ALPINE, AMADOR, BUTTE, CALAVERAS, COLUSA, DEL NORTE EL DORADO, GLENN, MYO, LAKE, LASSEN, MADERA, MARIPOSA

Chair - Edward T. Barnert, Amador County

First Vice Chair - Robert Meacher, Plumas County Vice Chair - Linda Arcularius, inyo County

January 22, 2001



MERCED, MODOC, MONO, NEVADA, PLACER, PLUMAS, SAN BENITO SHASTA, SIERRA, SISKIYOU, SUTTER, TEHAMA, TRINITY, TUOLUMNE, YUBA

Secretary – Brian Dahle, Lassen County Treasurer – Bill Merriman, Lake County RCRC Staff - Marcia L. Basque, President

Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000

Election of Payments

- County decision made by September 30, 2001
 - Default is 85% for schools and roads and 15% returned to US Treasury.
 - A decision to receive the traditional 25% payment is effective for 2-years.
 - A decision to receive the full payment amount is effective for 6-years.

Expenditure Allocations

- Traditional 25% payment
 - □ 50% for schools
 - □ 50% for roads.

Full payment method

- \square 40% 42.5% for schools
 - 42.5% for roads

15% - 20% for community, forests or US Treasury

0% of funds expended on forest resources dedicated to road maintenance, decommission, obliteration, or stream and watershed restoration.

Resource Advisory Committee

- Selected by Secretary
- Appointed for 3-year terms, optional extension of 3 years
- Appointed by April 29, 2001
- No compensation
- 15 members
 - 5 represent organized labor, developed outdoor recreation, off highway vehicle use, commercial recreation, energy and mineral development, commercial forest products, or land use permittees within the area
 - 5 represent nationally recognized environmental groups, regionally or locally recognized environmental groups, dispersed recreational activities, historical interests, nationally or regionally recognized wild horse and burro interests
 - 5 represent state elected office, county or local elected office, Indian tribes within or adjacent to the area, public at large, or are school officials or teachers
- Members reside within the State and to the extent practical, the local area
- Established for part of, one or more <u>units</u> of Federal lands
 - D Ensure each federal land unit has access to committee
 - Sufficient interest in participation exists
 - □ Membership can be balanced in terms of points of view and functions

- May use existing advisory committees
- Duties

. 2

- □ Improve collaborative relationships
- Dependence on the optimized of the optiz
- D Provide frequent opportunity for public participation
- D Provide advice and recommendations consistent with purposes of the Act
- Propose projects
- D Review Title II and Title III projects

Approve and submit Title II Projects to the Secretary by September 30, 2001 and each

- September 30 thereafter.
- □ Execute agreement with Secretary
 - Schedule for project completion
 - Total cost of project
 - Level of agency overhead to be charged
 - Estimated cost of the project for each fiscal year
 - Remedies for Secretary failure to comply with terms of the agreement

Title II Projects – Forest Resources

- Proposed by public, county or resource advisory committee Reviewed and approved by resource advisory committee
- Submit proposals annually to the Secretary by September 30
- Authorized by the Secretary
- Project purposes
 - D Protection, restoration and enhancement of fish and wildlife
 - Maintain existing infrastructure
 - □ Implement stewardship objectives that enhance forest ecosystems
 - Restore and improve land health and water quality.
 - D Cooperative agreements with federal, state and local agencies, and private landowners
 - o Federal land
 - □ Non-federal land where federal land is benefited
- Funding Sources
 - □ Funded by single or multiple county full payments allocations
 - □ State and local government funds
 - Private funds
 - □ Cannot use funds appropriated for similar work
 - D Environmental documents paid by County if so requested

Project description

- D Purpose of the project
- □ How the project will meet the purposes of the Act
- Anticipated duration of the project
- Anticipated cost
- Proposed funding source
- Expected outcomes ecological conditions, maintenance objectives, stewardship objectives, forest products, forage and jobs
- Detailed monitoring plan
- Public interest assessment

- Merchantable material contracting pilot program
 - Harvesting merchantable material
 - □ Sale of merchantable material
 - I 15% in FY 2001
 - D 25% in FY 2002-2003
 - □ 50% in FY 2004-2006
 - □ Secretary Decision to use pilot contracting
 - □ All Appropriated funds available to assist pilot project
 - □ Report due September 30, 2003 from the Comptroller General

Title III Projects – Community

- Proposed by public, county or resource advisory committee
- · Reviewed by resource advisory committee
- Approved by participating county
- Categories

ł

- □ Search, rescue and emergency services
- Community service work camps
- Easements for nonmotorized access to public lands
- Conservation easements
- □ Forest related educational opportunities
- D Fire prevention and county planning to reduce impacts on adjacent Federal lands
- Community forestry