FW: Diversion Rate Calculation

Larner, Jill@CalRecycle < Jill.Larner@CalRecycle.ca.gov>

Thu 6/27/2024 4:43 PM

To:Timothy C. Engle <Timothy.Engle@edcgov.us>
Cc:Smith, Zac@CalRecycle <Zac.Smith@CalRecycle.ca.gov>

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Good afternoon Tim,

I hope you are doing well. Your questions about diversion rates were forwarded to me. To help answer your questions and provide background information, webpages are linked below. All of these resources are available from Local Government Central https://calrecycle.ca.gov/LGCentral/

Beginning in 2007, SB 1016 changed the measurement system for the 50% waste diversion goal of AB 939 from a waste diversion rate metric to a per capita disposal rate metric. At that time, CalRecycle stopped calculating diversion rates and instead now uses annual capita disposal rates. Annual per capita disposal rates should not exceed a jurisdiction's per capita disposal target. The per capita disposal target represents a jurisdiction's 50% diversion rate and does not change.

https://calrecycle.ca.gov/LGCentral/Basics/PerCapitaDsp/ https://calrecycle.ca.gov/LGCentral/DataTools/Reports/DivDispRtSum/

While CalRecycle does not calculate jurisdiction diversion rates, jurisdictions may choose to calculate their own diversion rates. CalRecycle offers a tool for this here https://www2.calrecycle.ca.gov/LGCentral/DiversionProgram/DiversionWorksheet. It is complex because diversion rates require calculations of waste generation and estimates of waste diverted (tons diverted/tons generated= diversion rate).

Please let me know if you would like to have a call to discuss this, it can be complicated!

Best, Jill

Jill Larner, Supervisor Local Assistance and Market Development Department of Resources, Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) 916-341-6525

Jill.Larner@CalRecycle.ca.gov



The contents of this communication and any analysis, guidance, or other information herein are based on current, existing, known facts and legal authority as described to and understood by the author and/or CalRecycle at the time of this communication. Please be advised that any relevant facts or legal authority or authorities that are undisclosed or unknown at the time of this communication may affect or alter any analysis, guidance, or other information herein. Please be further advised that any analysis, guidance, or other information herein may be subject to change and/or correction based on changed facts or legal authority, actual or understood, subsequent to the time of this communication. No analysis, guidance, or other information herein should be construed as a waiver of any rights or remedies available to CalRecycle. Recipients of this communication are

encouraged to seek the assistance of legal counsel to comply with applicable state law based on current facts and circumstances

From: Timothy C. Engle < Timothy.Engle@edcgov.us>

Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2024 11:16 AM

To: LAMD Calendar & Internet Mailbox@CalRecycle < lamd@CalRecycle.ca.gov>

Cc: Julie Abbatelli < <u>Julie.Abbatelli@edcgov.us</u>>; Mark A. Moss < <u>mark.moss@edcgov.us</u>>; Jeffrey A. Warren

<<u>Jeffrey.Warren@edcgov.us</u>>; Robin Bowker <<u>Robin.Bowker@edcgov.us</u>>

Subject: Diversion Rate Calculation

Caution: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

I wanted to confirm two questions.

- 1) Can "diversion rates" be derived from ppd and ppd target numbers in annual reporting? We've used the formula **Diversion** % = 1 (ppd) / (ppd target *2)) * 100. Is that formula still valid?
- 2. Can CalRecycle briefly explain the origin of the "target ppd" that has remained fixed since 2007? I believe it was related to disposal rates in 2006, but the explanation is unclear. Some jurisdictions have significantly different rates, and our (El Dorado County Unincorporated) rate of 5.3 creates difficult conditions to achieve diversion rate targets that we set in the early 2010s. Furthermore, why have these target rates not been updated in 17 years? Is there any process where the target rates could be adjusted?

Jurisdiction	Tons	PPD-A	PPD-T	PPD-TA	PPD-TE	D%	DT-E
Diamond Bar	33634.25	3.4	4.6	10.2	17.3	63.04%	70.52%
Dinuba	27452.61	6.0	6.5	20.5	17.7	53.85%	42.09%
Dixon	20185.27	5.8	9.9	20.0	22.1	70.71%	54.75%
Dublin	33166.63	2.5	5.9	7.6	14.7	78.81%	74.15%
East Palo Alto	13961.41	2.6	8.5	15.9	119.4	84.71%	93.34%
Eastvale	40785.71	3.2	3.6	10.4	25.9	55.56%	79.92%
El Cajon	85684.24	4.4	7.4	11.5	18.8	70.27%	69.41%
El Dorado-Unincorporated	101021.73	3.5	5.3	15.1	23.2	66.98%	67.46%
El Monte	89336.4	4.5	6.8	17.4	29.5	66.91%	70.51%
El Segundo	46380.92	14.7	44.2	3.8	15.1	83.37%	87.42%
Elk Grove	92674.72	2.9	5.9	13.1	27.5	75.42%	76.18%
Emeryville	11752.77	5.1	16.2	2.9	6.7	84.26%	78.36%
Encinitas	62908.61	5.6	7.5	14.2	17.9	62.67%	60.34%
Escalon	9844.31	6.1	11.8	20.7	40.4	74.15%	74.38%
Escondido	187829.78	6.8	5.9	19.6	16.5	42.37%	40.61%
Eureka	30939.68	6.2	6.5	10.1	9.5	52.31%	46.84%
Exeter	6383.11	3.4	4.2	11.0	15.9	59.52%	65.41%
Fairfield	162971.47	7.4	8.5	23.3	22.7	56.47%	48.68%
Farmersville	5727.91	3.0	3.3	20.4	34.1	54.55%	70.09%

Thank you, Tim

Timothy Engle, REHS

Supervising Environmental Health Specialist

County of El Dorado

Environmental Management Department

Solid Waste Division

- 2850 Fairlane Ct. Building C Placerville, CA 95667
- **(530)** 621-6587
- imothy.engle@edcgov.us

WARNING: This email and any attachments may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any unauthorized review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments) by other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments.