

Danielle Y Sather/PV/EDC
04/09/2007 08:25 AM

To Cynthia C Johnson/PV/EDC@TCP
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Runnymede Drive proposal

Danielle Sather
Administrative Secretary
El Dorado County Board of Supervisors
Phone: 530-621-5115
Fax: 530-622-3645

— Forwarded by Danielle Y Sather/PV/EDC on 04/09/2007 08:26 AM —



richard flores
<hopalongpapa@sbcglobal.net>
04/08/2007 05:56 PM

To bosone@co.el-dorado.ca.us, bostwo@co.el-dorado.ca.us,
bosthree@co.el-dorado.ca.us, bosfour@co.el-dorado.ca.us,
bosfive@co.el-dorado.ca.us
cc
Subject Runnymede Drive proposal

My wife and I were recently made aware of the rezoning proposal for low income apartments on the south side of Runnymede. I can't believe that this is even a consideration of the Board. You can surely bet that if this proposal was going to be a couple of blocks from your own homes this agenda would not even come up. It would be voted down so fast. Put yourselves in somebody else's shoes for a minute. Would you want this if it was in your backyard? If you can safely answer yes to that then you are a liar. It's a simple fact that with low income apartments comes more crime. I am disabled and when we decided to move to Placerville we took a year to find the home we wanted. We talked to other realtors in the area, the sheriff's office about crime, the mail persons in the areas we were partial to and we narrowed it down to Sundance Trail. One of the few places that the sheriff's said absolutely no crime problems. We took all of our life savings to buy the "perfect" house for us. Because of this rezoning you know our property value will decrease. It's a fact that happens when any low income comes to an area. You know our property taxes surely won't go down. Crime statistics go up in the area but it goes up in the surrounding areas even more. Break-ins and robberies won't occur in areas where people have nothing to steal from. They'll go to the easiest and nearest surrounding area available. It doesn't take a rocket scientist to figure this out. I thought when elections were being made that for board of supervisors and for the general plan everybody was throwing the phrase low growth and slow growth for our county. Between this proposal, the Sundance shopping center proposal and the homes being built by the old golf course and hotel and car wash at the end of town, that some people running for office really didn't have the common people of this city in mind and were just thinking of themselves. People up here don't want another Folsom or El Dorado hills here. If so we would all be living down there. Please think of what the actual everyday working people of this community want who you are suppose to be making decisions for. I am not exaggerating when I say I have not talked to one person that is in favor of this measure. Just because people don't turn out at the meetings to oppose these things that the people are in favor of them. Most people can't afford to take off work to oppose these things that's why we hope our elected officials

do the right thing but that doesn't always happen. Look at all the the wasted time and bad publicity a couple of unimportant palm trees caused this community. I hope this has a better outcome.

Richard Flores
3605 Sundance Trail

Danielle Y Sather/PV/EDC
04/05/2007 02:20 PM

To Cynthia C Johnson/PV/EDC@TCP
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Runnymede change to the general plan....

Danielle Sather
Administrative Secretary
El Dorado County Board of Supervisors
Phone: 530-621-5115
Fax: 530-622-3645

— Forwarded by Danielle Y Sather/PV/EDC on 04/05/2007 02:20 PM —



Jeff Vanskike
<jeffvan@sbcglobal.net>

04/05/2007 12:06 PM

Please respond to
jeffvan@sbcglobal.net

To bosthree@co.el-dorado.ca.us

cc

Subject Runnymede change to the general plan....

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
EL DORADO COUNTY

2007 APR -5 PM 3:20

Hello Supervisor Sweeney,

I was hoping to meet with you this or last week but I was informed by your assistant that you would not be available for the two weeks prior to the April 10th meeting when the issue would be considered again. I did want to write you however to express my concern over the proposed change to the general plan which will greatly and negatively impact those of us living here on or off Runnymede. I would not have supported the general plan recently passed by the voters if this change to Multi-Family residential had been included in it.

I am not an anti growth, anti business, or anti low income person whatsoever, and this is not a case of "not in my backyard" for myself or my neighbors -- we believe this project would make perfect sense in any location currently zoned for Multi-Family. To change the zoning now is a major "change of the rules" on myself and my neighbors who have lived here for many years (20+ in some cases) or just moved in, that understood the neighborhood was zoned to allow low to medium density housing when we purchased.

Myself and many of my neighbors have stated that we would not have purchased here had we known this project was going in; and some have stated that they will feel there is no other option but to move should it occur. Based on the overwhelmingly consistent negative feelings of the people who will have to live near this project, demand for our properties will certainly go down, along with our property values in turn.

At the March 20th meeting, Supervisor Baumann stated that she had a similar project that had gone in near her home; and that crime, 911 calls, and sirens in the middle of the night, had all increased dramatically as a result. **I would hope that you would agree that to subject our neighborhood to this when we were never intended to accommodate (not zoned for) is a tremendous responsibility and should only be undertaken if there were no other**

appropriately zoned locations for such a project.

Thank you for your time. Since we were unable to meet, if you would please simply reply to this message that you got it I would greatly appreciate it. Thank You, Jeff Vanskike

Danielle Y Sather/PV/EDC
04/09/2007 08:21 AM

To Cynthia C Johnson/PV/EDC@TCP
cc
bcc
Subject Fw: Runnymede drive Proposal...

Danielle Sather
Administrative Secretary
El Dorado County Board of Supervisors
Phone: 530-621-5115
Fax: 530-622-3645

— Forwarded by Danielle Y Sather/PV/EDC on 04/09/2007 08:22 AM —



debbie flores
<pennyluv@sbcglobal.net>
04/08/2007 08:10 PM

To bosthree@co.el-dorado.ca.us, bosfour@co.el-dorado.ca.us,
bostwo@co.el-dorado.ca.us, bosone@co.el-dorado.ca.us,
bosfive@co.el-dorado.ca.us
cc

Subject Runnymede drive Proposal...

To all Supervisors.

I am writing to you all to let you know ,how very upset I am about the rezoning of Runnymede Drive. I have never before written to any government office for anything. I feel sooo strongly about what has been planned for our area that I have lost many nights of sleep over it..My husband and I retired up to this beautiful area seven years this May..We chose this area over Grassvalley. We took one whole year looking at homes up here..All toll we looked at 54 homes in this area..The one we picked we new would be our last ..We left the San Francisco Bay Area because of the crime and over crowding..We both worked a few job each so we could make the move..I'm sure if I had known of all the growth that was planned for this quite neighborhood we would not of moved here.Since we moved up to the foothills we have seen alot of growth....Does the county have the money to get more firemen,sheriff, buses, schools... Apartments are not the way to go."Apartments bring problems I'm sure that a project that big could find a better location then around singal family dwellings.As it is we pay alot for water up here .They keep building and raising our water rates More people mean more water usage in an area where it already talks of shortages...Missouri flat road is another good example of how over crowed this area already is.Couldn't you learn from past mistakes I sure hope so...Mrs Deborah Flores...."Do Not Rezone" PLEASE....