

## **Excerpt from the INRMP Contract Scope of Work**

### **Subtask 2.a Identify Range of Alternatives for INRMP**

The Project Team will identify a range of alternative approaches available to the County to complete the INRMP. Advantages and disadvantages of each alternative will be discussed along with their probable cost of implementation. This shall include accounts for the implementation cost of mitigation, including acquisition, monitoring, and management. This task shall also include a discussion on the methodology employed in other jurisdictions for similar conservation plans. By way of example, some of the methods to be discussed might include GIS-based computer modeling, a criteria-based program, and a Conceptual Conservation Plan approach.

The Project Team will coordinate with the consultants and staff working on the Pine Hill plant issues to ensure that the Pine Hill plant work will fit into the INRMP, but will not do extensive work on this issue. We will also be prepared to assist the County with evaluating potential policy changes and in preparing a General Plan Amendment, should that become necessary during this process.

### **Subtask 2.b Assist County Preparing INRMP Scope of Work**

The Team will also assist the County in preparation of a revised Scope of Work in compliance with General Plan Policies 7.4.2.8. The revised Scope of Work will be based on the findings from the studies prepared as a result of this proposal and will identify all remaining tasks necessary to complete the INRMP. The INRMP will evaluate the extent to which resources are or can be protected on public lands as a first priority. Costs associated with preparing the Final INRMP shall also be provided.

### **Subtask 2.c Deliverables**

- *Administrative Draft INRMP Implementation Alternatives Report*
- *Public Review Draft INRMP Implementation Alternatives Report*
- *Final INRMP Implementation Alternatives Report*