Public comments # 26 Bos Rend 12/1/25

Kathy Witherow

From:

BOS-Clerk of the Board

Sent:

Monday, December 1, 2025 12:37 PM

To:

BOS-District I; BOS-District II; BOS-District III; BOS-District IV; BOS-District V; Sue Phillips

Subject:

Fw: 12/2/2025 BOS agenda item 26

FYI #26

Thank you,

Kyra Scharffenberg El Dorado County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors 330 Fairlane Building A Placerville, CA 95667 530.621.5654

From: Greg P. Ferrero < Greg. Ferrero@edcgov.us>

Sent: Monday, December 1, 2025 9:14 AM

To: BOS-Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>; David A Livingston <david.livingston@edcgov.us>

Cc: Cindy Munt < Cindy.Munt@edcgov.us> Subject: 12/2/2025 BOS agenda item 26

Good Morning,

Can you please forward this to the Board as public comment regarding agenda item 25-1935 (Austin v. County of El Dorado refunds) as I will be absent from the December 2, 2025 BOS meeting and attending the annual CSAC Conference. Please include in Legistar as well.

Honorable Board Members,

I apologize for missing the BOS meeting on December 2, 2025 but wanted to provide some thoughts regarding item #26 (25-1935), since the majority of claimants reside in District 1.

I think it is very important that we do not deviate from the rules set forth by the California TIM Fee Act. Although I personally question the rationale with the refund process outlined in the law, the law clearly states that the eligible claimants for a refund are those who were on the assessment roll for each property in August 2025. We will get asked why we did not refund the residents who actually paid the TIM fees years ago, but the law states that the refund is only available to those residents who were listed on the assessment roll in August 2025. I recommend that only those residents who were on the assessment roll in August 2025 be eligible. We should not deviate from the law.

Regarding the refund process, I recommend the county create a social media campaign, press release and send a minimum of two letters to each resident who is eligible for a refund. I think it is very important that the county take a very proactive approach in noticing the public on the available refunds. We should also direct staff to create a spreadsheet with all eligible claimants listed so residents can call in to inquire if they are eligible for a refund and get immediate feedback on whether they are eligible or not. The spreadsheet can also be provided to our

assistants so they can share the information when constituents from our districts call to see if they are eligible. We want to be as transparent as possible as this is such a high profile court case.

Thank you for your consideration,

Greg Ferrero

District 1 Supervisor El Dorado County 330 Fair Lane Placerville, CA 95667 (530)621-5650