

## **Findings**

### **1.0 CEQA FINDINGS**

- 1.1 The project has been found to be in compliance with the requirements of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to Section 15168 (Program EIR), because the project activity is within the scope of the program approved Targeted General Plan Amendment-Zoning Ordinance Update (TGPA-ZOU) EIR that was certified by the Board of Supervisors on December 15, 2015 (Legistar File 11-0356)<sup>1</sup>. No subsequent EIR is required for project GPA21-0003/Rezone Z21-0011, because the General Plan Land Use Map revisions and parcel-specific rezones were due to previously documented mapping errors that were inaccurately documented after the TGPA/ZOU Program EIR certification. The proposed general plan amendment and parcel-specific rezones will not result in any new significant impacts that were not previously analyzed in the TGPA/ZOU Program EIR; therefore no further CEQA environmental analysis is required. No new California Department of Fish and Wildlife fee is required, because an EIR was previously prepared for the TGPA/ZOU Project (State Clearinghouse Number SCH #2012052074).
- 1.2 The documents and other materials that constitute the record of proceedings upon which this decision is based are in the custody of the Planning and Building Department at 2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, CA 95667.

### **2.0 GENERAL PLAN FINDINGS**

#### **2.1 The project is consistent with General Plan Policy 2.2.1.2 High-Density Residential (HDR)**

General Plan Policy 2.2.1.2 identifies that the purpose of the High-Density Residential (HDR) land use designation establishes those areas suitable for intensive single-family residential development at densities from one to five dwelling units per acre. Allowable residential structure types include single-family attached (i.e., air-space condominiums, townhouses) and detached dwellings and manufactured homes. Except as provided in Policy 2.2.2.3, this designation is considered appropriate only within Community Regions and Rural Centers.

Rationale: The proposed general plan amendment and rezones in the Cameron Park

---

<sup>1</sup> Final Program Environmental Impact Report for the Targeted General Plan Amendment and Zoning Ordinance Update. <https://eldorado.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=2534630&GUID=349481A7-0533-4DEC-99C3-7CC9B82A8959>

Area is consistent with this policy. The site is within the Cameron Park Community Region. Both the existing Multifamily Residential (MFR) and the proposed HDR general plan designation are compatible in Community Regions. The subject 5 parcels in the Cameron Park Area are surrounded by HDR and MFR parcels to the north and east, and HDR on the south and west as indicated in Exhibit C1 General Plan Map. The proposed project is compatible with the land use designation with potential future development being consistent with density standards of the HDR land use designation.

## **2.2 The project is consistent with General Plan Policy 2.2.1.2 Rural Residential (RR)**

General Plan Policy 2.2.1.2 identifies that the purpose of the Rural Residential (RR) land use designation establishes areas for residential and agricultural development. These lands will typically have limited infrastructure and public services and will remain for the most part in their natural state. This category is appropriate for lands that are characterized by steeper topography, high fire hazards, and limited or substandard access as well as “choice” agricultural soils. The RR designation shall be used as a transition between Low-Density Residential (LDR) and the Natural Resource (NR) designation. Clustering of residential units under allowable densities is encouraged as a means of preserving large areas in their natural state or for agricultural production. Typical uses include single-family residences, agricultural support structures, a full range of agricultural production uses, recreation, and mineral development activities. The allowable density for this designation is one dwelling unit per 10 to 160 acres. This designation is considered appropriate only in the Rural Regions.

Rationale: The proposed general plan amendments and rezone in the North Placerville Area is consistent with this policy. The site is north of the Placerville Community Region, outside the community region boundary, and within the rural region. The subject North Placerville Area parcels are surrounded by RR general plan land use designation parcels to the north, east, south, and west as indicated in Exhibit C2 General Plan Map. The proposed project is compatible with the land use designation as the subject parcels are primarily on the east slope of Big Canyon where steeper topography exists. The density standards of the RR land use designation is one dwelling unit per 10 to 160 acres and the two subject parcels in the North Placerville Area average 26 acres in size.

## **2.3 The project is consistent with General Plan Policy 2.2.1.2 Medium-Density Residential (MDR)**

General Plan Policy 2.2.1.2 identifies areas suitable for detached single-family residences with larger lot sizes which will enable limited agricultural land management activities. This designation shall be applied where the character of an area is single-family residences; where the absence or reduced level of infrastructure including roads, water lines, and sewer lines does not justify higher densities; where the topography poses a constraint to higher densities; and as a transitional land use between the more highly developed and the more rural areas of the County. The maximum allowable density shall be one dwelling unit per 1.0 acre. Parcel sizes shall range from 1.00 to 5.00 acres. Except as provided in Policy 2.2.2.3, this designation is considered appropriate only within Community Regions and Rural Centers.

Rationale: The proposed general plan amendment and rezone in the Cedar Grove Area is consistent with this policy. This site is in close proximity to the Cedar Grove and Pollock Pines Rural Centers. The subject Cedar Grove parcel takes access on Shangrala Lane via a private road versus the public road of Pony Express Trail. The existing private road access is more compatible with Medium-Density Residential (MDR) where a reduced level of infrastructure including roads is acceptable and cannot justify higher densities or commercial uses. The proposed project for the Cedar Grove parcel would make it well-matched with the existing residential neighborhood parcels that takes access on Shangrala Lane. The subject one acre size Cedar Grove Area parcel is surrounded by Commercial (C) general plan land use designation parcels to the north, east, and south; and MDR to the west. The MDR land use designation is considered appropriate within rural centers.

#### 2.4 **The project is consistent with General Plan Policy 2.2.5.2.**

All applications for discretionary projects or permits including, but not limited to, General Plan amendments, zoning boundary amendments, tentative maps for major and minor land divisions, and special use permits shall be reviewed to determine consistency with the policies of the General Plan. No approvals shall be granted unless a finding is made that the project or permit is consistent with the General Plan. In the case of General Plan amendments, such amendments can be rendered consistent with the General Plan by modifying or deleting the General Plan provisions, including both the land use map and any relevant textual policies, with which the proposed amendments would be inconsistent.

Rationale: The project has been reviewed in accordance with General Plan Policy 2.2.5.2 and has been found to be consistent with all applicable policies of

the General Plan. The proposal is consistent with the intent of the General Plan, as determined within the General Plan Findings.

**2.5 The project is consistent with General Plan Policy 2.2.5.3.**

Policy 2.2.5.3 requires that the County evaluate future rezoning: (1) To be based on the General Plan's general direction as to minimum parcel size or maximum allowable density; and (2) To assess whether changes in conditions that would support a higher density or intensity zoning district. The specific criteria to be considered include, but are not limited to, the following nineteen criteria:

1. Availability of an adequate public water sources or an approved Capital Improvement Project to increase service for existing land use demands;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: The proposed project for the Cameron Park Area would be a reduction of the general plan density (MRF to HDR) and the rezone would lower the intensity of the zoning from Multi-unit Residential (RM) to Single-unit Residential (R1). Four out of the five proposed subject Cameron Park Area parcels are already developed with existing single family dwellings. The vacant parcel (080-465-028) would need to connect to the services of El Dorado Irrigation District (EID) at a lower intensity than that of a RM zone project.

North Placerville Area Rationale: The proposed project for the North Placerville Area would not connect to a public water source. There is an existing well on parcel 050-010-034 that currently serves the existing dwelling on parcel 050-010-038.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: The proposed project would need to connect to EID services. The specifics of the future EID connection will be determined during the building permit phase for the single family dwelling.

2. Availability and capacity of public treated water system;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: The four out of the five proposed subject Cameron Park Area Parcels are already developed with existing single family dwellings, and their existing connection to public sewer would not be affected by this project. The vacant parcel (080-462-028) would need to connect to available public treated water system; however, the connection would be at a lower capacity than what was contemplated for RM zoning.

North Placerville Area Rationale: The proposed project for the North Placerville Area would not connect to a public treated water system.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: The proposed project would need to connect to EID public treated water system. The specifics of this future connection will be determined during the building permit phase for the single family dwelling.

3. Availability and capacity of public waste water treatment system;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: Four out of the five proposed subject Cameron Park Area parcels are already developed with existing single family dwellings, and their existing connection to public sewer would not be affected by this project. The vacant parcel (080-462-028) would need to connect to available public sewer system; however, the connection would be at a lower capacity than what was contemplated for RM zoning.

North Placerville Area Rationale: The proposed project for the North Placerville Area would not connect to a public waste water treatment system.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: The proposed project would need to connect to connect to EID public waste water treatment system. The specifics of this future connection will be determined during the building permit phase for the single family dwelling.

4. Distance to and capacity of the serving elementary and high school;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: The proposed project for the Cameron Park Area is located within the Rescue Union School District. The nearest school is Green Valley Elementary School, located approximately 1.5 miles from the site. The assigned high school for this site is Ponderosa High. Prior to issuance of residential building permit on the vacant parcel (080-462-028), payment of school fees would be required, which would provide funding for any additional school capacity necessary to serve additional students.

North Placerville Area Rationale: The proposed project for the North Placerville Area is located within the Mother Lode Union School District. The nearest school is El Dorado High School, located approximately 1.2 miles from the site. The project would not create any new residential lots. The existing developed lot (050-010-038) already has a primary dwelling for which payment of school fees were collected prior to issuance of the residential building permit. As such, there would be no impact on school capacity.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: The proposed project for the Cedar Grove Area is located within the Pollock Pines School District. The nearest school is Pinewood Elementary School, located approximately 2.06 miles from the site. The assigned high school for this site is El Dorado High School. Prior to issuance of residential building permit on this site, payment of school fees would be required, which

would provide funding for any additional school capacity necessary to serve additional students.

5. Response time form nearest fire station handling structure fires;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: The proposed project for the Cameron Park Area site is located within the Cameron Park Community Service District (CSD) Fire Department. The nearest fire station is located approximately 0.5 miles from the project site. The proposed change in zoning for this site would be from RM to R1, which is a less intensive use than original contemplated under the RM zone.

North Placerville Area Rationale: The proposed project for the North Placerville Area site is located within the El Dorado County Fire Protection District. The project site is located approximately 1.3 miles from El Dorado County Fire Station 26. There is no proposed additional residential development at this site; therefore, the project would not affect acceptable fires service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: The proposed project for the Cedar Grove Area is located within El Dorado County Fire Protection District. The project site is located approximately 2.32 miles from the El Dorado County Fire Station 17.

6. Distance to nearest Community Region or Rural Center;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: The proposed project for the Cameron Park Area is located within the Community Region of Cameron Park.

North Placerville Area Rationale: The proposed project for the North Placerville Area site is located outside the Placerville Community Region boundary.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: The proposed project for the Cedar Grove Area site is located within close proximity to the Cedar Grove and Pollock Pines Rural Centers.

7. Erosion hazard;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: Review of the County Geographic Information System (GIS) soil data demonstrates the project site lies in soils underlain by Rescue very stony sandy loam (3 to 15 percent slopes) and Rescue clay, clayey variant. The erosion hazards for these soil types are slight to moderate. The grading necessary for developing the vacant parcel (080-462-028) would be required to comply with applicable grading and erosion control policies established by the County.

North Placerville Area Rationale: Review of the County GIS soil data demonstrates the project site lies in soils underlain by *Metamorphic Rock Land*,

*Mariposa Very Rocky Silt Loam* (50 to 70 percent slopes), and *Maymen Very Rocky Loam* (15 to 70 percent slopes). The erosion hazard for the metamorphic rock land is slight to moderate, the Mariposa very rocky silt loam is high, and the erosion hazard for the Maymen Very Rocky Loam is also high. The parcel with the existing residential development (050-010-038) will have the capacity to add additional accessory uses and structures associated with the primary use. The grading necessary for the onsite improvements would be required to comply with applicable grading and erosion control policies established by the County.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: Review of the County GIS soil data demonstrates the project site lies in soils underlain by Aiken Loam (9 to 15 percent slopes) and Cohasset Loam, shoulders (3 to 20 percent slope). The erosion hazard for these soil types are slight to moderate, and runoff is slow to medium. The grading necessary for developing the vacant parcel would be required to comply with applicable grading and erosion control policies established by the County.

8. Septic and leach field capacity;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: The proposed project for the Cameron Park Area does not propose a new septic systems or leach fields. The vacant parcel (080-462-028) would need to connect to available public sewer system.

North Placerville Area Rationale: The vacant parcels will remain undeveloped mainly due to their proposed zoning, existing terrain, and topography. The parcel with the existing residential development (050-010-038) will have the capacity to add additional accessory uses and structures associated with the primary dwelling. Future residential expansions or accessory dwelling unit will need a wastewater disposal report, including a soil percolation test submitted to Environmental Management who will determine if the system has enough capacity.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: No new septic systems are proposed. The proposed project would need to connect to EID public waste water treatment system. The specifics of this future connection will be determined during the building permit phase for the single family dwelling.

9. Groundwater capability to support wells;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: No wells are proposed, and the development would not impact groundwater supply.

North Placerville Area Rationale: No new wells are proposed, and the development will not impact groundwater supply.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: No wells are proposed, and the development does not impact groundwater supply. The proposed project would need to connect to EID services.

10. Critical flora and fauna habitat areas;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: An Oak Resources Technical Report is required as part of the building permit application if oak resources would be impacted by a future onsite development. Any removal of Oak resources would be mitigated in accordance with the adopted Oak Resources Management Plan (ORMP).

North Placerville Area Rationale: An Oak Resources Technical Report is required as part of the building permit application if oak resources would be impacted by a future onsite development. Any removal of Oak resources would be mitigated in accordance with the adopted ORMP.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: An Oak Resources Technical Report is required as part of the building permit application if oak resources would be impacted by a future onsite development. Any removal of Oak resources would be mitigated in accordance with the adopted ORMP.

11. Important timber production areas;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: The project site does not contain and is not adjacent to any important timber production areas.

North Placerville Area Rationale: The project site does not contain and is not adjacent to any important timber production areas.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: The project site does not contain and is not adjacent to any important timber production areas.

12. Important agricultural areas;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: The project site is not located near and does not adversely affect agricultural areas.

North Placerville Area Rationale: The project site is not located near and does not adversely affect agricultural areas.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: The project site is not located near and does not adversely affect agricultural areas.

13. Important mineral resource areas;



Cameron Park Area Rationale: The project site is not located near important mineral resource areas and would not adversely affect them.

North Placerville Area Rationale: The project site is located in the General Plan Mineral Resources (MR) overlay; however, the Chili Bar Slate Mine is located approximately 0.81 miles northwest from the project site. The project site is not directly adjacent to mining operations; therefore, there is a low probability that the project will adversely affect mineral resource operations.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: The project site is not located near important mineral resource areas and would not adversely affect them.

14. Capacity of the transportation system serving the area;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: Four out of the five proposed subject Cameron Park Area Parcels are already developed with existing single family dwellings, and their existing connection to the transportation system would not be affected by this project. The vacant parcel (080-462-028) would need to connect to the available transportation system; however, the connection would be at a lower capacity than what was contemplated for RM zoning.

North Placerville Area Rationale: There is no proposed additional residential development at this site; therefore, the project would not affect transportation system capacity.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: The vacant parcel once developed would need to connect to the available transportation system and anticipated that it would not affect transportation system capacity. Future residential development would be required to pay Traffic Impact Mitigation fees.

15. Existing land use patterns;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: The project would be consistent with the adjacent existing residential uses in the area as it is primarily surrounded by existing General Plan designated HDR and MFR parcels.

North Placerville Area Rationale: The project would be consistent with the adjacent General Plan designated RR parcels in the area.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: The project would be consistent with the adjacent General Plan designated MDR parcels to the southwest.

16. Proximity to perennial water course;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: The vacant parcel of 083-465-028 has a 40-foot wide drainage easement on the south end of the parcel according to Parcel Map 37-02. The project site is not located adjacent to perennial water courses. The nearest perennial stream of Deer Creek flows into Cameron Park Lake, which is approximately 0.5 miles west of the project site. The General Plan Amendment and rezone does not affect this water course.

North Placerville Area Rationale: The project site is located adjacent to Big Canyon Creek, which is a perennial water course that runs on the perimeter from northwest to southeast. The General Plan Amendment and rezone does not affect this water course, as there is no proposed additional development near the perennial area.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: The project site is not located adjacent to perennial water courses; however, across Pony Express Trail and on neighboring parcels there is El Dorado Irrigation District Main Canal. The El Dorado Irrigation District Main Canal flows 0.03 miles north of the project site. The proposed General Plan Amendments does not affect this water delivery ditch.

17. Important historical/archeological sites;

Cameron Park Area Rationale: At the project site there are no known historic or archaeological sites that would be affected by the project.

North Placerville Area Rationale: At the project site there are no known historic or archaeological sites that would be affected by the project.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: At the project site there are no know historic or archaeological sites that would be affected by the project.

18. Seismic hazards and present of active faults; and

Cameron Park Area Rationale: There are no active faults or extraordinary seismic hazards in the vicinity of the project site. Most faults or extraordinary seismic hazards are concentrated on the east slope of the County. Adherence to standard construction practices would prevent any seismic related hazards.

North Placerville Area Rationale: There are no active faults or extraordinary seismic hazards in the vicinity of the project site, as most are on the east slope of the County in Echo Lake, Emerald Bay, and the Tahoe Area. Adherence to standard construction practices would prevent any seismic related hazards.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: There are no active faults or extraordinary seismic hazards in the vicinity of the project site, as most are on the east slope of the

County in Echo Lake, Emerald Bay, and the Tahoe Area. Adherence to standard construction practices would prevent any seismic related hazards.

19. Consistency with existing Conditions, Covenants, and Restrictions.

Cameron Park Area Rationale: The proposed General Plan amendment and rezone for this project site would not result in a physical change to the site, and each parcel would continue to be restricted by development and maintenance standards of the existing CC&Rs for Cameron Woods<sup>2</sup> Unit No. 1.

North Placerville Area Rationale: The project site does not have any existing CC&Rs.

Cedar Grove Area Rationale: The project site does not have any existing CC&Rs.

2.6 **The project is consistent with California Government Code § 65863 (No Net Loss)**

California Government Code Section 65863:

- (a) *Each city, county, or city and county shall ensure that its housing element inventory described in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) of Section 65583 or its housing element program to make sites available pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 65583 can accommodate, at all times throughout the planning period, its remaining unmet share of the regional housing need allocated pursuant to Section 65584, except as provided in paragraph (2) of subdivision (c). At no time, except as provided in paragraph (2) of subdivision (c), shall a city, county, or city and county by administrative, quasi-judicial, legislative, or other action permit or cause its inventory of sites identified in the housing element to be insufficient to meet its remaining unmet share of the regional housing need for lower and moderate-income households.*
- (b) *(1) No city, county, or city and county shall, by administrative, quasi-judicial, legislative, or other action, reduce, or require or permit the reduction of, the residential density for any parcel to, or allow development of any parcel at, a lower residential density, as defined in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subdivision (g), unless the city, county, or city and county makes written findings supported by substantial evidence of both of the following:*
- (A) *The reduction is consistent with the adopted general plan, including the housing element.*
- (B) *The remaining sites identified in the housing element are adequate to meet the requirements of Section 65583.2 and to accommodate the jurisdiction's share of the regional housing need pursuant to Section 65584. The finding shall include a quantification of the remaining unmet need for the jurisdiction's share of the regional housing need at each income level and the remaining capacity of sites identified in the housing element to accommodate that need by income level.*

---

2 Existing CC&Rs Found here: <https://www.cameronpark.org/files/e74f6d9e7/Cameron+Woods+Unit+1.pdf>

Rationale: The Cameron Park Area project site includes a parcel (083-465-028) that has been identified in the County General Plan 2021-2029 Housing Element as a site suitable for the development of housing for very-low (VL) to low (L) income households. This parcel consists of approximately 2.3 acres and was attributed a reasonable capacity for the development of 29 units for the very-low to low (VL/L) income households. (See General Plan Appendix B – Residential Land Inventory: Table HO-34: Vacant Sites Western Slope)

Codified on January 1, 2018 as California Government Code § 65863, SB 166 is known as the “No Net Loss” law. The law prohibits jurisdictions, with certain exceptions, from permitting a development at a density lower than what was specified for the site in that jurisdiction’s housing element. The law does make certain exceptions. For example, in certain circumstances, if a jurisdiction has adopted a Housing Element that is in compliance with state law, it may make written findings supported by substantial evidence that the reduction in density is consistent with the adopted general plan, including the Housing Element, and that the remaining sites are adequate to accommodate the jurisdiction’s share of the regional housing need. A local jurisdiction that can successfully make these findings may be able to lower residential density on certain sites as long as there is “no net loss” of density. The law defines “lower residential density” in multiple ways, depending on the circumstances, such as whether the site has been or will be rezoned. Typically, “lower residential density” will be defined as a lower residential density than what is identified in the Housing Element.

The County’s land inventory contained in the 2021-2029 Housing Element Update supports the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) allocation of housing, including projects approved prior to the 2021 update and the potential development of vacant parcels and development on underutilized parcels, exceeds the net remaining RHNA in the lower income categories. In other words, the County has identified a surplus of available land inventory for development opportunities for all income categories. Approval of this project at the proposed density and affordability level should not result in a net loss of affordable housing for lower income categories; however, full analysis is ongoing for development projects between 2021-2029 during the Housing Element’s eight year planning cycle. The quantifiable analysis is limited to the data collected so far from September 7, 2021, which is the date the Board of Supervisors Adopted the 2021-2029 Housing Element Update. The Housing Element Annual Progress Reports submitted every year by April 1<sup>st</sup> to the Housing and Community Development (HCD) will allow County staff to keep an accounting of our RHNA numbers as we progress through

the planning horizon eight year cycle.

Table HO-30 (see below) indicates that the west slope has a land inventory of 2,800 in the very-low/ low (VL/L) category and removal of the project's 29 units in the VL/L would result in 2,771 VL/L category. As long as our vacant land inventory in the VL/L category does not decrease below the projected 2,309 units, then we are in the clear for adhering to our RHNA obligations. The unit surplus is what allows this project to move forward and still sustain consistency with the General Plan Housing Element.

Table HO-30  
**Land Inventory Summary – El Dorado County**

	Income Category			
	Very Low/Low	Moderate	Above	Total
Pending/Approved Projects	101	8	2,583	2,692
Vacant land				
West Slope	2,239	757	175	3,171
East Slope	133	45	136	314
Projected Accessory Dwelling Units	327	251	6	584
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>2,800</b>	<b>1,061</b>	<b>2,900</b>	<b>6,761</b>
RHNA (2021–2029)	2,309	903	2,141	5,353
<b>Unit Surplus</b>	<b>491</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>759</b>	<b>1,408</b>

Source: El Dorado County. January 2021

Housing element law specifies that jurisdictions must identify adequate sites that could accommodate and be made available to encourage the residential development of a variety of housing types for all economic segments of the population. In evaluating the residential growth potential, the County of El Dorado has reviewed vacant sites in the unincorporated areas identified for residential use, which are summarized in the Residential Land Inventory of the Housing Element, Appendix B<sup>3</sup>.

The parcels in the North Placerville Area and Cedar Grove Area are not affected by the “No Net Loss” California Government Code § 65863 as their current General Plan Land Use Designations were not included in the vacant land Residential Land Inventory. For instance, the North Placerville Area parcels include either Open Space (OS) land use

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.edc.gov.us/Government/longrangeplanning/LandUse/Pages/General-Plan-Housing-Element---2021.aspx>

designation, or a split general plan designation of OS/RR (Rural Residential). Meanwhile, the Cedar Grove Area parcel has a Land Use Designation of Commercial; which are land uses that are typically not included in the residential land inventory assessment.

### **3.0 ZONING FINDINGS**

#### **3.1 The proposed use is consistent with Chapter 130.23.**

The Cameron Park Area parcels are currently zoned RM and would be rezoned to R1 with approval of the project. The project has been analyzed in accordance with Zoning Ordinance Section 130.23.030 (Development Standards) for minimum lot size, widths, and building setbacks.

The Cedar Grove Area parcel is currently zoned One-Acre Residential (R1A) and would remain R1A with approval of the project.

Rationale: The Cameron Park Area project site is proposed to be rezoned from RM to R1 with the proposed parcels meeting the required lot size, lot width, and minimum setbacks as required of them during development of the exiting dwellings. The vacant parcel (083-465-027) would be required to meet the Development Standards of the proposed rezone from RM to R1. The minimum lot size for the R1 zone is 6,000 square feet, with a minimum width of 60 feet, and minimum setback of 20 feet for front yards, 5 feet for sides, and 15 feet for the rear yard. The development standards between the RM and R1 are similar with the exception of the R1 zone having a rear yard of 15 feet instead of 10 feet.

The Cedar Grove Area project site will not be rezoned. The project site for Cedar Grove Area meets the required lot size and lot width. The vacant parcel (076-270-039) would be required to meet the Development Standards once the project site is developed in the future.

#### **1.2 The proposed use is consistent with Chapter 130.21.**

The North Placerville Area parcels are currently zoned OS and parcel 050-010-038 has a split zoning of OS with RL-20. The proposed project would rezone the split zone parcel from OS/RL-20 to RL-20. The 050-010-035 would also be rezoned from OS to RL-20. The North Placerville Area site has been analyzed to account for the proposed rezones.

The project site has been analyzed in accordance with Zoning Ordinance Section 130.23.030 (Development Standards) for minimum lot size, width, and building setbacks.

Rationale: The North Placerville Area project site contains parcel (050-010-038), which has a split zoning of OS/RL-20. The rezone component of this project would fix the split zoning from OS/RL-20 to simply RL-20 with approval of the project.