CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE

Procurement and Contracts Division

Date Received

NON-COMPETITIVE PURCHASE REQUEST JUSTIFICATION

Required for all (non-emergency) sole source acquisitions in excess of \$5,000.00 and sole source service requests in excess of \$100,000.00.

This justification document consists of three (3) pages. All information must be provided and all questions must be answered. **Department Head approval is required.**

answered. Department Head approval is required.							
	Requesting Depar	tment Informa	ation				
Department:	Org Code:						
22-District Attorney		2200000					
Contact Name:		Subobject:		User Code:			
Joe Alexander							
Telephone:		Fax:					
	Required Supplier /	Vendor Inform	mation				
Vendor / Supplier Name:	Vendor / Supplier Address:						
West Coast Publishing Corporat		PO Box 6292					
Contact Name:							
Paul Vandenberg	Carol Stream, IL 60197						
Estimated Purchase Price/Contract Amount:		Vendor / Supplier Email Address:					
\$138,580.92		Paul.Vandenberg@thomsonreuters.com					
Telephone:		Fax:					
510-995-8129							
Provide a brief description of the exemption reference from Boar			the vendor/sup	plier will provic	le and supporting		
The District Attorney's office requincluding case histories, rulings a Corporation (dba Thomson Reutprice than our existing contracted procurement due to a technologic would produce no economic benrequirement.	and statutes with relevant case I ers West), provides this product d vendor. In accordance with Pro cal, specialized, unique charact	aw and on-line ju with the option focurement Policy or of the good, as	ury instructions. for Karpel interfa / C-17, Section s well as Sectio	West Coast Puace component 3.4.2 (a), single n 3.4.3, compe	ublishing s for a lower e source titive bidding		
Signatu	Wenne						
Board of Supervisors:		P&C Assignme	ent [.]				
Date:		Assigned To:	Matthew Po	otter			
Item:	=	Date:	1/27/23				
ILCIII.		Date.					

A. The good/service requested is restricted to one supplier for the reason stated below:

1. Why is the acquisition restricted to this goods/services supplier? (Explain why the acquisition cannot be competitively sourced. Explain how the supplier is the only source for the acquisition.)

West Coast Publishing Corporation (dba Thomson Reuters West), provides a legal database product to allow prosecutors to conduct in-depth case law research, including case histories, rulings and statutes with relevant case law and on-line jury instructions with the option for Karpel interface components for a lower price than our existing contracted vendor. This Karpel interface feature was requested by the DA's office to allow for prosecutors to view all case-related information in one screen, enabling them to build the strongest possible arguments.

2. Provide the background of events leading to this acquisition.

Current DA agreement with RELX (agmt #810) is coming up for expiration effective 3/31/23 and the department had the option to renew the agreement with Relx. The department reached out to Relx for a renewal quote. It was at this time, it department also decided their legal database research services were to be upgraded to include more tools and research options. A quote was obtained from Relx for a 3-year renewal. The quote included Lexis+ and add-on items. Initial year pricing was quoted at \$3,732/month, which equates to \$44,784 annually. This amount is a significant increase from the previous contracted cost. Therefore, the department decided to reach out to another vendor, Thomson Reuters (Westlaw) for a quote for similar database research product. Westlaw was able to provide a quote in the amount of \$3,663.25/month, which equated to \$43,959 annually. Additionally, the Westlaw product offers the DA a Karpel tool, which will allow for efficiency since the DA currently uses Karpel as their Case Management System. Relx does not offer this specialized tool.

3. Describe the uniqueness of the acquisition. (Why was the goods/services supplier chosen?)

West Coast Publishing Corporation (dba Thomson Reuters West) was contacted by the District Attorney's office in order to compare the costs received from our existing contracted vendor, RELX Inc. (dba LexisNexis). West Coast Publishing Corporation can provide the West Proflex product at a lower price and can include the requested Karpel interface components/feature that was requested by the department.

4. What are the consequences of not purchasing the goods/services or contracting with the proposed supplier?

The County would spend more money in order to have access to the Karpel interface components for public and commercial database searches if this product was procured from a different vendor.

5. What market research was conducted to substantiate no competition, including the evaluation of other items or service providers? (Provide a narrative of your efforts to identify other similar or appropriate goods/services, including a summary of how the department concluded that such alternatives are either inappropriate or unavailable. The name and addresses of suppliers contacted and the reasons for not considering them must be included OR an explanation of why the survey or effort to identify other goods/services was not performed.)

As mentioned under response #2, the department reached out to current vendor Relx for a renewal quote and additional tools/product components, but came to the realization that Relx would be more expensive that anticipated. This lead to reaching out to Westlaw for product and price comparison. Both Relx and Westlaw provided product presentation via meetings with the department. After reviewing both products in detail and receiving quotes, it was clear the department was in favor of Westlaw product due to the price and product capabilities.

B. Price Analysis:

1. How was the price offered determined to be fair and reasonable? (Explain what basis was used for comparison and include cost analysis as applicable.)

As mentioned above, comparing the price between both Relx and Westlaw is how the department came to the determination that Westlaw was the more efficient and economical option.	

2. Describe any cost savings or avoidance realized (one-time or ongoing) by acquiring the goods/services from this supplier.

Over the course of three years, the department will save a total of \$1,216.81 by choosing to aquire database research product through Westlaw. Additionally, Westlaw will be able to provide add-ons that will interface with Karpel.