



The Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act

El Dorado: 2014 Progress Report for Community Alliance to Reduce Truancy (CART)

In the area below, please enter program expenditures for each identified line item from each funding source incurred during Fiscal Year 2013 - 2014

BUDGETED JJCPA FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2013 - 2014		\$519,727	
ACTUAL PROGRAM EXPENDITURES FOR 2013 - 2014	JJCPA Funds	Interest	Non-JJCPA Funds
Salaries and Benefits: (Help)	\$ 524,498	\$ 531	Total Non-JJCPA Funds: \$ 93,242
Services and Supplies: (Help)	\$ 10,934	\$ 0	
Professional Services: (Help)	\$ 19,293	\$ 0	
Community-Based Organizations: (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0	
Fixed Assets: (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0	
Administrative Overhead (Maximum = 0.5% of State Funds): (Help)	\$ 2,715	\$ 0	
Other: (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0	
Fund Totals:	\$557,440	\$531	
Program Total for 2013 - 2014 Fiscal Year:	\$651,213		

Enter the number of juveniles who participated in the program during the fiscal year 2013 - 2014. Provide separate counts for those completed the program, those who were 'in progress' as the end of the fiscal year (i.e., still 'enrolled' in the program), and those who did not complete the program (i.e., failed to completed the program and are no longer 'enrolled' in the program).

NUMBER OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS	
Completed Program:	165
In Progress:	148
Did Not Complete Program:	19
Total:	332.00

Enter the approximate average length of time spent in the program by **all program participants** during the fiscal year.

AVERAGE LENGTH OF TIME	
Average Length of Time In Program:	218.00 Days ▾
PER PARTICIPANT EXPENDITURES	
Funds Expended Per Program Participant:	\$1,961.00

Calculate

Progress Report Comments - Fiscal: Provide any additional information that further explains or is important to the interpretation of the expenditures identified in the progress report. Also, if a modification was approved during the

reporting period, the details of the modification should be provided in this section.

Progress Report Instructions: For each outcome listed in the table, report the program results in the boxes provided. REPORT NUMBERS ONLY. DO NOT INCLUDE ANY SYMBOLS, CHARACTERS OR WORDS WITH THE NUMBERS ("%," "pct.," "percent," etc.). Inclusion of anything other than numbers will result in the entry being "zeroed out."

PRIOR TO COMPLETING THE TABLE, [CLICK HERE](#) FOR SPECIFIC, IMPORTANT GUIDANCE ON PROVIDING ALL REQUIRED OUTCOME INFORMATION.

Juvenile Participant Outcome Measures

Outcome:	Arrest Rate (All Arrests)	Completion of Probation Rate	Incarceration Rate
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Percentage	A Percentage	A Percentage
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	N/A
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	While in Program
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	184	94	182
Results For Program Participants:	21.2 %	59.6 %	9.9 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	148	54	148

Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	207	98	207
Results for Reference Group:	20.3 %	62.2 %	8.7 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	0

Outcome:	Rate of Completion of Restitution	Rate of Completion of Court-Ordered Community Service	Probation Violation Rate
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Percentage	A Percentage	A Percentage
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	N/A
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	While in Program
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	35	84	94
Results For Program Participants:	45.7 %	64.3 %	34 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	19	54	54
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	32	91	98

Results for Reference Group:	50 %	71.4 %	22.4 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	0

Outcome:	Education - Academic Achievement (Grade Point Average)	Education - Attendance - Other - Percent of Class Periods with Unexcused Absences	
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Mean or Average	A Percentage	
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	79	80	
Results For Program Participants:	2 Mean/Avg.	14.5 %	
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	54	54	
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	87	84	
Results for Reference Group:	2.2 Mean/Avg.	11.9 %	

Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	
---	---	---	--

Progress Report Comments - Outcomes: Provide any additional information that further explains or is important to the interpretation of the results reported in the progress report, including program implementation issues, pending additional program results, supplemental evaluation findings (process evaluations, case studies, cost-benefit analysis, etc.), the results of statistical significance testing, program changes emanating from the evaluation results, etc.

OUTCOME MEASURES COMMENTS:
 The year to year goal for the Outcome Measures of the CART Program is a statistical result of "no change" from the previous year. When there is a statistically significant difference between the results from the previous year, the goal is "exceeded" or "not

See attached for full text.

Save as In Progress

Submit to BOC as Complete

[Return to Program Selection Screen](#)

OUTCOME MEASURES COMMENTS:

The year to year goal for the Outcome Measures of the CART Program is a statistical result of “no change” from the previous year. When there is a statistically significant difference between the results from the previous year, the goal is “exceeded” or “not met” depending on whether it is an increase or decrease from the previous year. “Statistically significant” is measured in this program as an increase or decrease of five or more percentage points (+/- 5%).

For 2013-14, four Outcome Measures were met in the categories of Arrest Rate (all arrests), Incarceration Rate, Completion of Probation Rate, and Rate of Completion of Restitution. Four Outcome Measure categories that were not met are; Completion of Community Service Rate, Probation Violation Rate, Education-Academic Achievement, and Education Attendance. There have been some significant changes the schools have made regarding how the schools release attendance information to the DPO's. In order to comply with the Education Code, attendance information must now be requested from the DPO to the school administration; DPO's no longer have immediate computerized access to attendance information. Since this change has taken place, the statistics have shown a significant increase in unexcused absences from program participants as DPO's are unable to immediately respond to truancy. Discussions are being planned with school administrators to determine a way to offset this problematic situation.

Although on the surface this looks to be a negative year in the global statistical categories, there were several encouraging results when looking at the Juvenile Justice related categories and other results which make up the global categories. The overall Arrest Rate, which includes both violations and new law offenses, is statistically the same from the previous year. However, arrests for new law violations are significantly lower than the previous year, while violations of probation are significantly higher, suggesting that increased supervision due to the lower number of participants has contributed to a reduction in new crimes being committed. In the Community Service category, the 2012/2013 year had an outstanding 70.3% rate of completion. While this year's rate of 64.3% rate of completion is statistically less (6 percentage points), close to two-thirds of the participants still completed their community service obligations. In the area of Academic Achievement, although the ending GPA is 2.0 compared to 2.2 from the previous year, the starting GPA of participants was 1.8 compared to 2.0 from the previous year. Therefore, there is still an increase of .2 in GPA, which is the same as the previous year. Thus, with the exception of educational attendance, the data supports that the program is still successful in raising educational performance, restoring victims, assisting minors to complete probation successfully and reducing delinquency in the community.