



homes, another cell tower facility and water tank already on an adjacent property, traffic associated with ongoing maintenance of these existing and proposed facilities and their use of the same access easement, and the commercial/industrial appearance of the structures on a small residential lot within a residential neighborhood. They were also concerned about potential long term health issues and the resulting decline of property values.

After closing the public hearing, the Planning Commission considered the written evidence and oral testimony provided and voted to conceptually deny the project without prejudice, which would allow the applicant to redesign the project and reapply (see Ordinance Code Section 130.22.240) if they chose to do so. The Planning Commission then directed staff to prepare written findings of fact supporting the conceptual action and final action on the matter was continued to January 22, 2015.

**Discussion:**

At the December 11, 2014 public hearing, the Planning Commission did not have a comprehensive alternative site analysis. The Commission did receive coverage maps and a presentation of two other locations that the Applicant considered.

A supplemental detailed alternative site analysis was submitted that analyzed the preferred site and four alternate sites, and included coverage maps, photographs and RF modeling. Staff anticipates receiving an updated alternative site analysis that will review the possibility of multiple smaller towers to provide the same coverage as the one larger tower.

The Applicant made revisions to the site plans in consideration of the Commission's concerns. The revisions include relocation of the tower to avoid cutting or cropping of trees, a redesign to a more residential looking equipment shelter, and removal of HVAC units. These revised plans would be analyzed by Staff and presented to the Planning Commission at the February 26, 2015 hearing with revised conditions of approval and findings.

Planning Services will advertise the February 26, 2015 hearing to neighbors and in the newspaper in order to allow the public the opportunity to testify on this additional information.

**Attachments**

Exhibit A.....Applicant's Request for Continuance; January 7, 2015



15 JAN -7 AM 10:28

RECEIVED  
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

**Request for Continuance**

To: The County of El Dorado

Re: AT&T # CNU6214 / 1697 Skyline Drive South Lake Tahoe, CA 96150

Project # S-14-0009

I/We, at Shore 2 Shore Wireless, as the representatives of AT&T for project number S-14-0009, formally request a continuance of our project to the February 26, 2015 hearing date.

We have amended the previously supplied Alternative Sites Analysis to provide additional data for your consideration, and provide better definition of the term "Congestion Relief" as a "Significant Coverage Gap" in which the proposed facility is the least intrusive means to fill said gap. We have also adjusted the plans to meet the requests from the Planning Department that we move the proposed site to a more suitable location on the proposed property that will not interfere with; remove, or top, any of the surrounding trees. We have also changed the shelter design to match the residential architecture in the neighborhood as well as removing any need for air conditioning units negating any previous noise concerns.

Please accept this request for a continuance to the February 26, 2015 hearing to review the revised site plans and the revised Detailed Alternative Sites Analysis. Thank you.

John Pek  
(Signature)

John Pek  
(Print name)

1-7-2015  
(Date)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Print name)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

**EXHIBIT A**