Realignment Overview

1991 Realignment Legislation

1991 Realignment

- State enacted a major change in the state and local relationship
- Shifted funding and program responsibilities from State to counties
- Intent to provide stable funding source, increase local flexibility, foster innovation, create fiscal incentives to control costs

Realignment of Programs

- 1. Programs transferred to counties
 - Mental Health
 - Public Health
 - Indigent Health
 - Local Block Grants

Cost Sharing Ratios

- 2. Changed State/County cost sharing ratios
 - Health California Children's Services program
 - Social Services programs

See Figure 1 in Legistar Attachment B, page 3 for detailed cost ratios by program.

Realignment Principles

- Provide a dedicated revenue stream to pay for the changes
 - Sales tax revenue
 - Vehicle license fee (VLF) revenues
- Increase County flexibility and discretion
- Provide fiscal incentives to encourage cost control

Recent economic conditions have resulted in:

Declining Realignment revenues (not as stable as originally predicted)

Significant increases in the need for services.

Realignment Accounts

Requirement to establish a Local Health & Welfare fund with three accounts (WIC 17600.10):

- Mental Health Account
- Health Account
- Social Services Account

State controller distributes realignment funds monthly to counties

Funds are subsequently transferred to departments to offset program costs

Realignment Transfer Provisions

County may transfer up to 10% of any account's annual allocation to the other two accounts (WIC 17600.20)

- Reallocation must provide the most cost effective use of funds to maximize client outcomes
- Transfers of 10% were made from EDC Health account to EDC Mental Health account in FY 08/09 and FY 09/10

The Realignment transfer provision allows for some flexibility and discretion at the local level.

Realignment in FY 11/12 Health Services Budget

Realignment dollars are used to fund:

- Programs transferred to counties in the 1991 realignment shift
- County match requirements in mandated and grant funded programs
- Costs of mandated programs where other funding is insufficient

Example of Realignment Use:

Psychiatric Health Facility (PHF) FY 11/12

Program Costs \$2,501,801

Program Revenues \$ 696,840

Net Program Cost \$1,801,961

Net Program Cost is funded by Realignment

\$1.8M = 57% of total FY 11/12 Mental Health Realignment funds

Options for funding PHF Costs

- Increase PHF program revenues (Maximize census to offset fixed costs)
- Reduce program costs in other areas to free up realignment funds
- 3. Transfer 10% from Health realignment account
- Contribution from General Fund
- 5. Explore possibility of funding from 2011 realignment program