



**The Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act  
Program Selection**

**El Dorado County Programs**

| Program Name                                       | Progress Reports |                  |                         |
|--|------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
|  | October 15, 2006 | October 15, 2007 | October 15, 2008        |
| <b>Community Alliance to Reduce Truancy (CART)</b> | <b>Approved</b>  | <b>Approved</b>  | <b>Submitted to BOC</b> |

In addition to individual program outcomes and goals, some approved JJCPA plans include outcomes and goals for the county's overall Juvenile Justice Strategy. Complete this section if your approved plan includes such Strategic Outcomes.

| Strategic Outcomes             | Progress Reports |                  |                  |
|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                | October 15, 2006 | October 15, 2007 | October 15, 2008 |
| <b>View Strategic Outcomes</b> |                  |                  |                  |

All counties are required to report results for the outcome of "Juvenile Arrest Rate per 100,000 Population. Provide all information requested with submission of each progress report.

| Juvenile Arrest Rate Per 100,000                    | Progress Reports |                  |                  |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|   | October 15, 2006 | October 15, 2007 | October 15, 2008 |
| <b>Juvenile Arrest Rate Per 100,000 Information</b> | <b>Approved</b>  | <b>Approved</b>  |                  |

|                                |               |                               |
|--------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
| Field Rep. Contact Information | Contact Phone | Contact Email Address         |
| <b>Aaron Long</b>              |               | <b>aaron.long@cdcr.ca.gov</b> |

Log Out of JJCPA



### The Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act

#### El Dorado: 2008 Progress Report for Community Alliance to Reduce Truancy (CART)

In the area below, please enter program expenditures for each identified line item from each funding source incurred during Fiscal Year 2007 - 2008

|   |                  |                 |                                 |
|---|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| ALLOCATED FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2007 - 2008                     | <b>\$563,241</b> |                 |                                 |
| PROGRAM EXPENDITURES FOR 2007 - 2008                            | State Funds      | interest        | Non-JJCPA Funds                 |
| Salaries and Benefits: (Help)                                   | \$554,217        | \$0             | Total Non-JJCPA Funds: \$78,185 |
| Services and Supplies: (Help)                                   | \$2,133          | \$0             |                                 |
| Professional Services: (Help)                                   | \$6,891          | \$17,928        |                                 |
| Community-Based Organizations: (Help)                           | \$0              | \$0             |                                 |
| Fixed Assets: (Help)  | \$0              | \$0             |                                 |
| Administrative Overhead (Maximum = 0.5% of State Funds): (Help) | \$0              | \$0             |                                 |
| Other: (Help)   | \$0              | \$0             |                                 |
| Fund Totals:  | <b>\$563,241</b> | <b>\$17,928</b> | <b>\$78,185</b>                 |
| Program Total for 2007 - 2008 Fiscal Year:                      | <b>\$659,354</b> |                 |                                 |

Enter the number of juveniles who participated in the program during the fiscal year 2007 - 2008. Provide separate counts for those completed the program, those who were 'in progress' as the end of the fiscal year (i.e., still 'enrolled' in the program), and those who did not complete the program (i.e., failed to completed the program and are no longer 'enrolled' in the program).

| NUMBER OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS |               |
|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Completed Program:             | 216           |
| In Progress:                   | 200           |
| Did Not Complete Program:      | 40            |
| Total:                         | <b>456.00</b> |

Enter the approximate average length of time spent in the program by **all program participants** during the fiscal year.

| AVERAGE LENGTH OF TIME                  |                   |
|---|-------------------|
| Average Length of Time In Program:      | 199.00 Days       |
| PER PARTICIPANT EXPENDITURES            |                   |
| Funds Expended Per Program Participant: | <b>\$1,446.00</b> |

**Progress Report Comments - Fiscal:** Provide any additional information that further explains or is important to the interpretation of the expenditures identified in the progress report. Also, if a modification was approved during the reporting period, the details of the modification should be provided in this

section.

**Progress Report Instructions:** For each outcome listed in the table, report the program results in the boxes provided. REPORT NUMBERS ONLY. DO NOT INCLUDE ANY SYMBOLS, CHARACTERS OR WORDS WITH THE NUMBERS ("%," "pct.," "percent," etc.). Inclusion of anything other than numbers will result in the entry being "zeroed out."

PRIOR TO COMPLETING THE TABLE, CLICK HERE FOR SPECIFIC, IMPORTANT GUIDANCE ON PROVIDING ALL REQUIRED OUTCOME INFORMATION.

### Juvenile Participant Outcome Measures

| Outcome:   | Arrest Rate (All Arrests)                | Completion of Probation Rate             | Incarceration Rate                       |
|--|--|--|--|
| Outcome Measure Expressed as:  | A Percentage                             | A Percentage                             | A Percentage                             |
| Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:   | No Change (Relative Goal) : 0            | No Change (Relative Goal) : 0            | No Change (Relative Goal) : 0            |
| Goal Expressed With Reference to:  | Prior Program Participants in the County | Prior Program Participants in the County | Prior Program Participants in the County |
| If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time. What is the Earlier Time Period? | N/A                                      | N/A                                      | N/A                                      |
| Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:   | While in Program                         | While in Program                         | While in Program                         |
| Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:  | 200 Days                                 | 200 Days                                 | 200 Days                                 |
| Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:   | 200 Days                                 | 200 Days                                 | 200 Days                                 |
| Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:  | 256                                      | 119                                      | 256                                      |
| Results For Program Participants   | 18.4 %                                   | 57.1 %                                   | 8.6 %                                    |

|  |        |        |       |
|--|--------|--------|-------|
| Estimated Number of <b>Additional Current Year</b> Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:     | 200    | 93     | 200   |
| Number of Subjects in Reference Group :  | 284    | 125    | 284   |
| Results for Reference Group:   | 16.5 % | 52.8 % | 3.9 % |
| Estimated Number of <b>Additional Current Year</b> Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year: | 0      | 0      | 0     |

| Outcome:   | Rate of Completion of Restitution        | Rate of Completion of Court-Ordered Community Service | Probation Violation Rate                 |
|--|--|---|--|
| Outcome Measure Expressed as:  | A Percentage                             | A Percentage  | A Percentage                             |
| Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:   | No Change (Relative Goal) : 0            | No Change (Relative Goal) : 0                         | No Change (Relative Goal) : 0            |
| Goal Expressed With Reference to:  | Prior Program Participants in the County | Prior Program Participants in the County              | Prior Program Participants in the County |
| If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time. What is the Earlier Time Period? | N/A                                      | N/A   | N/A                                      |
| Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:   | While in Program                         | While in Program                                      | While in Program                         |
| Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:  | 200 Days                                 | 200 Days  | 200 Days                                 |
| Approximate Length of Time in Days   | 200 Days                                 | 200 Days  | 200 Days                                 |

|  |        |        |        |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Represented by Results for Reference Group:  |        |        |        |
| Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:  | 58     | 104    | 119    |
| Results For Program Participants:  | 56.9 % | 70.2 % | 23.5 % |
| Estimated Number of <b>Additional Current Year</b> Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:     | 45     | 45     | 93     |
| Number of Subjects in Reference Group :  | 53     | 101    | 125    |
| Results for Reference Group:   | 56.6 % | 62.4 % | 20 %   |
| Estimated Number of <b>Additional Current Year</b> Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year: | 0      | 0      | 0      |

|  |   |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|
| Outcome:   | <b>Education - Academic Achievement (Grade Point Average)</b> | <b>Education - Attendance - Other - Percent of Class Periods with Unexcused Absences</b> |  |
| Outcome Measure Expressed as:  | A Mean or Average   | A Percentage   |  |
| Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:   | No Change (Relative Goal) : 0                                 | No Change (Relative Goal) : 0  |  |
| Goal Expressed With Reference to:  | Prior Program Participants in the County                      | Prior Program Participants in the County   |  |
| If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time. What is the Earlier Time Period? | N/A   | N/A  |  |
| Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program  | While in Program  | While in Program   |  |

|   |                |          |  |
|---|----------------|----------|--|
| Participants During Following Time Period:  |                |          |  |
| Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:                               | 200 Days       | 200 Days |  |
| Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:                                    | 200 Days       | 200 Days |  |
| Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:   | 104            | 88       |  |
| Results For Program Participants:   | 2.29 Mean/Avg. | 6.5 %    |  |
| Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:     | 48             | 48       |  |
| Number of Subjects in Reference Group :   | 107            | 65       |  |
| Results for Reference Group:  | 2.27 Mean/Avg. | 10.42 %  |  |
| Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year: | 0              | 0        |  |

**Progress Report Comments - Outcomes:** Provide any additional information that further explains or is important to the interpretation of the results reported in the progress report, including program implementation issues, pending additional program results, supplemental evaluation findings (process evaluations, case studies, cost-benefit analysis, etc.), the results of statistical significance testing, program changes emanating from the evaluation results, etc.

The number of all minors with an arrest is slightly more in the current year, 18.4% compared to 16.5% in the previous year. The change of 1.9% is not statistically significant, thus the goal of no change is met for all minors.

There is a 1.2 % difference between 2006-07, and 2007-2008, for minors completing probation. The difference is not statically significant; therefore the goal of no change has been met.

4.7% more minors were incarcerated during their CART program in 2007-08. The goal of no change between the years is not met. The increase in incarceration rate is a reflection of the ability for local law enforcement, the Probation Department, and the Court to detain a minor for lower level delinquent acts.

Prior to the construction of a new juvenile detention center in South Lake Tahoe in September 2004, the Probation Department only operated one juvenile detention facility (Placerville Juvenile Hall). The Juvenile Hall operates under a Court order that the facility shall not exceed 40 detainees. The facility was often overcrowded giving no options to local law enforcement, the Probation Department, or the Court to use detention as an option for a juvenile offender for lower level offenses or probation violations. Since the opening of the South Lake Tahoe Juvenile Treatment Center, the populations of both juvenile detention facilities in the county have been below their Court mandated capacities. Over the last several years the Probation Department has educated local law enforcement, the Court, and Probation Department employees that we no longer run at capacity in the detention facilities and immediate detention is an option for low level offenses and violations of probation. This has resulted in local law enforcement increasing bookings for juveniles with lower level offenses, the Probation Department increasing bookings for juveniles violating their probation conditions, and has given the Court increased ability to remand and commit juvenile offenders to the Juvenile Hall or the South Lake Tahoe Juvenile Treatment Center to complete special programs offered in those facilities.

The percent of minors with a restitution obligation fell to 46.9% for the current year compared to 53.6% for the previous year. During this last year, 0.3% more minors paid all restitution than the previous year. Therefore, the goal has been met.

The CART minors who have a community service obligation this year completed their obligations at a higher rate by 8.9 percentage points than the previous year's minors. The goal of no change is exceeded.

In the current year the rate of probationers having at least one probation violation during their program increased by 3.5% compared to the previous year and the goal of no change from the previous year was not met. We believe this is attributed to the experience of staff. Of the 6 officers assigned to the CART Program in the previous year, 4 officers were new to the CART Program assignment and one of those officers was a new employee without previous probation officer experience. During this last year all CART Officers were experienced in juvenile probation work giving them the skills to detect violations of probation at a higher level than the previous year, resulting in more violations of probation being filed with the Court. In addition, as a result of CART officers increased detection abilities, more violations of probation were filed for school attendance issues. This has resulted in a drop of unexcused school absences of a participant in the CART program overall as specified later in this report.

There is only a slight 0.07 difference in grade point average at the end of the program between the two years, thus the goal of no change between the years is met.

This program year, the CART minors have a lower percent of unexcused absences. The 4.6% change during this year is statistically significant therefore the goal of no change between the years is exceeded.

[Return to Program Selection Screen](#)