

PC 02/27/25 Item 3 4 Pages

Re: Commercial Cannabis Use Permit CCUP21-0007, Rosewood project

From Michael Pinette <michaelpca@gmail.com>

Date Wed 2/26/2025 4:48 PM

To Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>

Cc kim biskner <kbiskner4@yahoo.com>; Annette Greenough <annettelgreenough@gmail.com>; Whitney Ordelheide <whitney.ordelheide@gmail.com>

1 attachment (18 KB)

Pinette letter amendment -- ccup0007.docx;

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

3rd time is a charm... see attached, corrected

On Wed, Feb 26, 2025 at 1:31 PM Planning Department < <u>planning@edcgov.us</u>> wrote: Dear Mr. Pinette,

Thank you for reaching out regarding your submitted public comment. Once a public comment has been officially received and recorded, it cannot be altered or removed. However, we can accept a new submission from you to be included in the record.

As your email did not include an attachment, please feel free to send the amended document, and we will ensure it is added to the record for consideration during the Planning Commission meeting on Thursday, February 27, 2025.

<u>Please note that the deadline for comments is 3:00 PM. To ensure your amended document is included in the record, please email it before this time.</u>

Please let us know if you have any questions.

Best regards,

County of El Dorado

Planning and Building Department (Planning Services) 2850 Fairlane Court Placerville, CA 95667 (530) 621-5355

From: Michael Pinette < michaelpca@gmail.com > Sent: Wednesday, February 26, 2025 12:58 PM

To: Planning Department planning@edcgov.us; kim biskner <kbiskner4@yahoo.com; Annette Greenough

<annettelgreenough@gmail.com>; Whitney Ordelheide <whitney.ordelheide@gmail.com> Subject: Commercial Cannabis Use Permit CCUP21-0007, Rosewood project</whitney.ordelheide@gmail.com></annettelgreenough@gmail.com>
ATTN: El Dorado County, Planning Commission Staff:
Planning commission and staff,
Attached is an amendment to my letter submitted as a public comment on Agenda Item #3, file #25-0251, related to Rosewood (Commercial Cannabis Use Permit CCUP21-0007) request for the construction and operation of a cannabis cultivation facility for medical and adult-use recreational cannabis.
I sent a letter earlier in January on this CCUP project, and I would like to make sure that my letter esignature is amended to read the following:
Mike Pinette, El Dorado Growers Alliance CFO/Treasure. Please remove the secondary title of AFSC Chair which I did in error, it causes a conflict of interest with a non-profit. If you require me to resubmit the entire letter amended as suggested I am happy to do that as well.
Please ensure this public comment is added to the record and included for consideration during the Planning Commission meeting set for Thursday, February 27 th , 2025.
Pagards
Regards, With regards
Mlke Pinette
WARNING: This email and any attachments may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any unauthorized review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments) by other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments.

From: Michael Pinette <michaelpca@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, January 3, 2025 3:06 AM

To: Planning Department planning@edcgov.us>

Cc: Lee Tannenbaum <lee.tannenbaum@gmail.com>; Kevin McCarty <kevinwmccarty@pm.me>

Subject: CCU P-0007, Rosewood

TWIMC, Planning Commissioners, Planning Staff, interested citizens.

I write on behalf of Jason Kipperman and his team investing in their CCUP Project. Like every project before the commission in the past three years, he and his team have patiently abided by the process, followed the rules, helped prod and push staff to abide by the process, such that it is, to bring his very legal and open project request to this hearing. Unlike what has happened to each and every licensee, it is my hope you put facts and legality and majority opinion above rapproach and do not legitimize or over weight personal opinions, abject and wrongful speculation regarding the usual tropes ...crime, noise, water rights, traffic, pesticides, unfit for the neighborhood, and the coupe de grace Deputy Ishmael (shameful). I think at this point we are beyond the tropes, focus on facts and legality. Those opposed froth at the mouth pining on about clean air, keeping El Dorado safe and a farming community—nothing will make this vision be achieved, frankly what every legal grower and licensee wants more true than approving CCUP -0007.

Of those opposed, what are they doing to preserve nature, support farming, build safe and legally approved land use projects for cannabis, creating employment opportunities to a region that desperately needs new industry to succeed??? Cannabis farming has proven to enhance soils and the environment by removing toxins, yet people don't want to listen to facts and science.

CCUP21-0007 Rosewood. Is not asking for any exemptions to which I am aware, a very clean legal project. The ISMND is solid, so is the CEQA from Helix, no need for an EIR. Jason and his team want to support and enrich the community despite unwarranted and specious speculation. CCUP 0007 complies with all county and state regulations and has a mitigated negative deck, proper odor, noise and traffic reports. It is a small project. It fits into the profile for what naysayers are requesting.... Keeping the county rural and safe. As an agricultural preserve property as defined in the El Dorado General Plan of 1996, Jason and his team have higher water rights than those voicing opinions, which has been ignored far too often in these commission hearings. Jason is following the rules for county and state laws, so must the Commission and planning staff. The extreme one-off voices should be given no standing legally to oppose this project, period.

With regards,

Michael Pinette

CFO, Treasurer El Dorado Growers Advocacy Alliance 650-269-0063

El Dorado County Planning Commission Meeting – February 27, 2025, agenda item number – 25-2501

Good morning, commissioners.

My name is Michael Pinette and I am the CFO/Treasurer of the EDC Growers Alliance, EDGAA.

I want to take a moment today to speak not about any single project, but about the role and legal responsibilities of the Planning Commission. The work you do is essential to ensuring land use decisions are made fairly, legally, and in accordance with state and local regulations—not personal preference or political pressure.

As members of this Commission, you are bound by California land use law, CEQA, and El Dorado County ordinances. Your decisions must be objective, fact-based, and legally defensible. Under CEQA, for example, you may require additional environmental review only if there is substantial scientific evidence that a project may cause a significant impact (14 CCR § 15064(f)). Public opinion, speculation, or personal discomfort are not legally sufficient grounds to justify denying a project or imposing unnecessary requirements.

This is particularly relevant when it comes to hydrological studies. Neither this Commission nor the Board of Supervisors can arbitrarily require a hydrological study unless there is scientific proof that water impacts will occur and that current mitigation measures are inadequate (14 CCR § 15155). Without this proof, imposing such a requirement would be beyond your authority.

Additionally, when considering land use compatibility, we must apply sound planning principles, not arbitrary interpretations of the law. A bus stop is not a sensitive site under CEQA or zoning laws. Treating it as such would set an unmanageable precedent where every temporary gathering spot—whether it be a mail drop-off, a transit stop, or a delivery zone—would suddenly be subject to special land use restrictions. That is not how zoning works.

At the end of the day, this Commission's duty is clear: apply the law, not emotions or personal biases. Each project must be reviewed under the same objective legal framework applied to every other land use application. To do otherwise would be to undermine the integrity of this Commission and expose the County to legal challenges.

I urge you to focus on your legal mandate, follow the objective standards set forth by CEQA, County ordinances and staff recommendations, and ensure that your decisions are based on fact, law, and sound land use planning principles—nothing more, and certainly nothing less. Please approve this project.

Thank you.

Michael Pinette CFO/Treasurer, EDC Growers Alliance

PC 02/27/25 Item 3 4 Pages

Petitions for EDS Panning Commission re Rosewood

🛭 1 attachment (2 MB)

Petitions Somerset Community.pdf;

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

I realize these are too late for the hearing on 2/27/25, but please add them to the documents file later. Thanks

PETITION TO DENY CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT (CCUP21-0007) FOR THE ROSEWOOD LANE CANNABIS PROJECT. February 18, 2025

To: El Dorado County Planning Commission, 2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, CA 95667

We, the undersigned residents of El Dorado County, strongly oppose the approval of the Rosewood Lane Cannabis Cultivation Project (CCUP21-0007), located in a small, quiet residential neighborhood on a private road at Omo Ranch Road and Derby Lane.

This project poses serious risks to the safety, well-being (human, wildlife, water, air, soil, etc.), and character of the Somerset rural community. We urge the Planning Commission to deny this permit and require a full EIR as this fact-based evidence of: 1) Significant Impacts to the Environment; 2) Violations to the County Ordinance property setback lines. In addition, there are numerous unresolved concerns: Increasing number of Cannabis projects in rural south county; the lack of response from EDC Planning and Building staff to our concerns; increased fire danger in high and extremely high fire zones; water quantity and quality risk; odor and noise pollution impacts., etc.

NAME	ADDRESS	EMAIL/PHONE	SIGNATURE
		OPTIONAL	
Swan LVoyers	3021 Spuller How	mpx 480 Encel	Emanglogues
	MT. AUKUM PA 91756	452-1E2-522.	Samuel 2
Jonie Bowers	Give Have Have Las	530 3910577	lungo
THINK KINGS	Struct CA	abyer exphosion	July 1
have ayw	7621 Sycamore DV	330 4073188	and taylor
	Somerset CA 95184 3032 Little Spic Rel	916 764-645 C	
Janet Telfer	Someset, CH 95184	104 443 C	famility.
Kuthy Murtin	2515 Bertone	925-963-58	18 KSX/12
Canny Morreal	Someset, 95684	Soc glogal, net	Car neigh Meneale
/	6625 PERRY CREEK RO	MMONIZENIE 522	110
Michael Menerale	Somerset, CA 95684	Egmail. com	Morrice
5/ D	Somersky	- 6-	
Share Loss	3037 Little Stock of	530-620-2009	// 1
Jane C. De	2681 NZOH.11Dr		f.
Jane Gardna	M+ Aukum ca	5306202021	au Lader
T /	2681 1006 HT/Dr.		12/3
James Goldner	M+ Aukum, CA 95656	530-391-4102	Jan Duch
Sharen Robach	7052 Bortone Dr. Somerset Carsos		Sharen D. Robac
0	7052 Bertone Dr.		
Barry Robach	Somersel (295684	539620-0332	1 Man

PETITION TO DENY CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT (CCUP21-0007) FOR THE ROSEWOOD LANE CANNABIS PROJECT. February 18, 2025

To: El Dorado County Planning Commission, 2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, CA 95667

We, the undersigned residents of El Dorado County, strongly oppose the approval of the Rosewood Lane Cannabis Cultivation Project (CCUP21-0007), located in a small, quiet residential neighborhood on a private road at Omo Ranch Road and Derby Lane.

This project poses serious risks to the safety, well-being (human, wildlife, water, air, soil, etc.), and character of the Somerset rural community. We urge the Planning Commission to deny this permit and require a full EIR as this fact-based evidence of: 1) Significant Impacts to the Environment; 2) Violations to the County Ordinance property setback lines. In addition, there are numerous unresolved concerns: Increasing number of Cannabis projects in rural south county; the lack of response from EDC Planning and Building staff to our concerns; increased fire danger in high and extremely high fire zones; water quantity and quality risk; odor and noise pollution impacts., etc.

NAME	ADDRESS	EMAIL/PHONE	SIGNATURE
		OPTIONAL	
Jackie Wehus	6961 Fairplay Rd. Somerset, CH 95680	jackie mehus	Deputie a Whetar
Carol Catania	JOHI Fairplay Rd. Somerset, CH95684	rccataniane	and deans
	Somer of CA Goust		In Birly
	3440 Derby Ct Somerset CA 95684	530	
Smallenill	3440 Derby Ct. Somerset LA 95684	(Mas Marill
	<u> </u>	l	

PETITION TO DENY CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT (CCUP21-0007) FOR THE ROSEWOOD LANE CANNABIS PROJECT. February 18, 2025

To: El Dorado County Planning Commission, 2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, CA 95667

We, the undersigned residents of El Dorado County, strongly oppose the approval of the Rosewood Lane Cannabis Cultivation Project (CCUP21-0007), located in a small, quiet residential neighborhood on a private road at Omo Ranch Road and Derby Lane.

This project poses serious risks to the safety, well-being (human, wildlife, water, air, soil, etc.), and character of the Somerset rural community. We urge the Planning Commission to deny this permit and require a full EIR as this fact-based evidence of: 1) Significant Impacts to the Environment; 2) Violations to the County Ordinance property setback lines. In addition, there are numerous unresolved concerns: Increasing number of Cannabis projects in rural south county; the lack of response from EDC Planning and Building staff to our concerns; increased fire danger in high and extremely high fire zones; water quantity and quality risk; odor and noise pollution impacts., etc.

NAME	ADDRESS	EMAIL/PHONE	SIGNATURE
		OPTIONAL	
ESMIH KUMS	Court	•	2727
Migh Shown Sware	2671 PARRY RD. Son=1507, CA 57089		late -