


Memo

To: Board of Supervisors
From: Supervisor Jack Sweeney 
Date: 1/19/2010
Re: Item #17 on January 19, 2010 – El Dorado Beach

2010 JAN 19 AM 8:43

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
EL DORADO COUNTY

First let me say that this item NEVER seems to be timely prepared for the Boards consideration. After eight continuations, most recently 11-10-09 & 12-10-09; the item arrived very late Friday 01-15-10 with papers that did not indicate who prepared or submitted them and without a date or time stamp! There was also an addendum, but earlier in the day, revising the action to be taken. And, why doesn't the BOS receive a document indicating the changes made, why must we always compare the total document??

As you all know, I have spent a great amount of time on this issue which is partially illustrated by my e-mail dated November 30, 2009 (copy attached). Via that e-mail I advised all parties of my desired or proposed changes. The documents appear not to have considered my efforts.

As to the "Amendment to Lease":

In line 2 of the second whereas, after the word "improvements", there should be the word on;
The exhibits are not properly identified.

As to the Memorandum:

The comments made in my e-mail are neither made in the documents nor discussed in a cover letter. Other than the addition of the last sentence at the end of section III.A, I found no changes from the document submitted 11-25-09.

As to Process:

We have been provided a copy of the signature page from the City of SLT attached to the MOU. What about the lease modification which I believe MUST come before the MOU? The MOU without the lease amendment puts us back to my comments of 6-25-09 and ignores my memo of 10-19-09 and others. When will I get answers rather than the "bums rush" to approval?



Fw: 56 Acre El Dorado Beach

Karen D Feathers to: Paula F Frantz, Russell A Nygaard, Norma Santiago

11/30/2009 10:47 AM

Cc: Edward L Knapp

From: Karen D Feathers/PV/EDC

To: Paula F Frantz/PV/EDC@TCP, Russell A Nygaard/PV/EDC@TCP, Norma Santiago/PV/EDC@TCP

Cc: Edward L Knapp/PV/EDC@TCP

Karen:

Please send this to Paula Frantz and those parties included in addressed in her e-mail of 11-25-09 02:25 PM.

A>> In both the MOU and the amended lease, should:

- 1) The deed to the City be referenced?
- 2) The highway r/w be excepted and deed references made?
- 3) Existing assessor parcel number be in the preambles?

B>> In the revised MOU (per Paula Frantz e-mail of 11-25-09 02:25 PM)

- 1) In Sec I-A insert after Library, "Scout Lodge";
- 2) In sec I-B change the local community to City and County residents;
- 3) In sec II-A & C Need attachments 1 & 2;
- 4) In sec III-A & C agreement to amend must be removed.

So that is my input! Otherwise I believe the proposal will accomplish our needs.

Thanks ALL, Jack Sweeney