

**LATE DISTRIBUTION****DATE** 7-14-15

30

EDC COB &lt;edc.cob@edcgov.us&gt;

**BOS Meeting, July 14, 2015 - Public Comments**

1 message

**Roger Lewis** <re.lewis@comcast.net>

Mon, Jul 13, 2015 at 6:35 PM

To: bosthree@edcgov.us

Cc: bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us, shawna.purvines@edcgov.us, edc.cob@edcgov.us, jim davies &lt;j854davies@att.net&gt;, Shirley Parker &lt;sparker07@comcast.net&gt;

Dear Supervisor Veerkamp,

I hope you will not be persuaded by those requesting a delay in the approval of the ROI because the oak retention standards were not specifically included in the ROI. These standards can still be included if necessary in any drafted policies.

From a purely practical standpoint it really doesn't matter whether or not you allow 100% removal, because doing so only impacts a single project at a time and not the total development efforts within the entire County. Because of the very small likelihood that a single project development would request approval for 100% removal of trees, it is easily shown that allowing 100% removal of the trees on a property will have negligible environmental impact on the County and on its resources.

Sincerely,

Roger Lewis

El Dorado Sr. Housing, LLC