



Fwd: Monday 8/26/13 Measure Y presentation (Please replace earlier recalled message)

1 message

The BOSFOUR <bosfour@edcgov.us>
To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Mon, Aug 26, 2013 at 7:54 AM

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Aaron Klinger <aklinger@mindspring.com>
Date: Mon, Aug 26, 2013 at 6:59 AM
Subject: Monday 8/26/13 Measure Y presentation (Please replace earlier recalled message)
To: bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us
Cc: Shawna.Purvines@edcgov.us, Roger.Trout@edcgov.us

Dear Members of the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors,

Unfortunately I did not know of the August 26th workshop presentation by the Measure Y group in time to schedule leave from work. I was able to have a cursory view of the Measure Y group presentation posted on the meeting agenda. I would appreciate your consideration of the following:

- Slide #3 shows a photograph of gridlock traffic. I do not recognize that road segment; is it in El Dorado County? If not, is it representative of conditions here? Why not use a photo of El Dorado County gridlock?
- Slide #24 is captioned: "Highway 50 between EDH BLVD. and county line has reached LOS F* for the last two years". Isn't the frequency of LOS F and any unusual contributory factors relevant? For example, was there any Highway 50 construction during this time period that could have slowed traffic (carpool lane construction, intersection/bridge improvements, etc.)? Doesn't Slide 6 show how infrequent occurrences of LOS F were? The CalTrans PeMS measurements are reported on the hour, 24 hours per day. A thirty day month would have 30 days x 24 hours/day = 720 measurements on the hour per month. In September of 2012, there were only two measured occurrences reaching LOS F. In the 9 months depicted in the slide (summer months were excluded) LOS F did not occur in some months, and in two-thirds of the months shown, there were four occurrences, or less. Shouldn't the focus be on the completed Highway construction enhancements to the LOS, not the temporary negative impact during the construction? Won't these Highway 50 enhancements reduce LOS F? And Highway 50 enhancements in El Dorado Hills are ongoing, don't we expect positive results from that work? Would you please have County DOT weigh in on this?
- Slide #25 shows projections for the year 2035 indicating LOS F. The current LOS data is obscured on the slide by the expanded and highlighted 2035 projection superimposed on the table. What does this same table show for (2012) "current" LOS conditions? You may find it is not LOS F (the slide likely represents Highway 50 Segment #8 - County line to Cameron Park Drive). The footnote on the "Future Traffic Data -2035 (No Build)" refers to conditions if there are no improvement to the highway. But CalTrans is clear to point out in their Highway 50 Corridor System Management Plan (CSMP) that the severity and duration of LOS F conditions under the "No Build" and "Build" scenarios can be significantly reduced by implementing operational strategies and key capital projects. Isn't it likely that there will be CalTrans roadway improvements over the next 20+ years that are currently unanticipated? Of course we care what our county will look like in 2035 and what type of traffic we will have. But does the language in Measure Y require protecting against LOS F projected more than 20 years in the future?
- The presentation introduces the concept that El Dorado County growth should be subservient to Folsom growth (reference to Folsom South of U.S Highway 50 Specific Plan adding traffic). The idea seems to be that if Folsom grows, El Dorado County must not. The County has lamented sales tax leakage to such areas as Folsom but businesses and retailers (employers) flock to Folsom, because there is a robust and growing base of customers (residential development). Why would a retailer witnessing a no growth atmosphere in El Dorado County locate here? All they could expect is a stagnant customer base, and as their expenses inevitably increase, a decline in net income. Under this atmosphere, businesses will continue to shun our County, thus loading up Highway 50 in El Dorado County with those forced to gain employment, services, and shopping elsewhere. Is this what we want?

Thanks for your consideration,



Fwd: no growth important to be read today

1 message

The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us>

Fri, Aug 30, 2013 at 2:59 PM

To: EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Was this made part of last week's agenda?

Thank you.

Kitty Miller on behalf of
Ray Nutting
El Dorado County Board of Supervisors
530) 621-5651

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Betty January** <betty.j.january@gmail.com>

Date: Mon, Aug 26, 2013 at 10:38 AM

Subject: Fwd: no growth important to be read today

To: Ray Nutting <bostwo@edcgov.us>, Jack Sweeney <bosthree@co.el-dorado.ca.us>, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us

Dear Supervisors,

I just got back in town and went into the agenda and found the special meeting on Measure Y to be held this afternoon. I can't be there but would like to say "my thoughts" on this. I remember the last "no growth" measure. My thoughts then are the same as now. No growth is ignorant approach to a serious concern. It should be quality growth!! We can't stop growth, that is a silly approach. But we can control quality growth that benefits the community and the county. El Dorado Hills is at the entrance to El Dorado County, next to the main artery traveling through and close to jobs and commerce. It stands to reason growth will be here. Then make it quality growth. We will expand down Latrobe Rd. and up Green valley road and someday down Salmon Falls rd.

Developers have a bad rap. But it was developers that provided the quality of life that made over 40,000 people move here since I moved here 40 years ago. You get good developers and you get good quality growth and they contribute to the infrastructure. Never in that time did I ever think this community wouldn't grow. But I want good planned quality growth..

One such development that will benefit not only El Dorado Hills but the county is the Marble Valley project.

This contains not only 1300 acres of open space but a historic park and several entertainment spots as well as the introduction of the wine industry booming in our county. I would predict that this would be a showcase development. And contribute to the economic development of the county.

My concern is to not support the Jim Moore and Bill Center "no growth" approach.

Thank You for reading , Sincerely, Betty January, El Dorado Hills

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system.

Thank you.