

8/11/2020

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: 8/13/2020 CUP and ATT

PC 8.13.20
Item #2



Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>

Fwd: 8/13/2020 CUP and ATT

1 message

Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>

Tue, Aug 11, 2020 at 10:31 AM

To: Julie Saylor <julie.saylor@edcgov.us>, Gina Hamilton <gina.hamilton@edcgov.us>

Good Morning,

I believe this may be for CUP19-0007

----- Forwarded message -----

From: <nancywws@aol.com>

Date: Tue, Aug 11, 2020 at 10:17 AM

Subject: 8/13/2020 CUP and ATT

To: planning@edcgov.us <planning@edcgov.us>

Good Morning Clerk of the Planning Commission, aka Julie Saylor,

I have been watching this application mostly to learn how monopines grow. When I saw the photos in Exhibit I (eye) it was apparent that they had been taken from a flattering angle and distance so that one couldn't see the monopine at all. Today's second set of photos is much more revealing, but still doesn't answer the question of aesthetics as regards the nearby property owners. Did the Epic Wireless ask permission from the residents to photograph the views from their properties? That would give the Commissioners a much more accurate sense of the ways in which the landowners would be affected. Rarely does one sit on a roadway to see the view from their kitchen or living room windows. Nor do they squat down so that they can't see much past the trees in front of them.

Regardless of the statistics provided by the government, I have heard enough research and homegrown examples of something, probably the radiation, perhaps being responsible for unusual numbers of cancer cases in the areas surrounding cell towers. I think it is reasonable to provide the same protections to rural residents that are provided to developments in places such as El Dorado Hills. It's just a guess, but I don't think I have seen any monopines in these upscale places.

I do understand the desire for cell service and reliable internet. We have neither where I live. I wouldn't trade cell service for a monopine even during PSPS events. Those monopines are blatantly obvious intrusions. Why can't they design something that truly meets the aesthetics of the neighborhood? The dominant tree in the area is oaks. Make it look like an oak tree instead of a one dollar pine tree. At least shape the limbs so they don't look so uniform. Anything is possible if one is determined, almost anything.

At the very least this application should be postponed until photographs showing the true picture of the disruption of residents' views are produced. Recall the goals of the general plan; they would not support a monopine in a residential area. Just my humble opinion.

Thanks for reading,

Nancy DeRodeff
Quintette, CA