

doors (with just 2-3 public observers) and decisions largely were hijacked by one of the panel members to whom all the other panel members acquiesced).

In closing, all of you five supervisors and County staff should be very proud of your final redistricting result as your final result adhered to the Fair Maps Act, even though the profound district changes were not necessarily consistent with individual supervisor political preferences.

Thank you for your job done well.

Most respectfully,

Greg Prada

Cameron Park



County of El Dorado Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

RE: Final redistricting map alternatives, December 7, 2021

gprada@comcast.net <gprada@comcast.net>

Thu, Dec 2, 2021 at 3:50 PM

To: The BOSONE <bosone@edcgov.us>, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us, bosfour@edcgov.us, bosfive@edcgov.us

Cc: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Oops. Please note that my recommendation is for “Revised B-1” as corrected in red below.

From: gprada@comcast.net <gprada@comcast.net>**Sent:** Thursday, December 2, 2021 3:42 PM**To:** 'The BOSONE' <bosone@edcgov.us>; 'bostwo@edcgov.us' <bostwo@edcgov.us>; 'bosthree@edcgov.us' <bosthree@edcgov.us>; 'bosfour@edcgov.us' <bosfour@edcgov.us>; 'bosfive@edcgov.us' <bosfive@edcgov.us>**Cc:** 'edc.cob@edcgov.us' <edc.cob@edcgov.us>**Subject:** Final redistricting map alternatives, December 7, 2021

Dear Supervisors,

While it is personally disappointing to me that the Cameron Park census designated place is the only one of the 12 census designated places in El Dorado County* to be split in both final redistricting maps, I heartily endorse “Revised B -1” as the best redistricting alternative of the 2 final maps as “Revised B-1” keeps the “percent difference” for all five districts within the range -1.51% to +1.38%.

(*Here is a link to the complete list of the U.S. Census Bureau’s 12 CDP’s in El Dorado County https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Census-designated_places_in_El_Dorado_County,_California)

It is to Staff’s credit that none of the other tweaks requested on November 16th made it into “Revised B -1” as none of those suggested other tweaks adhered to the mandated priority parameters of the Fair Maps Act (other than the transfer of 798 suburban population in Rescue within the Cameron Park census designated place and done so in order to level population between Districts 2 and 4).

I do request that Staff maintains in its files that map alternatives developed for 2031 redistricting start with ensuring that all census designated places are not split. In hindsight the 2021 redistricting would have progressed far more smoothly if up front attention to census designated places had been followed.

I also would like to comment about the suggestion by some for El Dorado County to use an independent commission to do supervisorial redistricting. I believe a separate redistricting commission is a very BAD idea as redistricting requires both: a) diligent efforts by County GIS and legal staff, AND b) every step of the way public scrutiny of those doing redistricting, both of which occurred in your 2021 redistricting. *(In contrast, please note that in 2011, EID did its redistricting by a separate panel but that panel largely met behind closed doors (with just 2-3 public observers) and decisions largely were hijacked by one of the panel members to whom all the other panel members acquiesced).*

In closing, all of you five supervisors and County staff should be very proud of your final redistricting result as your final result adhered to the Fair Maps Act, even though the profound district changes were not necessarily consistent with individual supervisor political preferences.

Thank you for your job done well.

Most respectfully,

Greg Prada

Cameron Park