



PC 1-10-2019
3
(2 pages)
Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>

Comments - Conditional Use Permit Revision S01-0018-R-2 Planned Development Revision PD01-0010-R-2

1 message

PATRICK RODGERS <pj.rodgers@comcast.net>

Wed, Jan 2, 2019 at 9:55 PM

Reply-To: PATRICK RODGERS <pj.rodgers@comcast.net>

To: bosfour@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosone@edcgov.us, planning@edcgov.us

2 January, 2019

Re: Conditional Use Permit Revision S01-0018-R-2
Planned Development Revision PD01-0010-R-2
2600 Cambridge Rd, Cameron Park

Dear Supervisor Parlin,

We request that the revisions noted above be denied. Our reasons are as follows:

1. The 2 story addition will tower over the adjacent apartments to the south and west side as well as the single family homes to the north in the Emerald Meadows sub-development, thus creating an eyesore and depressing the values of the adjacent properties. The viewshed of trees will be interrupted by the new addition. This will be the only two story commercial building in the area. The area already suffers from excessive lighting at night, and the additions will exacerbate the light pollution by having more perimeter lighting.
2. Traffic will increase on Cambridge Rd and Green Valley Rd. which are already crowded, and rural roads with wildlife and pedestrians and a nearby pre-school on Cambridge Rd as well as an elementary school off of Bass Lake Rd. Construction will increase the impact on Cambridge Rd and Green Valley Rd. Students from the middle school also walk along Green Valley Rd adjacent to the storage complex to the adjacent shopping center .
3. There have been reported cases of people living in the storage units.
4. The additional units will be targets for break-ins and burglaries of the units. More units will mean more crime.
5. The developer is asking for variance on the current zoning and what are they offering in return? They have not reached out to surrounding area to explain what they are actually proposing, how it will be located on the site and how it will interact with the existing buildings, and what is the community receiving as a benefit for this excessive development on a fully developed site.
6. The additional development will create extra noise, pollution, and congestion.
7. How is the developer dealing with the creek to the west of the site? Will the adjacent property owners have a higher chance of flooding due to the development? Will the development increase risk of flooding of the nearby roadways?

We are against this rezoning because it will not create any new permanent jobs and create unneeded storage space and bring in questionable activities.

Respectfully,

Constance and Patrick Rodgers

CC: Supervisor Fretzen – District 2

Supervisor John Hidal – District 1

1/3/2019

Edcgov.us Mail - Comments - Conditional Use Permit Revision S01-0018-R-2 Planned Development Revision PD01-0010-R-2
Planning Department