

Findings

1.0 CEQA FINDINGS

- 1.1 The activity is covered by the common sense exemption (15061(b)(3)) that California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) applies only to projects, which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA.

Typically, a variance request would use a class 5 categorical exemption. However, the proposed project is in a location with a slope of more than 20%. This slope is due to the creation of the roadway and is not the native slope for the site. It is due to these artificially steep slopes that the applicant has requested a variance to the front setback. The residence and garage are allowed by right on this parcel at the 20-foot setback line. An administrative permit could be approved which would reduce the setback by 50% to 10 feet without a discretionary hearing. Both of these options would result in more grading and vegetation removal. Therefore, a variance to the front setback will further reduce the impact to the environment.

A determination of the potentially affected elements in the CEQA environmental checklist was completed. Those potentially affected elements are: Aesthetics, Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, Geology/Soils, Hydrology/Water Quality, Cultural Resources and Land Use/Planning and Wildfire.

The proposed residential structures will be consistent with the height, scenic and dark-night requirements of the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency (TRPA). The project will not alter or destroy scenic resources. This project will not affect aesthetics of the area.

Impacts to biological resources would not occur with this project at a level higher than a ministerial project. Biological Resources, such as special status habitat destruction, wetland alteration, interference with species migration, conflict with local preservation policies or habitat modification, are not anticipated to be effected with this development.

There is a low potential for locating prehistoric or historic-period cultural resources according to the California Historical Resources Information System, North Central Information Center as determined by a search of their records.

The project would not affect Geologic/Soils onsite including: rupturing earthquake faults, the loss of topsoil, result in a landslide, have soils unable to support wastewater facilities or directly or indirectly result in the destruction of paleontological resource or unique geologic feature.

- 1.2 The documents, and other materials which constitute the record of proceedings upon which this decision is based, are in the custody of the El Dorado County Planning and Building Department, at 2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, CA.

2.0 GENERAL PLAN FINDINGS

- 2.1 **The project is consistent with General Plan Policy 2.2.5.2: All applications for discretionary projects or permits including, but not limited to, General Plan amendments, zoning boundary amendments, tentative maps for major and minor land divisions, and special use permits shall be reviewed to determine consistency with the policies of the General Plan. No approvals shall be granted unless a finding is made that the project or permit is consistent with the General Plan. In the case of General Plan amendments, such amendments can be rendered consistent with the General Plan by modifying or deleting the General Plan provisions, including both the land use map and any relevant textual policies, with which the proposed amendments would be inconsistent.**

Rationale: The subject parcel is in the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency Adopted Plan, Plan Area Statement Angora Highlands and residential structures are a permissible use. This use also complies with the General Plan.
Staff finds the project is consistent with the General Plan and Adopted Plan.

3.0 ZONING FINDINGS

The project is consistent with Title 130:

- 3.1 Sec. 130.30.080 - Hillside Development Standards; 30 Percent Slope Restriction.

This Section contains standards to implement General Plan policies applicable to development within hillside areas. This Section regulates disturbance and development on existing lots containing slope gradients 30 percent or greater in all zones, provides for exceptions to allow reasonable use of property, relieve burdens on and promote agricultural production and protect the public health and safety.

This site is exempt from the requirements of this section because it is within the jurisdiction of the TRPA. Beyond this exemption, the site complies with other exemptions of this section of the Zoning Ordinance.

Calculation of the site gradient excludes artificial slopes created under permit by the County. Creation of this road was in 1961 with a County permit. The road grading created an artificial slope in excess of 50%.

The site of the replacement house is substantially within the footprint of the previous house and has slopes less than 30%.

3.2 There are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building, or use referred to in the application, which circumstances or conditions do not apply generally to land, buildings or uses in the vicinity and the same zone, and have not resulted from any act of the owner or applicant.

Rationale: Several exceptional or extraordinary circumstances limit the location of new buildings on this property. Factors are excessive slope and the coverage limits placed on the property by the TRPA Code of Ordinances.

At least twice the amount of disturbance would be required if the addition were developed at the standard 20-foot front setback when compared to the proposed location.

The Site Assessment conducted after the Angora Fire confirmed that there are 232 square feet of allowable coverage and 2,671 square feet of verified coverage. The verified coverage includes the previous residence, deck, driveway and steps. Staff has determined that the variance is the minimum necessary for the reasonable use of the land consistent with the TRPA land coverage requirements.

Staff finds that there are exceptional or extraordinary circumstances or conditions applying to the land, building or use referred to in this application due to significant constraints on the property as it relates to land coverage and excessively steep slopes. These circumstances have not resulted from any act of the owner or applicant. Accordingly, Finding 3.1 is made.

3.3 The strict application of the zoning regulations as they apply to the subject property would deprive the subject property of the privileges enjoyed by other property in the vicinity and the same zone (California Government Code Section 65906).

Rationale: Requiring the applicant to relocate the proposed garage in accordance with the building setbacks of the Single-unit Residential Zone District, the strict application of the provisions of the ordinance, would deprive the applicant of the reasonable use of the land or building allowed for by other land in the vicinity and the same zone.

Staff finds that allowing the reduced front yard setback for the addition of a garage would not affect adjoining properties or the right of way of Delaware Street. Therefore, Finding 3.2 is made.

3.4 The variance granted shall not constitute a grant of special privileges inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity and zone in which such property is situated (California Government Code Section 65906).

Rationale: Covered parking in the Tahoe Basin is not a grant of special privileges. The development of a two-car garage is to provide onsite covered parking for two

vehicles in compliance with the Parking and Loading Standards found in the Zoning Ordinance.

Staff finds that the variance request would not constitute a grant of special privileges inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity and zone in which the property is situated. Therefore, Finding 3.3 is made.

3.5 The granting of the Variance is compatible with the maps, objectives, policies, programs, and general land uses specified in the General Plan and any applicable specific plan, and not detrimental to the public health, safety, and welfare or injurious to the neighborhood.

Rationale: The proposed variance is compatible with the maps, objectives, policies, programs, and general land uses specified in the General Plan. It will not affect the public health, safety, and welfare, nor will it be injurious to the other residential uses in the project area.

Condition of Approval 7 requires a hold harmless and indemnification agreement protecting the County from liability arising from snow removal activity as a result of the approval of this setback variance.

Staff finds that the project is compatible with maps, objectives, policies, programs, and general land uses. Finding 3.4 is made.

Conditions of Approval

1. This Variance approval is based upon and limited to compliance with the project description and following exhibits:

Exhibit F.....Proposed Site Plan

Any deviations from the project description, exhibits, or Conditions of Approval set forth below shall be reviewed and approved by the County for conformity with this approval. Deviations may require approved changes to the permit and/or further environmental review. Deviations without the above described approval will constitute a violation of permit approval.

The project description is as follows:

Variance to allow a reduction of the front setback from 20 feet to 0 feet to allow for the construction of a two-car garage with two stories of storage above.

The grading, development, use, and maintenance of the property, the size, shape, arrangement, and location of structures, parking areas and landscape areas, and the protection and preservation of resources shall conform to the project description above and

the hearing exhibits and conditions of approval below. The property and any portions thereof shall be sold, leased, or financed in compliance with this project description and the approved hearing exhibits and conditions of approval hereto. All plans must be submitted for review and approval and shall be implemented as approved by the County.

2. **Project Modifications:** Building design and building placement shall be completed in conformance with the plans submitted and in conformance with the Conditions of Approval herein. Minor variations are allowed, however, any major changes in the location of buildings shall require Planning Services review and approval.
3. **Condition Compliance:** Prior to issuance of certificate of occupancy of a building permit or commencement of any use authorized by this permit the applicant shall provide a written description, together with appropriate documentation, showing conformance of the project with each condition imposed as part of the project approval.
4. **Notice of Exemption Fee:** A \$50.00 administration fee is required by the County Recorder to file the Notice of Exemption. This fee shall be made payable to El Dorado County and shall be submitted to Planning Services upon project approval.
5. **Permit Implementation:** Pursuant to Zoning Ordinance Section 130.54.060, implementation of the project must occur within 24 months of approval of this Variance, otherwise the permit becomes null and void. It is the responsibility of the applicant to monitor the time limit and make diligent progress toward implementation of the project and compliance with conditions of approval.
6. **Hold Harmless Agreement:** In the event of any legal action instituted by a third party challenging the validity of any provision of this approval, the developer and landowner agree to be responsible for the costs of defending such suit and shall hold County harmless from any legal fees or costs County may incur as a result of such action.

The applicant shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless El Dorado County and its agents, officers, and employees from any claim, action, or proceeding against El Dorado County or its agents, officers, or employees to attack, set aside, void, or annul an approval by El Dorado County. County shall notify the applicant of any claim, action, or proceeding and County will cooperate fully in the defense.

Department of Transportation

7. Prior to Issuance of Building Permits, the applicant shall execute a hold harmless and indemnification agreement protecting the County from liability arising as a result of the approval of this setback variance. The form of said document shall be reviewed and approved by the County Counsel, and once approved, shall be recorded with the El Dorado County Recorder's Office. An official copy shall be sent to the Department of Transportation, South Lake Tahoe office.