

9/10/2018

Edcgov.us Mail - Ordinance reading

#2



LATE DISTRIBUTION

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

DATE

9/10/2018

BOS 9/11/2018

## Ordinance reading

1 message

Stephanie Demsar <sdemsar@pacbell.net>

Mon, Sep 10, 2018 at 10:47 AM

To: bosone@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us, bosthree@edcgov.us, bosfour@edcgov.us, The BOSFIVE <bosfive@edcgov.us>

Cc: Edc <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Board of Supervisors:

After becoming aware that our Ad Hoc committee for VHRs has stated residents were in agreement to not imposing a Cap on the number of VHRs in the Tahoe Basin and a Cap on the total number of guest I feel it is a gross misrepresentation of what has occurred at those meetings.

First and foremost every single ordinance recommendation put forward has been hand selected by Property Management companies. Those who profit most. The ordinances put forward will do little if anything to mitigate the nuisances vhr create or stop the commercialization of our residential neighborhoods. These ordinance serve only one group and one group only, VHR businesses.

To state that our complaints are an over exaggeration is shameful as I heard these very words spoken by property managers at Ad hoc meetings. I myself stopped calling enforcement a year ago when it did nothing to remedy problems and started going to Board meeting. I felt there must be a fair balance between VHRs and our neighborhoods. I felt fair and unbiased discussion and reasoning who prevail from our representatives, but I see our local representative feels it should be a free market on our homes and neighborhoods.

There must be a Cap on the number of VHRs, without a cap what is to become of our neighborhoods?

This sentiment was also expressed at the last TRPA meeting by our group Tahoe Residents first, a meeting in which Mrs. Novasel was in attendance.

I implore you to not make such one sided decisions that has such and impact on our neighborhoods and their residents.

Respectfully

Stephane Demsar

Sent from my iPhone

#2

On July 26<sup>th</sup> 2018 The Ad Hoc Committee met at the Magnet School in Meyers Ca

Our Group – Tahoe Residents First was seated up front and to the left of Members Ranalli and Novasel, and the Realtors and Builders were seated back rows to the far right of Ranalli and Novasel.

During public comment period – I remained seated and made a reply to the realtors and contractors with the following;

(1) I expressed my sadness that this VHR Issue has put many of us who are friends in different businesses at each other's throats.

(2) I told the Realtors and Contractors that I didn't think the proposed Cap of 900 was going to do us any good – as we already have too many Vhr's – and nothing is being done for clustering and saturation. I also stated that I could understand that imposing a Cap could "Spook the Real Estate Market " but we need some form of a Cao and nuisance relief.

(3) I then stated that last year ( our group ) proposed a Cap of 650 last year when we realized the nuisance problems escalated , ( this was submitted twice along with two pages of other suggestions) **entered into the record** during regular BOS meetings by me personally.

(4) **All of what I said led up to my statement that we had repeatedly suggest the BOD Ad HOC adopt a 500 foot Radius Rule** which would effectively create its own Cap over time – and cure most of the nuisance problems by breaking up the clustering.

**At that meeting – NONE of what I said – or any of our group members said suggested, implied or stated that OUR GROUP didn't want limitations or a Cap on VHR's!**

It's obvious that Supervisor Ranalli and Supervisor Novasel have literally fabricated this false misrepresentation ( outright lie) of what we said at that Tahoe Ad Hoc meeting ! No minutes were taken ...

**Our Tahoe Residents First Group was absolutely shocked to view what Ranalli and Novasel presented on 8-28-18 to the rest of your Board Members. It was an absolute "Fabrication" of what we said.**

\*After that July 26<sup>th</sup> Ad Hoc Meeting – I reached out to people in the Real Estate, Construction and VHR Rental Businesses to put together a meeting to see if we had anything in common to effectively create a limitation ( or Cap) on Vhr's – so that we could bring it to your Board. That effort mysteriously disappeared with the presumption that they privately met with the Ad Hoc Committee and sealed the deal of having you drop any Cap or Occupancy limitation.

We are asking this Board to drop this adoption of this VHR Ordinance revision at this time and begin seriously working on some form of a Cap and breaking up clustering and saturation.

Your repeated assertion that "enforcement is the key" has no validity while preserving unlimited permitting of VHR's and no effort what so ever to break up clustering. We want a Moratorium on new permitting now.

We believe Tahoe's VHR Ordinance s should be exclusive to the East Slope , and ALL meetings affecting us to be held in South Lake Tahoe from here out – so local residents can be part of the solutions.

John Adamski – Tahoe Residents First (530) 577-1412