



Jim Mitrisin <jim.mitrisin@edcgov.us>

RE: August 11 Agenda, Item 22c, #15-0718

1 message

sue-taylor@comcast.net <sue-taylor@comcast.net>

Tue, Aug 11, 2015 at 3:20 AM

To: Ron Mikulaco <bosone@edcgov.us>, Shiva Frentzen <bostwo@edcgov.us>, Brian Veerkamp <bosthree@edcgov.us>, Mike Ranalli <bosfour@edcgov.us>, Sue Novasel <bosfive@edcgov.us>

Cc: Jim Mitrisin <jim.mitrisin@edcgov.us>

August 11, 2015

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Re: Agenda #22c, Item #15-0718

Please see attachment for the full comment and information.

This item is on the agenda in order for THIS Board of Supervisors to evaluate the purpose for El Dorado County to be in the Southeast Connector Joint Powers Authority.

Rather than blindly continuing to renew this contract, would this board please evaluate what benefit is served by remaining in the Southeast Connector JPA? This County, through developer impact fees and a million dollar grant, has already funded the Silva Valley Interchange which makes this connector possible. Not only that, each year the Board of Supervisors has paid this JPA annual fees just for the privilege of sharing their future debt for a project that will only negatively impact El Dorado County!

Why would this Board want to risk being tied to any more debt that this project will bring? Is it for the prestige of being in a regional organization? Is being tied to a project with a potential debt factor of over 600 million dollars worth the ego? Please stop selling out El Dorado County and do something bold – detach from this contract before the JPA acquires any debt that will permanently saddle our future generations to the bondage of this project.

Thank you,

Sue Taylor

P.S.:Jim,
Could you also forward this to our new CAO?

Thanks,
Sue



JPA Connector 8 11 2015.pdf
26K

August 11, 2015

Board of Supervisors
330 Fair Lane
Placerville, CA 95667

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Re: Agenda #22 Item #15-0718

First these items on agenda item #22 should not have been batched together. Given that, I would like to comment on item c below:

c) No. 427-M0810 for the Capital SouthEast Connector Joint Powers Authority for the planning, design, and construction of the Elk Grove - Rancho Cordova - El Dorado Connector;

I've pulled out the information relating to the Capital SouthEast Connector:

"The Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement for the Capital SouthEast Connector Joint Powers Authority (formerly named Elk Grove - Rancho Cordova - El Dorado Connector Authority) provides for the acquisition of real property and the construction of the Connector Project until the Project is fully accomplished. While the Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement does not require the Board to authorize continuance, CDA/AFD includes this Agreement along with the other perpetual items to provide an annual opportunity for Board review.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

\$15,000* for the Capital SouthEast Connector Joint Powers Authority agreement;

*When this item went to the Board on July 14, 2015, this amount was reported as \$10,000, but updated information was received and the correct amount for the Capital SouthEast Connector Joint Powers Authority agreement is \$15,000."

Sue's comment:

This item is on the agenda in order for THIS Board of Supervisors to evaluate the purpose for El Dorado County to be in the Southeast Connector Joint Powers Authority.

Rather than blindly continuing to renew this contract, would this board please evaluate what benefit is served by remaining in the Southeast Connector JPA? This County, through developer impact fees and a million dollar grant, has already funded the Silva Valley Interchange which makes this connector possible. Not only that, each year the Board of Supervisors has paid this JPA annual fees just for the privilege of sharing their future debt for a project that will only negatively impact El Dorado County!

Why would this Board want to risk being tied to any more debt that this project will bring? Is it for the prestige of being in a regional organization? Is being tied to a project with a potential debt factor of over 600 million dollars worth the ego? Please stop selling out El Dorado County and do something bold – detach from this contract before the JPA acquires any debt that will permanently saddle our future generations to the bondage of this project.

Below is a chart that is attached to item c:

NAME OF AGREEMENT	DESCRIPTION/SERVICE	DATE CONTRACT BEGAN	PAYMENT <input type="checkbox"/>	COUNTY COUNSEL APPROVAL <input type="checkbox"/>	TERMINATION LANGUAGE
Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement for the Elk Grove - Rancho Cordova - El Dorado Connector Authority (Capital SouthEast Connector JPA) 427-M0810	Joint Powers Agreement which provides for the acquisition of real property and the construction of the Connector Project	12/12/2006	As invoiced	Yes	May be terminated by the vote of a majority of the Members; however the Agreement may not be terminated, and no Member may withdraw its membership, until (a) all bonds or other instruments of indebtedness issued by the Authority, if any, have been paid in full or provision has been made for payment in full and (b) all outstanding obligations and liabilities of the Authority have been paid in full or provision has been made for payment in full. <input type="checkbox"/>

Thank you,

Sue Taylor
 P. O. Box 961
 Camino, CA 95709



Jim Mitrisin <jim.mitrisin@edcgov.us>

Item 22 on the consent calender paragraph 3), 8/11/15

1 message

Larry Weitzman <weitzman@directcon.net>

Tue, Aug 11, 2015 at 8:41 AM

To: bosone@edcgov.us, The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us>, bostthree@edcgov.us, The BOSFOUR <bosfour@edcgov.us>, bosfive@edcgov.us, jim.mitrisin@edcgov.us

Dear Board of Supervisors, With respect to consent item number 22 on the BOS agenda regarding paragraph 3), I am against defunding the Cameron Park Airport District for the following reason. While the reason appears to be to help with the deficit facing the county, the airport is one of the county's economic engines. In addition these cuts are taking place as a direct result of the massive excess hiring that occurred in the last three years and the huge 15 percent raise. Now good economic policy suffers. You need to fix the aforementioned underlying problem.

Sincerely

Larry Weitzman

Placerville, Ca