

**DRAFT MEETING NOTES of the
PLANT AND WILDLIFE TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
(PAWTAC)
JUNE 13, 2011**

Members in Attendance:

Jim Davies
Elena DeLacy
Ray Griffiths
Mahala Guggino
Jeremiah Karuzas

Jordan Postlewait, SEA

Members Absent:

Sue Britting
Jim Brunello
Dan Corcoran
Bill Frost
Patrick Moeszinger
Valerie Zentner

Others in Attendance:

Rick Lind, SEA
Peter Maurer, EDC

The June 13, 2011 meeting was called to order by Chair Jeremiah Karuzas at 1:15 p.m. A quorum was not present.

A. Approval of Minutes

Minutes from January 3, 2011 were carried over to the next meeting due to lack of a quorum.

B. Public Comments

There were no comments from the public.

C. INRMP

1. Review and Recommendation to Board of Supervisors of Draft Request for Proposals for Phase 2

Peter Maurer reviewed the Board actions of April 12 and May 24, 2011 where the Options Report and draft RFP for Phase 2 was presented. Mr. Maurer indicated that report was received and filed on April 12, and the RFP was continued to June 21 to get input from ISAC and PAWTAC. The members of the committee reviewed the changes recommended by ISAC and added additional changes. These are reflected in the revised draft distributed to both committees. Edits included the following general areas:

Page 5, paragraph 1 discussion of special status species;
Additional edits to the list of tasks.

General areas of discussion included the following:

- concerns that “resource planning” is a very broad term,
- the County should talk with state and federal agencies early on in the process if joint management is expected,

- establishing a baseline of the indicator species will need to be done as an early component of the management task, and a general discussion of how to group the list of deliverables
- how the conflict areas between habitat and development are a range or continuum, and the strategy needs to recognize that there is high quality habitat that should be protected in areas of little or no development, as well as marginal habitat in areas of high intensity development. The strategy will need to take this into consideration.

Mr. Maurer indicated that he would try to develop the deliverables list based on the discussion and distribute that to the committees.

D. Committee member comments; next meeting agenda items.

No additional comments were made. The next meeting was scheduled for August 1, 2011, if necessary. The meeting was adjourned at 2:38 p.m.