs for comparison purposes. As you can see, the project costs, based on the next lowest bid by Veerkamp, will exceed the CMIA funds by \$964,241. Staff has explored all possible options to bridge this funding gap. Unfortunately, no viable solutions have been identified that will not jeopardize the \$6 million in grant money in its entirety.

The City is now in the tenuous position of deciding whether or not to proceed with the project. While we believe the project has merit, and will significantly improve traffic operations in the vicinity, we simply do not have the nearly \$1 million that will be necessary to bridge the funding gap. Without such funds the City will have little choice but to abandon the project and forego the \$6 million in CMIA funds.

Together, the County, the City, and the EDCTC have a track record of project delivery. A failure to deliver on this project will undoubtedly jeopardize our reputation and future funding opportunities. Furthermore, time is of the essence, as the City must make a final decision by mid-October. For this reason, we are respectfully requesting County assistance to ensure that the project can move forward. The estimated amount needed is \$1,000,000, including a 10% contingency. Any amount of contingency not spent would be returned to the County. In addition, the City is committed to working with the contractor to control costs and make every effort to reduce the total cost. If that is possible, the total County contribution could be significantly reduced.

We appreciate the assistance of the County and will continue to work cooperatively to make this and other projects work to benefit our communities. Please contact me with any questions.

Sincerely,

M. Cleve Morris City Manager

MCM:lrm Enclosure

cc: Placerville City Council

BID RESULT COST/FUNDING COMPARISON Western Placerville Interchanges Phase 1A

9/18/2012 Contract No. 1773

| | ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE | TEICHERT CONSTRUCTION | DOUG VEERKAMP GENERAL ENGINEERING | GRANITE CONSTRUCTION | DE SILVA GATES CONSTRUCTION |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Construction Bid Amount: | \$ 4,902,442 | \$ 4,696,069 | \$ 5,571,156 | 985,885,5 | \$ 5,912,177 |
| Supplemental Funds (Flagging) | \$ 10,000 | \$ | \$ 00 of | | |
| Subtotal: | \$ 4,912,442 | 4,7 | | ל ב בטט בסא | n . |
| Contingency (10%) | \$ 491,244 | \$ 470,607 | | |),1,2,2,6,6 5,0,0,0,0 |
| Tree Removal (Additive 1) | \$ 140,143 | \$ 140,143 | 140.143 | | |
| CM/CS: | \$ 750,000 | \$ \$00,000 | | | 140,143 |
| Cozeep Contract | \$ 27,194 | \$ 27,194 | \$ 27,194 | | · v |
| Project Total: | \$ 6,321,024 | \$ 6,094,013 | \$ 7,056,609 | \$ 7,076,882 | \$ 7.43 |
| HATTER STREET, | | | Charles and the same and the same of the s | THE STATE OF THE S | The second secon |
| Project Funding: | | | | | |
| Sewer Enterprise for Non- Participating Sewer Cost | \$ 58,340 | \$ 26,845 | \$ 92.368 | \$ 113.147 | 70 |
| CMIA Funding | \$ (900,000) | \$ | \$ | v | |
| (Shortfall)-assuming 10% contingency | \$ (262,684) | \$ | | | |
| Options to Reduce Shortfall: | | | | | |
| Derfer Tree Mitigation | \$ 140,143 | \$ 140,143 | \$ 140.143 | 140143 | |
| Obtain Additional Funding | \$ 122,540 | N/A | \$ 824,097 | | |
| | | | | | • |