Ms. Natalie Porter
EL DORADO COUNTY DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION
2850 Fairlane Court
Placerville, CA 95667

## RE: COMPARISON OF PIEDMONT OAKS AND SHERIFF'S DEPT TRAFFIC STUDIES

Dear Natalie:
Based on our conversation earlier this week I have completed a comparison of the 5 -year near term scenario (2019) of the Piedmont Oaks traffic study we completed in 2014 and the 10-year near term (2025) scenario of the Sheriff's Department traffic study we completed in 2015.

The Piedmont Oaks traffic study was completed using the 'old' version of the County Transportation Impact Study Guidelines (TISG) while the Sheriff's Department study used the current guidelines. The Piedmont Oaks study used the old methodology of developing near-term turning movements, using the higher volumes of either the approved / pending projects or the interpolated volumes of the existing and cumulative model forecasts. We understand the previous model, used in the Piedmont Oaks study, had higher cumulative volumes than the current model. The Sheriff's Department report used the County's new model and methodology to determine near term volumes, which includes a straight line interpolation of the baseline and cumulative model results. Both studies used SimTraffic and evaluated the Missouri Flat Road corridor, from US 50 to Pleasant Valley Road, and the Pleasant Valley Road corridor between Missouri Flat Road and SR 49 in El Dorado.

The current model includes the Piedmont Oaks land use. The project is located within Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) 365 and is currently zoned R1 and R1-PF-CPO, One Family Residential and Professional Office Commercial districts. $50 \%$ of the trips from the project are accounted for along Missouri Flat Road for the near term volumes in the Sheriff's study. This is based on the 2035 model traffic projections and the near term analysis being ten years from the existing conditions (2015).

## Comparison of Common Intersections

Table 1 presents the Levels of Service at the nine common intersections analyzed in both studies; a tenth intersection, Missouri Flat Road at Diamond Springs Parkway was analyzed only under future conditions in the Piedmont Oaks study but in both the near term and future conditions in the Sheriff's Department study. In comparing the two studies two intersections, Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road and Pleasant Valley Road at Forni Road will operate at unacceptable levels of service.

The Piedmont Oaks study identified that the project should pay their fair share of the intersection improvements at Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road. However, with regard to County Policy TCXf, at the time of approval of a tentative map for a single family residential subdivision of five or more parcels that worsens traffic on the County road system, the County shall either condition the project to construct all road improvements necessary to maintain or attain acceptable Levels of Service or ensure the

TABLE 1
PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE COMPARISON

| Location | Control | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Piedmont Oaks } \\ 2019 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Sheriff's Dept } \\ 2025 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
|  |  | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay |
| 1. Missouri Flat Rd/ WB US 50 ramps | Signal | C | 20.5 | C | 27.2 | B | 16.7 | B | 17.7 |
| 2. Missouri Flat Rd / EB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 18.9 | C | 28.4 | B | 15.0 | C | 26.2 |
| 3. Missouri Flat Rd / Mother Lode Dr | Signal | B | 10.3 | B | 10.2 | B | 11.1 | B | 12.3 |
| 4. Missouri Flat Rd/ Forni Rd | Signal | C | 21.5 | C | 31.5 | C | 28.9 | D | 35.9 |
| 5. Missouri Flat Rd/ Golden Center Dr | Signal | B | 15.8 | C | 29.7 | C | 21.4 | C | 30.4 |
| 6. Missouri Flat Rd / Diamond Springs Pkwy | Signal | N/C | N/C | N/C | N/C | B | 11.3 | B | 12.6 |
| 7. Missouri Flat Rd / China Garden Rd <br> NB Left <br> SB Left <br> EB <br> WB | EB/WB Stop | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ \text { C } \\ \text { (F) } \\ \text { (F) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ 18.6 \\ (67.1) \\ (60.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\diamond$ <br> E <br> (F) <br> (F) | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ 42.3 \\ (67.2) \\ (129.5) \end{gathered}$ | (A) <br> (B) <br> (E) <br> (F) | $\begin{gathered} (9.0) \\ (10.5) \\ (37.6) \\ (105.3) \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) <br> (E) <br> (F) | $\begin{gathered} (10.2) \\ (9.3) \\ (44.7) \\ (107.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 8. Missouri Flat Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd | Signal | C | 22.5 | B | 14.1 | C | 25.2 | C | 33.4 |
| 9. Pleasant Valley Rd / SR 49 (West) | AWS | C | 18.0 | C | 22.2 | F | 51.5 | E | 39.4 |
| 10. Pleasant Valley Rd / Forni Rd SB <br> EB Left | SB Stop | $\begin{gathered} \text { (F) } \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (53.5) \\ 6.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.6 \\ 6.4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (F) } \\ & \text { (A) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (73.5) \\ (9.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (D) <br> (A) | $\begin{gathered} (26.7) \\ (9.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

N/C - not completed
$\bigcirc$ - no delay reported
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commencement of construction of the necessary road improvements are included in the County's 10-year CIP. The County does not have an identified CIP project for the Missouri Flat / China Garden Road intersection; therefore, the Piedmont Oaks project would be required to install the improvement unless a different project were to construct it first. The Sheriff's Department TIA identified the following mitigation for this intersection:

- The intersection will meet the peak hour signal warrant. Installation of a traffic signal would result in acceptable levels of service at the intersection during both a.m. and p.m. peak periods. A second alternative, also presented in the Sheriff's Department study, included an option to limit China Garden Road and opposing driveway traffic to right turns only. This would also result in acceptable levels of service in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

We would anticipate that the County would condition the project to include both alternatives as the potential mitigation measure.

The Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49 (west) intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection will both operate at unacceptable levels of service in 2025; however, the Piedmont Oaks project will generate less than 10 peak hour trips through these intersections. Based on General Plan Policy TC-Xe this is not considered significant. Therefore, no fair share contribution would be required.

It is expected that the remaining intersections, which operate at acceptable levels of service in both scenarios, have adequate capacity to accommodate the remaining $50 \%$ of traffic from the Piedmont Oaks project. This is based on the reported delays and levels of service of these intersections shown in Table 1.

## Piedmont Oaks Intersections

The Piedmont Oaks study also evaluated intersections along Diamond Road and Pleasant Valley Road east of Missouri Flat Road. Table 2 presents the Levels of Service at these remaining seven intersections. An eighth intersection, Missouri Flat Road at Plaza Drive was also included as this intersection was analyzed only in the Piedmont Oaks report.

As we noted earlier, the cumulative model volumes from the new model are lower than the previous model that was used in the Piedmont Oaks study. Using the new model would reduce the traffic volumes at these intersections, however, when accounting for the six year increase from 2019 to 2025 the intersections would likely see an increase in traffic. We have not yet calculated how much traffic would be added to these intersections using the new model. Qualitatively, all intersections except Pleasant Valley Road at Racquet Way would appear to have adequate capacity to accommodate the project traffic based on the 2019 delays and associated levels of service.

In the 2019 plus Project scenario the Pleasant Valley Road / Racquet Way intersection operates with the southbound approach at LOS F. It is possible that this intersection would operate with the southbound approach at LOS F in the a.m. peak hour in the 2025 conditions. Similar to the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection the project would be required to improve the intersection to LOS E or better conditions.

TABLE 2
PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE 2019 PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS - PIEDMONT OAKS

| Location | Control | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay |
| 1. Missouri Flat Rd / Plaza Dr | Signal | B | 15.6 | C | 29.6 |
| 2. Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) / China Garden Rd SB <br> EB Left | SB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ 15.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 14.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 3. Diamond Rd (SR 49) / Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) | Signal | D | 38.9 | C | 23.2 |
| 4. Pleasant Valley Rd / Racquet Way <br> NB <br> SB <br> EB Left <br> WB Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NB / SB } \\ & \text { Stop } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49.5 \\ & 94.1 \\ & 11.2 \\ & 11.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.8 \\ 8.1 \\ 5.3 \\ 11.6 \end{gathered}$ |
| 5. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Truck St NB Left EB | EB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 4.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & 4.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Bradley Dr NB Left EB | EB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & 3.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & 5.4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 7. Diamond Rd (SR 49) / Lime Kiln Rd - Black Rice Ln NB Left SB Left EB WB | EB / WB <br> Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \\ & 1.6 \\ & 6.6 \\ & 4.9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.5 \\ & 4.6 \\ & 8.5 \\ & 9.3 \end{aligned}$ |
| 8. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Project Access SB Left <br> WB | WB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & 6.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 9.6 \end{aligned}$ |

The original report noted that a traffic signal would be needed to mitigate this condition. However, the southbound approach consists of a single lane, and the addition of a dedicated right turn lane may improve the approach delay to less than significant. We would suggest the following mitigation for this location:

- The intersection will meet the peak hour signal warrant in the p.m. peak hour. Installation of a traffic signal would result in acceptable levels of service at the intersection during both a.m. and p.m. peak periods. Other alternatives, such as the addition of a southbound right turn only lane, may result in acceptable levels of service in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

We would anticipate that the County would condition the project to include this as the potential mitigation measure.

Ms. Natalie Porter
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## Conclusions

While we have not yet completed a quantitative analysis of the Piedmont Oaks subdivision for 2025 conditions a qualitative assessment comparing the levels of service at the common intersections with the Sheriff's Department traffic study indicates that there is adequate capacity at those intersections to accommodate the additional traffic generated by the Piedmont Oaks subdivision without requiring additional mitigation measures.

At those intersections analyzed only in the Piedmont Oaks traffic study adequate capacity may be available at all intersections to accommodate project traffic under 2025 conditions without requiring additional mitigation measures.

We will be preparing an amendment to the Piedmont Oaks traffic study that will provide an analysis of the near term 2025 and 2025 plus Project scenarios to confirm these suppositions.

Please call me if you have any questions or need additional information.
Sincerely,

## KD Anderson \& Associates, Inc.



Cc: Mel Pabalinas, El Dorado County Jim Davies

June 9, 2016

Mr. Jim Davies
854 Diablo Road
Danville, CA 95426

## RE: QUALITATIVE TRIP GENERATION COMPARISON - PIEDMONT OAKS, EL DORADO COUNTY, CA

Dear Mr. Davies:
KD Anderson \& Associates, Inc. has completed a qualitative trip generation assessment for your Piedmont Oaks project in El Dorado County. Based on our conversation the project has been modified from our original report completed in July 2014. The original report included 104 single family residential units and 20,000 square feet of professional office uses. The revised project now includes 107 single family residential uses and 10,000 square feet of professional office uses.

## Trip Generation - Original Land Uses

The trip generation for the original project was calculated using trip generation rates published in the Trip Generation Manual (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 9th Edition, 2012). Table 1 presents the tip generation rates from the July 2014 report. The applicable trip generation rates yield a total of 1,475 new daily trips, with 135 new trips expected in the a.m. peak hour and 210 new trips generated during the p.m. peak hour.

| TABLE 1 <br> TRIP GENERATION - ORIGINAL USES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Unit Quantity | Size | Trips Per Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Peak |  |  | Peak |  |
|  |  |  | Daily | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Single Family Residential (LU 210) | Unit | 104 | 10.47 | 25\% | 75\% | 0.79 | 63\% | 37\% | 1.05 |
| General Office (LU 710) | KSF | 20.0 | 19.32 | 88\% | 12\% | 2.64 | 17\% | 83\% | 5.04 |
| Single Family Residential (LU 210) |  |  | 1,089 | 21 | 62 | 83 | 69 | 40 | 109 |
| General Office (LU 710) |  |  | 386 | 46 | 6 | 53 | 17 | 84 | 101 |
| Net New Trips |  |  | 1,475 | 67 | 68 | 135 | 86 | 124 | 210 |

KSF - thousand square feet
Notes - no pass-by trip reduction; numbers may not add up due to rounding

## Trip Generation - Proposed Land Uses

The proposed project will increase the number of residential units on the site by three, increasing the total to 107 units. The revised site plan will eliminate Lot 2 , which included 10,000 square feet of proposed office space. Table 2 presents the revised trip generation rate, again using the Trip Generation Manual. The trip generation rates used in both scenarios were based on fitted curve equations for the proposed land uses. The equations for both uses are at the bottom of Table 2.


KSF - thousand square feet
Notes - no pass-by trip reduction; numbers may not add up due to rounding

## Findings

Table 3 displays the peak hour trips that may be generated under original land uses and the proposed land uses. The proposed project is expected to generate 129 fewer daily trips, 20 fewer a.m. peak hour trips and 9 fewer $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. trips. The proposed project will generate less or equal traffic directionally and would be expected to result in equal or improved levels of service at each of the study intersections.

| TABLE 3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TRIP GENERATION COMPARISON |  |  |  |
| Scenario | Daily Trips <br> Generated | AM Trips <br> Generated | PM Trips <br> Generated |
| Original Land Uses | 1,475 | 135 | 210 |
| Proposed Land Uses | 1,346 | 115 | 201 |
| Net Difference | $(129)$ | $(20)$ | $(9)$ |

Mr. Jim Davies
June 9, 2016
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Should you have any questions please free to contact me at (916) 660-1555 or you may reach me via email at jflecker@kdanderson.com.

Sincerely,
KD Anderson \& Associates, Inc.


Jonathan D. Flecker, P.E.
Transportation Engineer
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Project Description. The Piedmont Oak Estates project consists of 104 single family residential units and 20,000 square feet of business professional uses. The project is located along the east side of Diamond Road (State Route 49) in El Dorado County. Public access will be provided along Diamond Road and will be the east leg of the future Diamond Springs Parkway / Diamond Road intersection. The project is expected to generate approximately 1,475 new daily trips, with 135 new trips occurring during the a.m. peak hour and 210 new trips generated during the p.m. the p.m. peak hour.
- Existing Setting. The study areas addressed traffic conditions at sixteen existing intersections on Missouri Flat Road, Pleasant Valley Road and Diamond Road. Traffic volume data was obtained from the traffic study prepared for the Diamond Springs / El Dorado Area Mobility and Livable Community Plan (DSEDAMLCP) and from new counts made in April 2014 and July 2014.

Level of Service calculations were made using the analysis tools employed for the DSEDAMLCP (i.e., Synchro-Simtraffic). All study intersections operate at a Levels of Service that satisfies the County's Minimum Level of Service threshold. None of the unsignalized study intersections carry traffic volumes that meet peak hour signal warrants. No improvement recommendations were identified for existing conditions.

- Existing Plus Project Impacts. The operation of the proposed project will increase the volume of traffic on the study area circulation system. However all study intersections will continue to satisfy the County's minimum Level of Service standard and mitigation measures are not required. The following mitigations are made:
- The project shall contribute its fair share to the cost of regional circulation improvements via the existing countywide traffic impact mitigation (TIM) fee program.
- Sidewalk should be installed along the curb returns along the east side of Diamond Road as part of Piedmont Oaks development to provide contiguous access between the project site and the Diamond Dorado Center.

Diamond Road / Project Access intersection: A left turn lane with standard Caltrans transitions on each approach and departure should be constructed along Diamond Road for left turn access into the project site. The left turn lane should be constructed back to back with the left turn lane at Bradley Drive. The left turn lane for the project should be 100 ' with the left turn lane at Bradley Drive 120' long.

- Year 2019 Background Conditions. Year 2019 conditions were identified based on interpolation between current traffic volumes and Year 2035 traffic volume forecasts made for the DSEDAMLCP. Two approved / pending projects were added to these traffic volumes. These projects included The Crossing and Willow Creek Retail Center. The Crossing is located north of the Missouri Flat Road / US 50 interchange while Willow Creek is located in the northwest quadrant of the Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road intersection. One intersection, Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road will decline below the County's minimum Level of Service standard. Although the County General Plan allows LOS F conditions along Missouri Flat Road between Mother Lode Drive and China Garden Road this does not apply to the intersections. The intersection meets the peak hour traffic signal warrant and signalization of this intersection will improve the operation in the a.m. peak hour to LOS B ( 18.4 seconds delay).
- 2019 Plus Project Conditions. The trips generated by the proposed project were superimposed onto the Year 2019 background conditions, and resulting peak hour Levels of Service were calculated. Three intersections will operate below the County's minimum Level of Service standard.

Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection: Under project conditions the intersection will continue to operate at LOS F conditions on the eastbound driveway and westbound approach. The project should pay their fair share of signalizing the intersection identified in the 2019 Conditions section. The fair share is project traffic divided by the difference in future and existing volumes. With Diamond Springs Parkway (DSP) being constructed in the future, traffic will shift to DSP, resulting in a net decrease in traffic by 2035 at the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection. The fair share methodology was determined using the total volumes at the Missouri Flat Road / DSP intersection as all traffic at this intersection would travel through the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road if DSP were not constructed. Using this method the project is responsible for $6.41 \%$ of the project cost. With signalization the intersection will operate at LOS B (18.7 seconds) in the a.m. peak hour and LOS C (30.2 seconds) in the p.m. peak hour.

Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection: This intersection will operate with the southbound Forni Road approach operating at LOS F in the AM peak hour. The volume portion of the peak hour signal warrant is met in both AM and PM peak hours. A traffic signal is not recommended at this time due to proximity of this intersection to the Pleasant Valley Road / SR-49 South intersection. This intersection is under Caltrans jurisdiction. As noted in the Diamond Dorado Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by Farhad \& Associates in 2010 Caltrans indicated that a traffic signal should not be installed at this location until the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / SR-49 South intersection is realigned to constitute one intersection. Another possible solution may include a roundabout with the realignment of Pleasant Valley Road with SR 49 and Forni Road. No mitigation is recommended as part of this project.

Pleasant Valley Road / Racquet Way intersection: This intersection will operate with the southbound approach at LOS F in the AM peak hour. Installation of a traffic signal will improve the intersection operation to LOS C ( 31.4 seconds per vehicle). The project should pay their fair share of the improvement as the intersection will decline to LOS F in the 2035 No Project Condition. Using the Caltrans fair share methodology the project should pay $5.4 \%$ of the improvement.

- Year 2035 Background Conditions. Year 2035 traffic forecasts were based on the DSEDAMLCP traffic volumes and were expanded to account for traffic along the Diamond Springs Parkway Corridor and Diamond Road (SR 49). Traffic volumes not contained in the DSEDAMLCP were developed based upon the growth rates identified between Existing and 2035 DSEDAMLCP time periods, the Diamond Springs Parkway EIR Circulation Element and the Diamond Dorado Retail Center EIR Traffic Impact Analysis. Year 2019 conditions were identified based on interpolation between current traffic volumes and Year 2035 traffic volume forecasts made for the DSEDAMLCP.

Roadways in 2035 are projected to remain with their current lane configurations. The Diamond Springs Parkway, north of China Garden Road will connect Missouri Flat Road to Diamond Road (SR 49) and is projected to be completed by 2035. This roadway will include two through lanes in each direction with turn lanes at key intersections. Missouri Flat Road will become the west and south legs of the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection. Missouri Flat Road south of China Garden Road will continue to include one through lane in each direction.

The Diamond Springs Parkway / Diamond Road intersection will include two left turn lanes and a through lane along the northbound approach, a through lane and a right turn lane along the southbound approach and a left lane and a right lane along the eastbound approach. The intersection will be signalized and was analyzed with the signal in 2035 conditions. As part of this project the Bradley Drive intersection will be modified to right-in, right-out access only. Additionally, the Diamond Road / Lime Kiln Road Black Rice Lane will be modified to allow right-in, right-out and left-in movements only.

An intermediate intersection at Throwita Way will be constructed. This intersection will include a left turn lane, two through lanes and a right turn lane for eastbound traffic, a left turn lane, a through lane and a through-right lane for westbound traffic, a single lane for south bound traffic and a right lane and a through-left lane for northbound traffic. The intersection will be signalized and was analyzed as part of the 2035 conditions.

Four intersections will operate below the County's minimum Level of Service standard.
Missouri Flat Road / US 50 Eastbound and Westbound Ramp intersections: The westbound US 50 ramp intersections will operate at LOS F conditions in 2035. A single point urban interchange (SPUI) should be considered that will combine the eastbound and westbound ramp intersections into a single intersection along Missouri Flat Road. The

SPUI would consist of two through lanes and two left turn lanes at the intersection with two left lanes and two right turn lane along the eastbound and westbound off-ramps. Implementation of this new interchange will result in LOS D (37.5 seconds per vehicle) operation at the new intersection. The County is currently undertaking the Missouri Flat Area Master Circulation and Funding Plan (MC\&FP) Phase II analysis which will provide a mechanism for the County to fund improvements to the U.S. Highway 50/Missouri Flat Road Interchange and adjacent arterials and collector roads.

Pleasant Valley Road/ SR 49 intersection: This intersection will operate at LOS F conditions in the AM peak hour ( 58.7 seconds per vehicle) and the PM peak hour (70.0 seconds per vehicle). As noted in the Diamond Dorado Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by Farhad \& Associates in 2010 Caltrans indicated that a traffic signal should not be installed at this location until the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / SR-49 South intersection is realigned to constitute one intersection. Another possible solution may include a roundabout with the realignment of Pleasant Valley Road with SR 49 and Forni Road.

Pleasant Valley Road/ Forni Road intersection: This intersection will operate with the southbound Forni Road approach operating at LOS F in the AM peak hour. The volume portion of the peak hour signal warrant is met in the AM and PM peak hour. A traffic signal is not recommended at this time due to proximity of this intersection to the Pleasant Valley Road / SR-49 South intersection. This intersection is under Caltrans jurisdiction. As noted in the Diamond Dorado Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by Farhad \& Associates in 2010 Caltrans indicated that a traffic signal should not be installed at this location until the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / SR-49 South intersection is realigned to constitute one intersection. Another possible solution may include a roundabout with the realignment of Pleasant Valley Road with SR 49 and Forni Road.

Pleasant Valley Road/ Racquet Way intersection: The southbound approach of this intersection will operate at LOS F conditions in the AM peak hour ( 55.8 seconds per vehicle). The intersection meets the traffic volume section of the peak hour signal warrant in the AM peak hour and both delay and volume sections of the warrant in the PM peak hour. Signalization of this intersection will improve the operation to an LOS B condition (19.7 seconds per vehicle) in the AM peak hour.

- 2035 Plus Project Conditions. The trips generated by the proposed project were superimposed onto the Year 2035 background conditions, and resulting peak hour Levels of Service were calculated. Five intersections will operate below the County's minimum Level of Service standard.

Missouri Flat Road / US 50 Eastbound and Westbound Ramp intersections: The westbound US 50 ramp intersections will both operate at LOS F conditions in 2035. A single point urban interchange (SPUI) should be considered that will combine both ramp intersections into a single intersection along Missouri Flat Road. The SPUI would
consist of two through lanes and two left turn lanes at the intersection with two left lanes and two right turn lane along the eastbound and westbound off-ramps. Implementation of this new interchange will result in LOS D ( 38.6 seconds per vehicle) operation at the new intersection.

The County is currently undertaking the Missouri Flat Area Master Circulation and Funding Plan (MC\&FP) Phase II analysis which will provide a mechanism for the County to fund improvements to the U.S. Highway 50/Missouri Flat Road Interchange and adjacent arterials and collector roads. Since there is no funding mechanism in place the project should pay their fair share of the improvements.

The project should pay their fair share of the improvement as the intersection will decline to LOS F in the 2035 No Project Condition. Using the Caltrans fair share methodology the project should pay $3.2 \%$ of the improvement.

Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection: Under project conditions the intersection will continue to operate at LOS F conditions on the eastbound driveway and westbound approach. The intersection was identified for signalization in the 2019 scenario. With signalization the intersection will operate at LOS A ( 9.7 seconds) in the PM peak hour.

Pleasant Valley Road/ SR 49 intersection: This intersection will operate at LOS F conditions in the AM peak hour ( 55.5 seconds per vehicle) and the PM peak hour (68.7 seconds per vehicle). As noted in the Diamond Dorado Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by Farhad \& Associates in 2010 Caltrans indicated that a traffic signal should not be installed at this location until the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / SR-49 South intersection is realigned to constitute one intersection. Another possible solution may include a roundabout with the realignment of Pleasant Valley Road with SR 49 and Forni Road. Since there is no defined project at this time there are no mitigations required for the project.

Pleasant Valley Road/ Forni Road intersection: This intersection will operate with the southbound Forni Road approach operating at LOS F in the AM peak hour. The volume portion of the peak hour signal warrant is met in both AM and PM peak hours. A traffic signal is not recommended at this time due to proximity of this intersection to the Pleasant Valley Road / SR-49 South intersection. This intersection is under Caltrans jurisdiction. As noted in the Diamond Dorado Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by Farhad \& Associates in 2010 Caltrans has indicated that a traffic signal should not be installed at this location until the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / SR-49 South intersection is realigned to constitute one intersection. Another possible solution may include a roundabout with the realignment of Pleasant Valley Road with SR 49 and Forni Road. Since there is no defined project at this time there are no mitigations required for the project.

# TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS FOR <br> PIEDMONT OAK ESTATES <br> El Dorado County CA 

## INTRODUCTION

## Study Purpose and Objectives

This study evaluates the traffic impacts associated with the construction of the Piedmont Oak Estates project. The Piedmont Oak Estates project includes construction of 104 single family residential units and 20,000 square feet (sf) of business professional offices. The project is located east of Diamond Road (State Route 49) and north of Black Rice Lane in El Dorado County.

A previous study was completed in 2012 for the site. The scope of this traffic analysis was based on the previous study and was reviewed with the El Dorado County Department of Transportation (DOT) for concurrence of scope parameters. Based on direction from DOT this study addresses the following scenarios:

1. Existing (2014) Traffic Conditions
2. Existing (2014) Plus Project Conditions
3. 2019 Traffic Conditions
4. 2019 Plus Project Conditions
5. 2035 Traffic Conditions
6. 2035 Plus Project Conditions

The objective of this study is to identify those roads and street intersections that may be impacted by development of this project based on El Dorado County significance criteria.

## Project Description

The proposed project includes 104 residential lots and 20,000 sf of professional office building space. The project is located east of Diamond Road (SR 49) and north of Black Rice Lane and is shown in Figure 1. Full access will be provided at a new intersection about 750' north of Lime Kiln Road-Black Rice Lane. This intersection will also serve as the eastern terminus to the future Diamond Springs Parkway. Figure 2 illustrates the proposed site plan and the proposed new intersection along Diamond Road.

The project is located within Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) 365 and is currently zoned R1 and R1-PF-CPO, One Family Residential and Professional Office Commercial districts. The zoning will be modified for the project to R1-PD and C-PD. These include One Family Residential and Commercial zoning in Planned Developments.



## EXISTING SETTING

## Study Area

This study addresses traffic conditions at sixteen (16) existing intersections along Missouri Flat Road, Pleasant Valley Drive and Diamond Road. Three additional intersections along Diamond Springs Parkway were evaluated under future buildout conditions. The limits of the study area were based on the previous traffic study for the Piedmont Oak Estates Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by AECOM in 2012 and reviewed with El Dorado County DOT and DOT's traffic engineering consultant (Kittelson \& Associates [KAI]). The text that follows describes the roadway facilities included in this analysis.

The quality of traffic flow is typically governed by the operation of major intersections and the daily volume of traffic along the roadways. The physical characteristics of the study intersections are described in the text which follows.

## Study Area Intersections

The Missouri Flat Road / Plaza Drive intersection is located roughly 900 feet south of the project and is the most northerly intersection on a coordinated system of traffic signals at the US 50 intersection. Recent improvements have widened the intersection. Two through lanes are provided in each direction on Missouri Flat Road. The northbound approach includes dual left turn lanes and a separate right turn lane. The Plaza Drive approaches are each two lanes and operate with split phases. The eastbound approach is configured with a lane permitting all movements and a separate right turn lane. The westbound approach is similar but has a separate left turn lane.

The Missouri Flat Road / Westbound US 50 ramps intersection is controlled by a coordinated traffic signal. The Missouri Flat Road approaches feature dual northbound left turn lanes and a separate southbound right turn lane. The four lane exit from US 50 is configured with a dual left turn lane and dual right turn lanes.

The Missouri Flat Road / Eastbound US 50 ramps intersection is controlled by a coordinated traffic signal. The Missouri Flat Road approaches feature dual southbound left turn lanes and a separate northbound right turn lane. The three lane exit from US 50 is configured with a separate left turn lane and right turn lanes, as well as a combined left, thru and right turn lane.

The Missouri Flat Road / Mother Lode Drive intersection is signalized and located roughly 250 feet from the Eastbound US 50 ramps intersection. The Missouri Flat Road approaches have separate left turn and right turn lanes. The eastbound Mother Lode Drive approach has three lanes configured as dual left turns and a separate right turn lane.

The Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road intersection is also signalized and located roughly $1 / 2$ mile south of the Mother Lode Drive intersection. The Missouri Flat Road approaches each include separate left turn and right turn lanes. The Forni Road approaches have separate left turn,
through and right turn lanes, and a second left turn lane has been provided on the eastbound approach.

The Missouri Flat Road / Golden Center Drive intersection is located about 1,100 feet south of Forni Road. This signalized intersection includes separate left turn lanes on the Missouri Flat Road approaches and a separate right turn lane on the southbound approach. The Golden Center Drive approaches are single lanes which operate with permitted phasing.

The Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection is located about 2,100 feet south of Golden Center Drive. This unsignalized intersection includes single lanes along Missouri Flat Road with a separate left turn lane on the southbound approach. A CLTL is present on the northbound approach of Missouri Flat Road and north of the southbound left turn lane. The China Garden Road approach consists of a single lane which is stop controlled.

The Missouri Flat Road / (SR 49) Pleasant Valley Road intersection is located at the southern end of Missouri Flat Road roughly two miles from the project site. This tee intersection is controlled by an actuated traffic signal. The Pleasant Valley Road approaches have single through lanes in each direction, with dual eastbound left turn lanes and a separate westbound right turn lane. The two lane southbound approach on Missouri Flat Road is configured as separate left turn and right turn lanes, and the right turn "overlaps" the eastbound left turn phase.

The Pleasant Valley Road (SR 49) / SR-49 South intersection is located about $21 / 2$ miles southwest of the project site. This tee intersection is all-way stop controlled. Eastbound Pleasant Valley Road and northbound SR 49 have single lane approaches while westbound Pleasant Valley Road includes a left turn lane and a through lane.

The Pleasant Valley Road (SR 49) / Forni Road South intersection is located about 500' east of the SR-49 South intersection. This tee intersection is stop controlled along Forni Road which intersects Pleasant Valley Road at about a $30^{\circ}$ skew to the northeast. All roadway approaches are single lane.

The Pleasant Valley Road (SR 49) / China Garden Road intersection is located about $1 / 2$ mile southwest of the project site. This tee intersection is stop controlled along China Garden Road, and all roadway approaches are single lane.

The Pleasant Valley Road (SR 49) / Diamond Road (SR 49 North) / Fowler Lane intersection is located about $1 / 4$ mile south of the project site. This intersection is controlled by an actuated traffic signal. The eastbound Pleasant Valley Road approach includes a left turn lane, a through lane and a through-right lane. The westbound Pleasant Valley Road approach includes left turn, through and right turn lanes. The northbound Fowler Lane approach consists of a through-left lane and a right turn lane while the southbound Diamond Road approach includes a left turn lane and a through-right lane. The Pleasant Valley Road approaches provide protected left turn phasing while the Diamond Road and Fowler Lane approaches are split phase.

The Pleasant Valley Road (SR 49) / Racquet Way intersection is located about $1 / 4$ mile east of the Pleasant Valley Road / Diamond Road intersection. This intersection is stop controlled along Racquet Way to the north and a commercial driveway to the south. The Pleasant Valley Road approaches include left turn lanes and a through-right lane while Racquet Way and the driveway are single lane approaches.

The Diamond Road / Truck Street intersection is located about 700' north of the project's north intersection. This tee intersection is stop controlled along Truck Street, and all roadway approaches are single lane.

The Diamond Road / Bradley Drive intersection is located about 300' north of the project's north intersection. This tee intersection is stop controlled along Bradley Drive. The southbound Diamond Road approach and the Bradley Drive approach are single lane while the northbound Diamond Road approach consists of a left turn lane and a through lane. With the completion of the Diamond Springs Parkway in the future Bradley Drive will have only right-in, right-out movements.

The Diamond Road / Lime Kiln Road / Black Rice Lane intersection will provide emergency vehicle access to the project site. This intersection is stop controlled along Lime Kiln Road and Black Rice Lane. All approaches are single lane.

The Missouri Flat Road / Diamond Springs Parkway intersection is a future intersection that is part of the Diamond Springs Parkway project. This intersection when completed will consist of a left turn lane, two through lanes and a right turn lane along the eastbound (Missouri Flat Road) and westbound (Diamond Springs Parkway) approaches. The northbound Missouri Flat Road approach will consist of dual left turn lanes and a through-right lane. The opposing southbound approach will consist of a left turn lane and a through-right lane. This intersection will be signalized.

The Diamond Springs Parkway / Throwita Way intersection is a future intersection that will be located about 900' west of the Diamond Road / Diamond Springs Parkway intersection. This intersection will be signal controlled. The June 2010 Diamond Springs Parkway DEIR identifies the lane configuration at this intersection to include left and right turn lanes and two through lanes along Diamond Springs Parkway, a single lane along the southbound Throwita Way approach and a left-through lane and a right turn lane along the northbound Throwita Way approach.

The Diamond Road / Diamond Springs Parkway intersection is a future intersection that will provide direct access into the project site. This intersection will be signal controlled. The June 2010 Diamond Springs Parkway DEIR identifies the lane configuration at this intersection to include a left turn lane and a right turn lane along Diamond Springs Parkway, two left turn lanes and a through lane along the northbound Diamond Road approach and a right turn lane and a through lane along the southbound Diamond Road approach.

## Analysis Criteria

Level of Service Methodology. Level of Service Analysis has been employed to provide a basis for describing existing traffic conditions and for evaluating the significance of project traffic impacts. Level of Service measures the quality of traffic flow and is represented by letter designations from "A" to " F ", with a grade of "A" referring to the best conditions, and "F" representing the worst conditions. The guidelines and analyses used for this report follow El Dorado County standards.

Local agencies adopt minimum Level of Service standards for their facilities. El Dorado County identifies LOS 'E' as the acceptable Level of Service on roadways and state highways within the unincorporated areas of the County in the Community Regions and LOS D in the Rural Centers and Rural Regions except as specified in the General Plan. Four roadway segments, none of which are part of this study, allow LOS F conditions after 2008. The analysis techniques presented in the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual were used to calculate Level of Service and to provide a basis for describing existing traffic conditions and evaluating the significance of project traffic impacts.

Various software programs have been developed to assist in calculating intersection Level of Service, and the level of sophistication of each program responds to factors that affect the overall flow of traffic. In this case, Synchro-Simtraffic software was employed in order to account for the effects of closely spaced traffic signals along Missouri Flat Road. The files originally developed for the El Dorado County Transportation Commission's Diamond Springs and El Dorado Area Mobility and Livable Community Plan (DSEDAMLCP) were obtained and, in consultation with El Dorado County DOT and KAI, applicable adjustments were made to reflect current geometry and operational characteristics. The simulation results contained herein reflect the average of the mean 10 one-hour simulation runs selected from a 20 run sample. Each run employed a 10 minute seeding period.

The intersection Levels of Service presented in this analysis are based on the weighted average total delay per vehicle for the intersection as a whole at signalized intersections and at locations controlled by all-way stops. The average delay experienced by motorists yielding the right of way is the basis for identification of Level of Service at locations controlled by side street stop signs. Applicable Level of Service thresholds based on average delay are shown in Table 1.

Intersection Level of Service Thresholds of Significance. A traffic impact is considered to be significant under El Dorado County guidelines if the project causes an intersection to change from LOS E to LOS F. Worsening of conditions at facilities already operating at unacceptable levels of service is also considered a significant impact. The County's General Plan Policy TCXe defines worsen as any of the following conditions:
a. a $2 \%$ increase in traffic during the a.m. peak hour, p.m. peak hour or daily trips, or
b. the addition of 100 or more daily trips, or
c. the addition of 10 or more trips during the a.m. peak hour or the p.m. peak hour.

TABLE 1
LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS

| Level of Service | Signalized Intersection | Unsignalized Intersection | Roadway (Daily) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| "A" | Uncongested operations, all queues clear in a single-signal cycle. Delay $\leq 10.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | Little or no delay. Delay $\leq 10 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ | Completely free flow. |
| "B" | Uncongested operations, all queues clear in a single cycle. <br> Delay $>10.0 \mathrm{sec}$ and $\leq 20.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Short traffic delays. } \\ & \text { Delay }>10 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh} \text { and } \\ & \leq 15 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Free flow, presence of other vehicles noticeable. |
| "C" | Light congestion, occasional backups on critical approaches. <br> Delay $>20.0 \mathrm{sec}$ and $\leq 35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Average traffic delays. } \\ \text { Delay }>15 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh} \text { and } \\ \leq 25 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Ability to maneuver and select operating speed affected. |
| "D" | Significantcongestion of criticalapproachesfunctional. but intersection required to wait 0 Carsthrough more than one cycle during <br> short peaks. No long queues formed. <br> Delay $>35.0 \mathrm{sec}$ and < 55.0 sec | Long traffic delays. Delay > $25 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ and $\leq 35 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ | Unstable flow, speeds and ability to maneuver restricted. |
| "E" | Severe congestion with some long standing queues on critical approaches. Blockage of intersection may occur if traffic signal does not provide for protected turning movements. Traffic queue may block nearby intersection(s) upstream of critical approach(es). Delay $>55.0$ sec and $\leq 80.0$ sec | Very long traffic delays, failure, extreme congestion. <br> Delay > $35 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ and $\leq 50 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ | At or near capacity, flow quite unstable. |
| "F" | Total breakdown, stop-and-go operation. Delay $>80.0 \mathrm{sec}$ | Intersection blocked by external causes. Delay $>50 \mathrm{sec} / \mathrm{veh}$ | Forced flow, breakdown. |
| Sources: 2010 Highway Capacity Manual, Transportation Research Board (TRB) Special Report 209. |  |  |  |

Intersection Queuing Analysis. The quality of traffic flow can also be affected by queuing at signalized intersections. The lengths of peak period queues were identified and compared to available left lane storage to determine whether spillover from turn lanes would affect the adjoining travel lanes or extend through adjacent intersections. $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths have been calculated as a byproduct of the Synchro-Simtraffic simulation. Those locations where the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue exceeds the available storage have also been noted.

Traffic Signal Warrants. The extent to which existing or projected traffic volumes may justify signalization at un-signalized intersections has been determined based on consideration of traffic signal warrant presented in the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices, 2012. For this analysis the volume thresholds associated with Warrant 3 (Peak Hour Volume) have been assessed. For this analysis the "rural" criteria have been employed based on speed limits in excess of 40 mph .

## Public Transit

The El Dorado County Transit Authority offers local fixed route, regional commuter route, dial-a-ride and para-transit services. The Diamond Springs Route (DS) is about $1 / 2$ mile from the project site. This route travels along Pleasant Valley Road and loops along Racquet Way. Passengers can use this route to travel to the Missouri Flat Road Transit Center where they can transfer to other routes. The route operates from about 7:00 a.m. to about 6:00 p.m. Monday through Friday at one-hour headways.

The Western El Dorado County Short and Long Range Transit Plan has identified the following improvements for transit service in the Diamond Springs area. Short Range improvements include beginning the route schedule at 6:00 a.m., extending the existing weekday route schedule by one hour at the end of the day and instituting Saturday service between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Long Range improvements include revising the route as a result of completion of Diamond Springs Parkway. This will allow the route to be reconfigured to include the Diamond Dorado Shopping Center along Diamond Springs Parkway. This may allow El Dorado Transit to provide a bus stop at or near the project entrance at the Diamond Road / Diamond Springs Parkway intersection.

## Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

Designated bicycle facilities do not exist in the vicinity of the project. According to the El Dorado County Bicycle Transportation Plan, Class II bike lanes are proposed along Diamond Road from Pleasant Valley Road to Diamond Springs Parkway. In addition, Class II bike facilities are also proposed along Pleasant Valley Road through Diamond Springs and along Diamond Springs Parkway between Diamond Road and Missouri Flat Road. The section of Missouri Flat Road, from about Forni Road to Pleasant Valley Road will also include Class II facilities. This network will provide bicyclists direct routes to and from the Piedmont Oaks site.

Diamond Road is a rural road and sidewalk is not present in the vicinity of the project. According to the El Dorado County Transportation Commission El Dorado County Transportation Plan new development has sidewalks fronting shopping centers and is contained in many residential subdivisions. It is possible that sidewalks will be installed along the west side of Diamond Road as part of the Diamond Dorado Center project. Sidewalks are proposed within the Piedmont Oaks project but not along the east side of Diamond Road. Sidewalk should be installed along the curb returns along the east side of Diamond Road as part of Piedmont Oaks development to provide a contiguous access between the project site and the Diamond Dorado Center.

## Existing Traffic Operating Conditions

Traffic Volume Counts. This analysis makes use of peak hour traffic volume counts presented in the Diamond Springs and El Dorado Area Mobility and Livable Community Plan (DSEDAMLCP) traffic study, as well as new traffic counts conducted on April 8, 2014 and July 30, 2014. The July counts were adjusted based on turning movement counts that were conducted at adjacent intersections while school was in session. The counts are included in the Appendix, and the intersection turning movements are presented in Figure 3.

Intersection Levels of Service. Table 2 summarizes current operating Levels of Service at the study area intersections developed based on mean 10 simulation runs conducted for each time period. As indicated, all study intersections currently operate with acceptable Levels of Service during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

Traffic Signal Warrants. Two unsignalized intersections carry volumes that meet the peak hour signal warrant criteria during either peak period. These include the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49 (South) intersection where the peak hour signal warrant is met in both AM and PM peak periods. Two additional intersections meet the peak hour volume portion of the peak hour warrant. These include the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / Racquet Way intersection.

|  |  |  | 4 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plaza Dr / Missouri Flat Rd | US 50 WB Ramps/Missouri Flat |  <br> US 50 EB Ramps/Missouri Flat | Mother Lode Dr/Missouri Flat |
|  |  |  | 8 $q_{R 1-1}$ |
| Forni Rd / Missouri Flat Rd | Golden Center Dr/Missouri Flat | China Garden Rd/Missouri Flat |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| (86) 132 <br> (394) 330 <br> Forni Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd | Missouri Flat/Pleasant Valley Rd | (9) 11 <br> (890) 359 <br> China Garden Rd/Pleasant Valley | Diamond Rd/Pleasant Valley Rd |
|  | 14 | 15 |  |
|  <br> Racquet Way/Pleasant Valley Rd |  | Diamond Rd / Bradley Dr |  <br> Black Rice Rd/Lime Kiln Rd |
|  <br> Diamond Rd \& Diamond Springs Pkwy/Project |  |  | Legend AM Peak Hour Volume PM Peak Hour Volume Stop Sign Signalized Intersection |

TABLE 2
EXISTING PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE AT INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Control | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | Traffic Signal Warranted? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay |  |
| 1. Missouri Flat Rd / Plaza Dr | Signal | B | 16.7 | C | 27.7 | N/A |
| 2. Missouri Flat Rd / WB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 18.4 | B | 17.2 | N/A |
| 3. Missouri Flat Rd / EB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 16.2 | C | 21.3 | N/A |
| 4. Missouri Flat Rd / Mother Lode Dr | Signal | A | 8.5 | A | 8.5 | N/A |
| 5. Missouri Flat Rd / Forni Rd | Signal | C | 21.8 | C | 20.6 | N/A |
| 6. Missouri Flat Rd / Golden Center Dr | Signal | B | 14.9 | C | 20.2 | N/A |
| 7. Missouri Flat Rd / China Garden Rd <br> NB Left <br> SB Left <br> EB <br> WB | WB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ 15.2 \\ 37.4 \\ 23.9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ 12.5 \\ 10.4 \\ 17.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes* |
| 8. Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) / SR-49 South | AWS Stop | B | 12.5 | C | 15.3 | Yes |
| 9. Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) / Forni Rd SB <br> EB Left | SB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.8 \\ 6.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ 6.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes $\dagger$ |
| 10. Missouri Flat Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) | Signal | B | 17.6 | B | 16.9 | N/A |
| 11. Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) / China Garden Rd SB <br> EB Left | SB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ 13.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2.3 \\ 8.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | No |
| 12. Diamond Rd (SR 49)/Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) | Signal | B | 18.9 | B | 17.8 | N/A |
| 13. Pleasant Valley Rd / Racquet Way <br> NB <br> SB <br> EB Left <br> WB Left | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB / SB } \\ \text { Stop } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \\ & 9.9 \\ & 6.2 \\ & 6.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \mathrm{~B} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.2 \\ 7.3 \\ 4.8 \\ 11.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes $\ddagger$ |
| 14. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Truck St NB Left EB | EB Stop | A | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 4.2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | A | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & 4.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No |
| 15. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Bradley Dr NB Left <br> EB | EB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 3.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & 7.4 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No |
| 16. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Lime Kiln Rd - Black Rice Ln <br> NB Left <br> SB Left <br> EB <br> WB | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB / WB } \\ \text { Stop } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & 2.1 \\ & 5.4 \\ & 4.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.4 \\ & 2.6 \\ & 6.4 \\ & 6.7 \end{aligned}$ | No |

Intersection Queues. Table 3 presents information regarding current peak period queuing in lanes at signalized study intersections. In each case, the available storage has been presented along with current peak hour traffic volumes and the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length. On multiple lane approaches the longest queue amongst a group of common lanes has been noted.

Most intersections have lane storage capacity that can accommodate peak period queues. Those $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues with length exceeding the available storage have been highlighted. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue exceeds available storage in nine locations.

TABLE 3
EXISTING PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH | Queue (feet) | VPH | Queue <br> (feet) |
| 1. Missouri Flat Road / Plaza Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 330 | 159 (2) | 105 | 322 (2) | 191 |
| NB through | 450 | 432 (2) | 100 | 308 (2) | 196 |
| NB right turn | 450 | 342 | 100 | 451 | 131 |
| SB left turn | 110 | 42 | 64 | 46 | 70 |
| EB left+through+right | 120 | 93 (2) | 66 | 388 (2) | 203 |
| WB left +through+right turn | 275 | 282 (2) | 159 | 522 (2) | 232 |
| 2. Missouri Flat Road / WB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 160 | 390 (2) | 167 | 365 (2) | 165 |
| NB through | 360 | 600 (2) | 289 | 732 (2) | 213 |
| SB through | 520 | 446 (2) | 157 | 822 (2) | 225 |
| WB left turn | 410 | 541 (2) | 211 | 596 (2) | 220 |
| WB right turn | 410 | 333 (2) | 127 | 349 (2) | 148 |
| 3. Missouri Flat Road / EB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB through | 160 | 900 (2) | 206 | 879 (2) | 185 |
| NB right turn | 140 | 81 | 73 | 72 | 85 |
| SB left | 160 | 134 (2) | 192 | 323 (2) | 214 |
| SB through | 380 | 853 (2) | 353 | 1,095 (2) | 419 |
| EB left+through+right turn | 540 | 417 (3) | 137 | 779 (3) | 213 |
| 4. Missouri Flat Road / Mother Lode Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 150 | 23 | 56 | 51 | 67 |
| NB through | 2,300 | 846 (2) | 175 | 827 (2) | 147 |
| SB through | 140 | 1,100 (2) | 106 | 1,530 (2) | 168 |
| SB right turn | 130 | 80 | <25 | 126 | 66 |
| 5. Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 250 | 37 | 68 | 57 | 84 |
| NB through | 1,000 | 855 (2) | 268 | 800 (2) | 248 |
| NB right turn | 160 | 60 | 125 | 21 | 79 |
| SB left turn | 300 | 280 | 271 | 165 | 182 |
| SB through | 2,300 | 642 (2) | 181 | 1,019 (2) | 260 |
| SB right turn | 150 | 207 | 125 | 348 | 181 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 3 (cont'd)
EXISTING PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | $\underset{\text { (feet) }}{\text { Capacity }}$(feet) | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH | Queue <br> (feet) | VPH | Queue (feet) |
| 6. Missouri Flat Road / Golden Center Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 120 | 38 | 68 | 75 | 100 |
| SB left turn | 160 | 81 | 115 | 67 | 151 |
| 10. Missouri Flat Road / SR 49 (Pleasant Valley Rd) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB left turn | 600 | 191 | 153 | 625 | 238 |
| SB right turn | 600 | 154 | 72 | 291 | 93 |
| EB left turn | 160 | 320 (2) | 176 | 229 (2) | 137 |
| WB right turn | 190 | 534 | 221 | 330 | 153 |
| 12. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB left turn | 340 | 52 | 70 | 169 | 146 |
| SB through+right | 340 | 127 | 100 | 158 | 105 |
| NB right turn | 100 | 12 | 36 | 36 | 78 |
| NB left+through | 600 | 120 | 119 | 118 | 129 |
| EB left turn | 200 | 101 | 120 | 118 | 158 |
| WB right turn | 170 | 151 | 222 | 88 | 118 |
| WB left turn | 100 | 12 | 48 | 32 | 90 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage |  |  |  |  |  |

## PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS

The development of this project will attract traffic to the project site. The amount of additional traffic on a particular section of the street network is dependent upon two factors:

- Trip Generation, the number of new trips generated by the project, and
- Trip Distribution and Assignment, the specific routes that the new traffic takes.


## Trip Generation

Trip generation is determined by identifying the type and size of land use being developed. Recognized sources of trip generation data may then be used to calculate the total number of trip ends that the project creates.

The trip generation for this project was calculated using trip generation rates published in the Trip Generation Manual (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 9th Edition, 2012. Applicable rates are found in categories 210 (Single Family Residential) and 710 (General Office Building), as noted in Table 4.

TABLE 4
TRIP GENERATION

| Land Use | Unit Quantity | Size | Trips Per Unit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Daily | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Single Family Residential (LU 210) | Unit | 104 | 10.47 | 25\% | 75\% | 0.79 | 63\% | 37\% | 1.05 |
| General Office (LU 710) | KSF | 20.0 | 19.32 | 88\% | 12\% | 2.64 | 17\% | 83\% | 5.04 |
| Single Family Residential (LU 210) |  |  | 1,089 | 21 | 62 | 83 | 69 | 40 | 109 |
| General Office (LU 710) |  |  | 386 | 46 | 6 | 53 | 17 | 84 | 101 |
| Net New trips |  |  | 1,475 | 67 | 68 | 135 | 86 | 124 | 210 |

KSF - thousand square feet
Notes - no pass-by trip reduction; numbers may not add up due to rounding

Application of applicable trip generation rates yields a total of 1,475 new daily trips, with 135 new trips expected in the a.m. peak hour and 210 new trips generated during the p.m. peak hour.

## Trip Distribution \& Assignment

Two trip distribution patterns were applied to trips related to the Project. One pattern was applied to Existing and Near Term Conditions (i.e., Existing plus Project and 2019 plus Project) and another pattern was applied to Long-Term (2035) Conditions. Table 5 presents the project trip distributions.

Existing and 2019. To evaluate the traffic related effects of the Project, trips that would be generated by the Project were distributed onto the roadway network. Trip distribution simulates the geographical pattern of travel, matching trips generated by one type of land use (e.g. residential) with trips generated by other types of land uses (e.g., education, employment, and shopping). Trip distribution patterns to and from the Project were based on the previous traffic study for the project site conducted by AECOM in 2012 but adjusted to account for schoolrelated traffic. The project trip distribution pattern is shown in Figure 4 with the project traffic shown in Figure 5.

TABLE 5
PROJECT TRIP DISTRIBUTION

| Direction |  | Distribution |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Route | Existing / 2019 | $\mathbf{2 0 3 5}$ |
| North | Diamond Road (SR 49) | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
|  | Missouri Flat Road, north of US 50 | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| South | Fowler Lane | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
|  | Koki Lane / Paterson Drive | $8 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
|  | SR 49 (South) | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| West | Pleasant Valley Road west of SR 49 (South) | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
|  | US 50 west of Missouri Flat Road | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
|  | Mother Lode Drive west of Missouri Flat Road | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
|  | Forni Road west of Missouri Flat Road | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| East | US 50 east of Missouri Flat Road | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
|  | Pleasant Valley Road east of Diamond Road | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Internal along <br> Missouri Flat Road | Along Missouri Flat Road | Golden Center Drive | $8 \%$ |
|  | Total |  |  | $6 \%$ |



|  | 2 |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Plaza Dr / Missouri Flat Rd |  <br> US 50 WB Ramps/Missouri Flat |  <br> US 50 EB Ramps/Missouri Flat |  <br> Mother Lode Dr/Missouri Flat |
|  |  |  | 8 <br> $q_{R 1-1}$ <br> 1 (2) <br> 1 (2) |
|  <br> Forni Rd / Missouri Flat Rd |  <br> Golden Center Dr/Missouri Flat | China Garden Rd/Missouri Flat |  <br> SR 49 / Pleasant Valley Rd |
|  |  |  |  |
| (0) 0 <br> (3) 3 <br> Forni Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd | (0) 0 <br> (7) 8 <br> Missouri Flat/Pleasant Valley Rd | (0) 0 <br> (57) 45 <br> China Garden Rd/Pleasant Valley |  |
|  | 14 | 15 |  |
|  <br> Racquet Way/Pleasant Valley Rd | Diamond Rd / Truck S $\dagger$ | Diamond Rd / Bradley Dr |  <br> Diamond Rd \& Black Rice Rd/Lime Kiln Rd |
| 17 <br>  <br> Diamond Springs Pkwy/Project |  |  | Legend AM Peak Hour Volume PM Peak Hour Volume Stop Sign Signalized Intersection |

## PROJECT TRAFFIC IMPACTS

## Existing Plus Project Conditions

Traffic Volumes The impacts of developing the project uses on the project site have been identified by superimposing project traffic onto existing background conditions. Figure 6 displays the "Existing Plus Project" traffic volumes at each study intersection in both AM and PM peak hours.

Circulation System Improvements. Figure 6 also presents the intersection geometry and traffic controls resulting from implementation of the project's planned improvements along Diamond Springs Road. For purposes of the analysis it is assumed that a left turn lane will be added along southbound Diamond Road to provide left turn storage. The proposed access roadway will be stop controlled.

Intersection Levels of Service. Intersection Levels of Service were calculated and used as the basis for evaluating project impacts. Table 6 displays the peak hour Levels of Service at each study intersection and compares existing Levels of Service with those accompanying the project.

All intersections will continue to operate better than the minimum El Dorado County standard (i.e., LOS E or better).

Traffic Signal Warrants. Existing Plus Project traffic volumes at unsignalized intersections were compared to peak hour warrant requirements to determine whether traffic signals may be needed. Two unsignalized intersections will continue to carry volumes that meet the peak hour signal warrant criteria during either peak period. These include the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49 (South) intersection where the peak hour signal warrant is met in both AM and PM peak periods. Two additional intersections meet the peak hour volume portion of the peak hour warrant. These include the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / Racquet Way intersection.

Intersection Queues. Table 7 identifies peak period queues assuming the addition of project trips. Project trips and the SimTraffic software may change the length of some queues. Those $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues with length exceeding the available storage have been highlighted. Under Existing plus Project conditions eleven locations will exceed the available storage.

## Project Access

Access is proposed via a stop control along the Project Access approach to the Diamond Road intersection. This access is projected to be the east leg of the proposed Diamond Springs Parkway / Diamond Road intersection. Emergency only vehicle access will be provided via Black Rice Lane south of the Project access intersection. The forecasted LOS for the intersection is LOS A for both the main line left turns and the side street approach.

|  |  |  | 4 <br> ㅇ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plaza Dr / Missouri Flat Rd | US 50 WB Ramps/Missouri Flat |  <br> US 50 EB Ramps/Missouri Flat | Mother Lode Dr/Missouri Flat |
|  |  |  | 8 $q_{R 1-1}$ |
| Forni Rd / Missouri Flat Rd | (23) 7 (53) 5 (53) 18 $\underset{\sim}{\wedge}$ <br> Golden Center Dr/Missouri Flat |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| (86) 132 <br> (397) 333 <br> Forni Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd | Missouri Flat/Pleasant Valley Rd | (9) 11 <br> (947) 404 <br> China Garden Rd/Pleasant Valley | Diamond Rd/Pleasant Valley Rd |
|  | 14 | 15 |  |
|  <br> Racquet Way/Pleasant Valley Rd | (13) 8 <br> (22) 6 <br> Diamond Rd / Truck S $\dagger$ | Diamond Rd / Bradley Dr |  <br> Black Rice Rd/Lime Kiln Rd |
|  <br>  <br> Diamond Springs Pkwy/Project |  |  | Legend AM Peak Hour Volume PM Peak Hour Volume Stop Sign Signalized Intersection |

## EXISTING PLUS PROJECT

TABLE 6
PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE EXISTING PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

| Location | Control | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  | Traffic <br> Signal Warranted? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing |  | Ex Plus Project |  | Existing |  | Ex Plus Project |  |  |
|  |  | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay |  |
| 1. Missouri Flat Rd / Plaza Dr | Signal | B | 16.7 | B | 16.1 | C | 27.7 | C | 27.7 | N/A |
| 2. Missouri Flat Rd / WB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 18.4 | B | 19.1 | B | 17.2 | B | 17.8 | N/A |
| 3. Missouri Flat Rd / EB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 16.2 | B | 16.5 | C | 21.3 | C | 21.7 | N/A |
| 4. Missouri Flat Rd / Mother Lode Dr | Signal | A | 8.5 | A | 8.8 | A | 8.5 | A | 8.9 | N/A |
| 5. Missouri Flat Rd / Forni Rd | Signal | C | 21.8 | C | 21.1 | C | 20.6 | C | 21.8 | N/A |
| 6. Missouri Flat Rd / Golden Center Dr | Signal | B | 14.9 | B | 14.8 | C | 20.2 | C | 21.5 | N/A |
| 7. Missouri Flat Rd / China Garden Rd <br> NB Left <br> SB Left <br> EB <br> WB | WB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ 15.2 \\ 37.4 \\ 23.9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{E} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ 16.4 \\ 19.0 \\ 35.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ 12.5 \\ 10.4 \\ 17.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \circ \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ 13.9 \\ 11.9 \\ 23.9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes* |
| 8. Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) / SR-49 South | AWS Stop | B | 12.5 | B | 11.1 | C | 15.3 | C | 17.4 | Yes |
| 9. Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) / Forni Rd SB <br> EB Left | SB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.8 \\ 6.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.0 \\ 5.9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ 6.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.1 \\ 6.3 \end{gathered}$ | Yes $\dagger$ |
| 10. Missouri Flat Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) | Signal | B | 17.6 | B | 19.3 | B | 16.9 | B | 18.4 | N/A |
| 11. Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) / China Garden Rd SB <br> EB Left | SB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.8 \\ 13.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ 13.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.3 \\ & 8.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.7 \\ 10.9 \end{gathered}$ | No |
| 12. Diamond Rd (SR 49) / Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) | Signal | B | 18.9 | C | 25.3 | B | 17.8 | C | 19.7 | N/A |

* meets volume and delay warrant in AM and PM peak hours $\quad \ddagger$ meets volume warrant in PM peak hour
$\dagger$ meets volume warrant in AM and PM peak hours
$\diamond$ no delay reported

TABLE 6 (cont'd)
PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE
EXISTING PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

| Location | Control | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  | Traffic Signal Warranted? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Existing |  | Ex Plus Project |  | Existing |  | Ex Plus Project |  |  |
|  |  | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay |  |
| 13. Pleasant Valley Rd / Racquet Way | NB / SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes $\ddagger$ |
| NB | Stop | A | 7.1 | A | 9.8 | C | 19.2 | C | 20.0 |  |
| SB |  | A | 9.9 | B | 10.3 | A | 7.3 | A | 7.2 |  |
| EB Left |  | A | 6.2 | A | 7.1 | A | 4.8 | A | 5.1 |  |
| WB Left |  | A | 6.6 | A | 6.3 | B | 11.5 | B | 10.8 |  |
| 14. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Truck St | EB Stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No |
| NB Left |  | A | 2.4 | A | 2.6 | A | 2.5 | A | 4.0 |  |
| EB |  | A | 4.2 | A | 4.8 | A | 4.9 | A | 5.1 |  |
| 15. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Bradley Dr | EB Stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No |
| NB Left |  | A | 3.1 | A | 2.7 | A | 3.7 | A | 3.1 |  |
| EB |  | A | 3.6 | A | 3.6 | A | 7.4 | A | 5.0 |  |
| 16. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Lime Kiln Rd - Black | EB / WB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No |
| Rice Ln | Stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB Left |  | A | 3.7 | A | 4.2 | A | 5.4 | A | 5.8 |  |
| SB Left |  | A | 2.1 | A | 1.7 | A | 2.6 | A | 4.3 |  |
| EB |  | A | 5.4 | A | 6.6 | A | 6.4 | A | 7.5 |  |
| WB |  | A | 4.1 | A | 4.8 | A | 6.7 | A | 8.0 |  |
| 17. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Project Access | WB Stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | No |
| SB Left |  | --- | --- | A | 3.7 | --- | --- | A | 3.7 |  |
| WB |  | --- | --- | A | 6.3 | --- | --- | A | 8.8 |  |

* meets volume and delay warrant in AM and PM peak hours
$\dagger$ meets volume warrant in AM and PM peak hours
$\ddagger$ meets volume warrant in PM peak hour
$\diamond$ no delay reported

TABLE 7
EXISTING PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH |  |  | Ex PlusProjectQueue (feet) | VPH |  |  |  <br> Project Queue <br> (feet) |
|  |  | Existing | Project Only | Total |  | Existing | Project Only | Total |  |
| 1. Missouri Flat Road / Plaza Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 330 | 159 (2) | 0 | 159 | 97 | 322 (2) | 0 | 322 | 195 |
| NB through | 450 | 432 (2) | 5 | 437 | 95 | 308 (2) | 12 | 320 | 199 |
| NB right turn | 450 | 342 | 0 | 342 | 96 | 451 | 0 | 451 | 136 |
| SB left turn | 110 | 42 | 0 | 42 | 61 | 46 | 0 | 46 | 76 |
| EB left+through+right | 120 | 93 (2) | 0 | 93 | 67 | 388 (2) | 0 | 388 | 203 |
| WB left +through+right turn | 275 | 282 (2) | 0 | 282 | 151 | 522 (2) | 0 | 522 | 229 |
| 2. Missouri Flat Road / WB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 160 | 390 (2) | 14 | 404 | 170 | 365 (2) | 25 | 390 | 166 |
| NB through | 360 | 600 (2) | 5 | 605 | 349 | 732 (2) | 12 | 744 | 275 |
| SB through | 520 | 446 (2) | 5 | 451 | 164 | 822 (2) | 9 | 831 | 223 |
| WB left turn | 410 | 541 (2) | 6 | 547 | 217 | 596 (2) | 8 | 604 | 230 |
| WB right turn | 410 | 333 (2) | 0 | 333 | 125 | 349 (2) | 0 | 349 | 142 |
| 3. Missouri Flat Road / EB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB through | 160 | 900 (2) | 19 | 919 | 201 | 879 (2) | 37 | 916 | 189 |
| NB right turn | 140 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 70 | 72 | 0 | 72 | 84 |
| SB left | 160 | 134 (2) | 0 | 134 | 183 | 323 (2) | 0 | 323 | 213 |
| SB through | 380 | 853 (2) | 12 | 865 | 384 | 1,095 (2) | 16 | 1,111 | 431 |
| EB left+through+right turn | 540 | 417 (3) | 14 | 431 | 156 | 779 (3) | 17 | 796 | 222 |
| 4. Missouri Flat Road / Mother Lode Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 150 | 23 | 1 | 24 | 62 | 51 | 2 | 53 | 73 |
| NB through | 2,300 | 846 (2) | 25 | 871 | 191 | 827 (2) | 48 | 875 | 170 |
| SB through | 140 | 1,100 (2) | 25 | 1,125 | 113 | 1,530 (2) | 34 | 1,564 | 171 |
| SB right turn | 130 | 80 | 0 | 80 | <25 | 126 | 0 | 126 | 81 |

Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage

TABLE 7 (cont'd)
EXISTING PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH |  |  | Ex PlusProjectQueue (feet) | VPH |  |  | Ex Plus <br> Project Queue <br> (feet) |
|  |  | Existing | Project Only | Total |  | Existing | Project Only | Total |  |
| 5. Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 250 | 37 | 1 | 38 | 64 | 57 | 0 | 57 | 88 |
| NB through | 1,000 | 855 (2) | 27 | 882 | 270 | 800 (2) | 51 | 851 | 276 |
| NB right turn | 160 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 134 | 21 | 0 | 21 | 72 |
| SB left turn | 300 | 280 | 0 | 280 | 275 | 165 | 0 | 165 | 185 |
| SB through | 2,300 | 642 (2) | 27 | 669 | 187 | 1,019 (2) | 35 | 1,054 | 247 |
| SB right turn | 150 | 207 | 1 | 208 | 122 | 348 | 5 | 353 | 167 |
| 6. Missouri Flat Road / Golden Center Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 120 | 38 | 0 | 38 | 77 | 75 | 0 | 75 | 122 |
| SB left turn | 160 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 125 | 67 | 0 | 67 | 142 |
| 10. Missouri Flat Road / SR 49 (Pleasant Valley Rd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB left turn | 600 | 191 | 37 | 228 | 179 | 625 | 50 | 675 | 230 |
| SB right turn | 600 | 154 | 0 | 154 | 81 | 291 | 0 | 291 | 104 |
| EB left turn | 160 | 320 | 0 | 320 | 152 | 229 | 0 | 229 | 138 |
| WB right turn | 190 | 534 | 37 | 571 | 251 | 330 | 72 | 402 | 162 |
| 12. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB left turn | 340 | 52 | 10 | 62 | 80 | 169 | 17 | 186 | 159 |
| SB through+right | 340 | 127 | 48 | 175 | 140 | 158 | 83 | 241 | 166 |
| NB right turn | 100 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 49 | 36 | 0 | 36 | 72 |
| NB left+through | 600 | 120 | 3 | 123 | 144 | 118 | 4 | 122 | 132 |
| EB left turn | 200 | 101 | 45 | 146 | 168 | 118 | 53 | 171 | 203 |
| WB right turn | 170 | 151 | 10 | 161 | 245 | 88 | 12 | 100 | 159 |
| WB left turn | 100 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 62 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 96 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## EXISTING PLUS APPROVED PROJECTS IMPACTS (2019)

The analysis of the near term 2019 cumulative condition is intended to consider the impact of this project within the context of the "Existing Plus Approved Projects" (EPAP) conditions occurring within 5 years (i.e., by 2019).

## Analysis Methodology

El Dorado County traffic study guidelines prescribe two methodologies to determine future short term traffic volumes. The two methodologies involve either 1) adding trips associated with specific approved projects located in the study area to current turning movement counts, or 2 ) interpolating short term growth based on information developed from long term traffic volumes projections.

Direction regarding the analysis of Year 2019 conditions was provided by Caltrans in consultation with DOT. Because the study area circulation system is influenced by regional growth, interpolation of available long term forecasts is the preferred methodology for estimating year 2019 volumes.

The approach taken to produce Year 2019 volume follows El Dorado County traffic study guidelines. The Year 2035 traffic volumes presented in the DSEDAMLCP traffic study were selected as being representative of long term conditions, with adjustment of specific approach volumes. Peak hour approach volumes for 2019 were calculated using straight-line interpolation. The resulting approach growth rate at each intersection was determined to be between $5 \%$ and $9 \%$ on various intersection approaches. These volumes were developed in the preparation of The Crossing Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by KDAnderson \& Associates, Inc. in 2014.

## Year 2019 Forecasts / Conditions

Traffic Volumes. The identified short term growth rates described above were applied to the current traffic volumes at each intersection, and the resulting background base Year 2019 volumes determined. Two additional near term projects were identified by El Dorado County staff and were added to the base volumes. The two projects include Phase 1 of The Crossing and the Willow Creek Retail Center. The Crossing is located north of the Missouri Flat Road / US 50 interchange while Willow Creek is located in the northwest quadrant of the Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road intersection. 2019 No Project volumes are presented in Figure 7.

|  | 2 |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  <br> Plaza Dr / Missouri Flat Rd | US 50 WB Ramps/Missouri Flat |  <br> US 50 EB Ramps/Missouri Flat | Mother Lode Dr/Missouri Flat |
|  |  |  | 8 <br> qR $^{1-1}$ <br> 307 (278) <br> 153 (222) |
|  <br> Forni Rd / Missouri Flat Rd |  <br> Golden Center Dr/Missouri Flat | China Garden Rd/Missouri Flat |  <br> SR 49 / Pleasant Valley Rd |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{(424)}{ } \begin{gathered} (877 \\ (424 \end{gathered} \xrightarrow{\Delta}$ <br> Forni Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd | Missouri Flat/Pleasant Valley Rd | (9) $12 \xrightarrow{\Delta}$ (1036) 448 China Garden Rd/Pleasant Valley | Diamond Rd/Pleasant Valley Rd |
|  | 14 | 15 |  |
|  <br> Racquet Way/Pleasant Valley Rd | Diamond Rd / Truck S $\dagger$ | Diamond Rd / Bradley Dr | Diamond Rd \& Black Rice Rd/Lime Kiln Rd |
|  |  |  | Legend <br> AM Peak Hour Volume <br> PM Peak Hour Volume <br> Stop Sign <br> Signalized Intersection |

Intersection Levels of Service. The identified Year 2019 volumes were used to recalculate operating Levels of Service at selected intersections. For the purpose of this analysis, no improvements to study area intersections have been assumed to occur by the Year 2019.

Table 8 displays the a.m. and p.m. peak hour Levels of Service at each study intersection in the 2019 condition. One unsignalized intersection, Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road will operate at an LOS F condition along the eastbound (driveway) and westbound (China Garden Road) approaches in the AM peak hour. This intersection meets the peak hour warrant in both AM and PM peak periods.

Intersection Queues. Table 9 identifies peak period queues for the Year 2019 base condition. Approach queues are observed to increase as a result of the projected traffic increase in the next five years. Thirteen approaches are projected to exceed the available storage.

TABLE 8
PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE 2019 PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

| Location | Control | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  | Traffic Signal Warranted? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2019 |  | 2019 Plus Project |  | 2019 |  | 2019 Plus Project |  |  |
|  |  | LOS | Average <br> Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average <br> Delay | LOS | Average Delay |  |
| 1. Missouri Flat Rd / Plaza Dr | Signal | B | 16.2 | B | 15.6 | C | 31.6 | C | 29.6 | N/A |
| 2. Missouri Flat Rd / WB US 50 ramps | Signal | C | 20.1 | C | 20.5 | C | 25.4 | C | 27.2 | N/A |
| 3. Missouri Flat Rd / EB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 18.7 | B | 18.9 | C | 26.1 | C | 28.4 | N/A |
| 4. Missouri Flat Rd / Mother Lode Dr | Signal | A | 9.7 | B | 10.3 | B | 10.2 | B | 10.2 | N/A |
| 5. Missouri Flat Rd / Forni Rd | Signal | C | 22.6 | C | 21.5 | C | 26.2 | C | 31.5 | N/A |
| 6. Missouri Flat Rd / Golden Center Dr | Signal | B | 15.6 | B | 15.8 | C | 23.7 | C | 29.7 | N/A |
| 7. Missouri Flat Rd / China Garden Rd NB Left <br> SB Left <br> EB <br> WB | WB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \mathrm{~F} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ 19.8 \\ 61.4 \\ 74.7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{~F} \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ 18.6 \\ 67.1 \\ 60.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \diamond \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{D} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ 15.2 \\ 16.1 \\ 32.3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ \mathrm{E} \\ \mathrm{~F} \\ \mathrm{~F} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ 42.3 \\ 67.2 \\ 129.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes* |
| 8. Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) / SR-49 South | AWS Stop | C | 21.2 | C | 18.0 | C | 21.9 | C | 22.2 | Yes |
| 9. Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) / Forni Rd SB <br> EB Left | SB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.4 \\ 6.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53.5 \\ 6.8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.1 \\ 6.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.6 \\ 6.4 \end{gathered}$ | Yes $\dagger$ |
| 10. Missouri Flat Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) | Signal | C | 20.4 | C | 22.5 | B | 18.4 | B | 14.1 | N/A |
| 11. Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) / China Garden Rd SB <br> EB Left | SB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ 21.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ 15.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.2 \\ 11.0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.5 \\ 14.6 \end{gathered}$ | No |

* meets volume and delay warrant in AM and PM peak hours
$\dagger$ meets volume warrant in AM and PM peak hours
$\ddagger$ meets volume warrant in PM peak hour
$\diamond$ no delay reported

TABLE 8 (cont'd)
PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE 2019 PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

| Location | Control | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  | Traffic <br> Signal <br> Warranted? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2019 |  | 2019 Plus Project |  | 2019 |  | 2019 Plus Project |  |  |
|  |  | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay |  |
| 12. Diamond Rd (SR 49) / Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) | Signal | C | 30.6 | D | 38.9 | C | 20.3 | C | 23.2 | N/A |
| 13. Pleasant Valley Rd / Racquet Way <br> NB <br> SB <br> EB Left <br> WB Left | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB / SB } \\ \text { Stop } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{E} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.6 \\ 41.4 \\ 9.4 \\ 10.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { F } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \text { B } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 49.5 \\ & 94.1 \\ & 11.2 \\ & 11.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \mathrm{~A} \\ \mathrm{~B} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.8 \\ 8.3 \\ 5.0 \\ 12.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.8 \\ 8.1 \\ 5.3 \\ 11.6 \end{gathered}$ | Yes $\ddagger$ |
| 14. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Truck St <br> NB Left <br> EB | EB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.6 \\ & 4.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.4 \\ & 4.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.7 \\ & 5.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & 4.5 \end{aligned}$ | No |
| 15. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Bradley Dr <br> NB Left <br> EB | EB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \\ & 4.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.8 \\ & 3.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 5.1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & 5.4 \end{aligned}$ | No |
| 16. Diamond Rd (SR 49) / Lime Kiln Rd - Black Rice Ln <br> NB Left <br> SB Left <br> EB <br> WB | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB / WB } \\ \text { Stop } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.8 \\ & 1.4 \\ & 5.5 \\ & 4.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \\ & 1.6 \\ & 6.6 \\ & 4.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | A <br> A <br> A <br> A | $\begin{aligned} & 4.9 \\ & 2.3 \\ & 6.7 \\ & 7.5 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | A <br> A <br> A <br> A | $\begin{array}{r} 6.5 \\ 4.6 \\ 8.5 \\ 9.3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | No |
| 17. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Project Access <br> SB Left <br> WB | WB Stop | ---- | --- | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6 \\ & 6.3 \end{aligned}$ | --- | --- | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 9.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No |

* meets volume and delay warrant in AM and PM peak hours
$\dagger$ meets volume warrant in AM and PM peak hours
$\pm$ meets volume warrant in PM peak hour
$\diamond$ no delay reported

TABLE 9
2019 PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH | Queue (feet) | VPH | Queue (feet) |
| 1. Missouri Flat Road / Plaza Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 330 | 159 (2) | 99 | 324 (2) | 211 |
| NB through | 450 | 581 (2) | 117 | 567 (2) | 240 |
| NB right turn | 450 | 344 | 103 | 453 | 228 |
| SB left turn | 110 | 48 | 71 | 58 | 122 |
| EB left+through+right | 120 | 101 (2) | 77 | 404 (2) | 224 |
| WB left +through+right turn | 275 | 296 (2) | 147 | 531 (2) | 252 |
| 2. Missouri Flat Road / WB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 160 | 441 (2) | 170 | 436 (2) | 168 |
| NB through | 360 | 686 (2) | 421 | 907 (2) | 343 |
| SB through | 520 | 547 (2) | 173 | 1,054 (2) | 483 |
| WB left turn | 410 | 595 (2) | 235 | 669 (2) | 252 |
| WB right turn | 410 | 393 (2) | 155 | 438 (2) | 199 |
| 3. Missouri Flat Road / EB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB through | 160 | 1,003 (2) | 193 | 1,049 (2) | 180 |
| NB right turn | 140 | 83 | 75 | 74 | 84 |
| SB left | 160 | 171 (2) | 207 | 409 (2) | 218 |
| SB through | 380 | 995 (2) | 406 | 1,314 (2) | 454 |
| EB left+through+right turn | 540 | 530 (3) | 178 | 929 (3) | 312 |
| 4. Missouri Flat Road / Mother Lode Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 150 | 27 | 80 | 62 | 91 |
| NB through | 2,300 | 934 (2) | 234 | 984 (2) | 201 |
| SB through | 140 | 1,280 (2) | 133 | 1,807 (2) | 180 |
| SB right turn | 130 | 84 | 41 | 143 | 104 |
| 5. Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 250 | 46 | 97 | 69 | 111 |
| NB through | 1,000 | 964 (2) | 317 | 974 (2) | 323 |
| NB right turn | 160 | 69 | 157 | 29 | 113 |
| SB left turn | 300 | 292 | 287 | 175 | 212 |
| SB through | 2,300 | 792 (2) | 246 | 1,262 (2) | 294 |
| SB right turn | 150 | 229 | 127 | 360 | 190 |
| 6. Missouri Flat Road / Golden Center Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 120 | 38 | 80 | 76 | 136 |
| SB left turn | 160 | 89 | 138 | 77 | 175 |
| 10. Missouri Flat Road / SR 49 (Pleasant Valley Rd) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB left turn | 600 | 220 | 174 | 704 | 223 |
| SB right turn | 600 | 173 | 98 | 321 | 123 |
| EB left turn | 160 | 355 (2) | 158 | 254 (2) | 145 |
| WB right turn | 190 | 608 | 271 | 392 | 175 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 9 (cont'd)
2019 PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH | Queue <br> (feet) | VPH | Queue <br> (feet) |
| 12. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB left turn | 340 | 52 | 81 | 181 | 173 |
| SB through+right | 340 | 141 | 124 | 173 | 129 |
| NB right turn | 100 | 13 | 55 | 39 | 73 |
| NB left+through | 600 | 133 | 152 | 129 | 143 |
| EB left turn | 200 | 113 | 143 | 130 | 184 |
| WB right turn | 170 | 163 | 256 | 92 | 159 |
| WB left turn | 100 | 13 | 67 | 34 | 90 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage |  |  |  |  |  |

## 2019 Plus Project

Intersection Levels of Service. The identified Year 2019 plus Project volumes were used to recalculate operating Levels of Service at selected intersections. Figure 8 displays the "2019 Plus Project" traffic volumes at each study intersection in both a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Table 8 displays the AM and PM peak hour Levels of Service at each study intersection in the 2019 plus Project condition. Three intersections will operate at LOS F conditions with the proposed project. These include the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection which will continue to operate at LOS F in both AM and PM peak hours, the Pleasant Valley Road (SR 49) / Forni Road intersection which will decline to LOS F on the southbound approach and Pleasant Valley Road / Racquet Way which will decline to LOS F conditions on the northbound and southbound approaches. The Pleasant Valley Road (SR 49) / Forni Road intersection will meet the volume portion of the peak hour warrant in both AM and PM peak hours while the Pleasant Valley Road / Racquet Way intersection will meet the volume portion of the peak hour warrant in the PM peak hour.

Intersection Queues. Table 10 identifies peak period queues for the Year 2019 plus Project condition assuming the addition of project trips. Project trips will result in additional queuing throughout the study area with fourteen locations projected to exceed the available storage.

| Plaza Dr / Missouri Flat Rd | US 50 WB Ramps/Missouri Flat |  | Mother Lode Dr/Missouri Flat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 8 $q_{R 1-1}$ $\begin{aligned} & \leftarrow 154(224) \\ & \leftarrow \end{aligned}$ |
| Forni Rd / Missouri Flat Rd | Golden Center Dr/Missouri Flat |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| (86) 132 <br> (427) 380 <br> Forni Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd | Missouri Flat/Pleasant Valley Rd | (9) 12 <br> (1093) 493 <br> China Garden Rd/Pleasant Valley | Diamond Rd/Pleasant Valley Rd |
|  | 14 | 15 |  |
|  <br> Racquet Way/Pleasant Valley Rd | Diamond Rd / Truck St | Diamond Rd / Bradley Dr |  <br>  <br> Black Rice Rd/Lime Kiln Rd |
|  |  |  | Legend AM Peak Hour Volume PM Peak Hour Volume Stop Sign Signalized Intersection |

TABLE 10
2019 PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2019 \text { Plus } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Queue (feet) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | VPH |  |  | 2019 Plus <br> Project Queue <br> (feet) |
|  |  | 2019 | Project Only | Total |  | 2019 | Project Only | Total |  |
| 1. Missouri Flat Road / Plaza Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 330 | 159 (2) | 0 | 159 | 100 | 324 (2) | 0 | 324 | 168 |
| NB through | 450 | 581 (2) | 5 | 586 | 120 | 567 (2) | 12 | 579 | 199 |
| NB right turn | 450 | 344 | 0 | 344 | 117 | 453 | 0 | 453 | 197 |
| SB left turn | 110 | 48 | 0 | 48 | 68 | 58 | 0 | 58 | 120 |
| EB left+through+right | 120 | 101 (2) | 0 | 101 | 73 | 404 (2) | 0 | 404 | 229 |
| WB left + through+right turn | 275 | 296 (2) | 0 | 296 | 143 | 531 (2) | 0 | 531 | 261 |
| 2. Missouri Flat Road / WB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 160 | 441 (2) | 14 | 455 | 170 | 436 (2) | 25 | 461 | 165 |
| NB through | 360 | 686 (2) | 5 | 691 | 447 | 907 (2) | 12 | 919 | 346 |
| SB through | 520 | 547 (2) | 5 | 552 | 170 | 1,054 (2) | 9 | 1,063 | 504 |
| WB left turn | 410 | 595 (2) | 6 | 601 | 237 | 669 (2) | 8 | 677 | 243 |
| WB right turn | 410 | 393 (2) | 0 | 393 | 155 | 438 (2) | 0 | 438 | 187 |
| 3. Missouri Flat Road / EB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB through | 160 | 1,003 (2) | 19 | 1,022 | 199 | 1,049 (2) | 37 | 1,086 | 184 |
| NB right turn | 140 | 83 | 0 | 83 | 73 | 74 | 0 | 74 | 85 |
| SB left | 160 | 171 (2) | 0 | 171 | 205 | 409 (2) | 0 | 409 | 220 |
| SB through | 380 | 995 (2) | 12 | 1,007 | 409 | 1,314 (2) | 16 | 1,330 | 452 |
| EB left+through+right turn | 540 | 530 (3) | 14 | 544 | 177 | 929 (3) | 17 | 946 | 373 |
| 4. Missouri Flat Road / Mother Lode Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 150 | 27 | 1 | 28 | 78 | 62 | 2 | 64 | 102 |
| NB through | 2,300 | 934 (2) | 19 | 953 | 301 | 984 (2) | 37 | 1,021 | 204 |
| SB through | 140 | 1,280 (2) | 25 | 1,305 | 131 | 1,807 (2) | 34 | 1,841 | 177 |
| SB right turn | 130 | 84 | 0 | 84 | 35 | 143 | 0 | 143 | 98 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 10 (cont'd)
2019 PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH |  |  | 2019 PlusProjectQueue (feet) | VPH |  |  | 2019 Plus <br> Project Queue <br> (feet) |
|  |  | 2019 | Project Only | Total |  | 2019 | Project Only | Total |  |
| 5. Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 250 | 46 | 1 | 47 | 96 | 69 | 0 | 69 | 136 |
| NB through | 1,000 | 964 (2) | 27 | 991 | 309 | 974 (2) | 51 | 1,025 | 330 |
| NB right turn | 160 | 69 | 0 | 69 | 156 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 93 |
| SB left turn | 300 | 292 | 0 | 292 | 282 | 175 | 0 | 175 | 214 |
| SB through | 2,300 | 792 (2) | 27 | 819 | 240 | 1,262 (2) | 35 | 1,297 | 321 |
| SB right turn | 150 | 229 | 0 | 229 | 135 | 360 | 0 | 360 | 195 |
| 6. Missouri Flat Road / Golden Center Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 120 | 38 | 0 | 38 | 67 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 130 |
| SB left turn | 160 | 89 | 0 | 89 | 137 | 77 | 0 | 77 | 191 |
| 10. Missouri Flat Road / SR 49 (Pleasant Valley Rd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB left turn | 600 | 220 | 37 | 257 | 203 | 704 | 50 | 754 | 217 |
| SB right turn | 600 | 173 | 0 | 173 | 94 | 321 | 0 | 321 | 122 |
| EB left turn | 160 | 355 (2) | 0 | 355 | 158 | 254 (2) | 0 | 254 | 147 |
| WB right turn | 190 | 608 | 37 | 645 | 276 | 392 | 72 | 464 | 198 |
| 12. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB left turn | 340 | 52 | 10 | 62 | 85 | 181 | 17 | 198 | 190 |
| SB through+right | 340 | 141 | 48 | 189 | 176 | 173 | 88 | 261 | 200 |
| NB right turn | 100 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 53 | 39 | 0 | 39 | 82 |
| NB left+through | 600 | 133 | 3 | 136 | 172 | 129 | 4 | 133 | 143 |
| EB left turn | 200 | 113 | 45 | 158 | 178 | 130 | 57 | 187 | 211 |
| WB right turn | 170 | 163 | 10 | 173 | 269 | 92 | 12 | 104 | 179 |
| WB left turn | 100 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 56 | 34 | 0 | 34 | 94 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## CUMULATIVE IMPACTS (2035)

The analysis of the long range 2035 cumulative condition is intended to consider the impact of this project within the context of buildout of the General Plan circulation element occurring in 2035.

## Year 2035 Forecasts / Conditions

## Roadway Conditions

Roadways in 2035 are projected to remain with their current lane configurations. The Diamond Springs Parkway, north of China Garden Road, will connect Missouri Flat Road to Diamond Road (SR 49) and is projected to be completed by 2035. This roadway will include two through lanes in each direction with turn lanes at key intersections. Missouri Flat Road will become the west and south legs of the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection. Missouri Flat Road south of China Garden Road will continue to include one through lane in each direction. Diamond Road, as part of the Diamond Springs parkway connection will be widened to two lanes in each direction between Diamond Springs Parkway and Pleasant Valley Road. Dual left turn lanes will be provided for northbound Diamond Road at Diamond Springs Parkway and south Diamond Road at Pleasant Valley Road. The inside lanes will allow for u-turns as through movements and left turns across Diamond Road will be prohibited in this segment.

The Missouri Flat Road / Diamond Springs Parkway intersection will include two left turn lanes and a through-right lane along the northbound approach, a left turn lane, two through lanes and a right turn lane along the eastbound approach, a single lane along the southbound approach and a left turn lane, a through lane and a through-right lane on the westbound approach. The intersection will be signalized and was analyzed as part of the 2035 conditions.

The Diamond Springs Parkway / Diamond Road intersection will include two left turn lanes and a through lane along the northbound approach, a through lane and a right turn lane along the southbound approach and a left lane and a right lane along the eastbound approach. The intersection will be signalized and was analyzed with the signal in 2035 conditions. As part of this project the Bradley Drive intersection will be modified to right-in, right-out access only. Additionally, the Diamond Road / Lime Kiln Road - Black Rice Lane will be modified to allow right-in, right-out and left-in movements only.

An intermediate intersection at Throwita Way will be constructed. This intersection will include a left turn lane, two through lanes and a right turn lane for eastbound traffic, a left turn lane, a through lane and a through-right lane for westbound traffic, a single lane for south bound traffic and a right lane and a through-left lane for northbound traffic. The intersection will be signalized and was analyzed as part of the 2035 conditions.

## 2035 Traffic Forecasts

Year 2035 traffic forecasts were based on the DSEDAMLCP traffic volumes and were expanded to account for traffic along the Diamond Springs Parkway Corridor and Diamond Road (SR 49). Traffic volumes not contained in the $D S E D A M L C P$ were developed based upon the growth rates
identified between Existing and 2035 DSEDAMLCP time periods, the Diamond Springs Parkway EIR Circulation Element prepared by Kimley Horn Associates and the Diamond Dorado Retail Center EIR Traffic Impact Analysis also prepared by Kimley Horn Associates. Intersection turning movements are presented in Figure 9.

Intersection Levels of Service. The identified Year 2035 volumes were used to recalculate operating Levels of Service at the study intersections. Table 11 displays the a.m. and p.m. peak hour Levels of Service at each study intersection in the 2035 condition. 2035 Synchro files developed for the $D E S D A M L C P$ were obtained and expanded to include study intersections that were identified for analysis for this project.

Four intersections will operate with LOS F conditions. These include the Missouri Flat Road / US 50 Westbound Ramps intersection which will operate at LOS F in the PM peak hour, the SR 49 / Pleasant Valley Road intersection which will operate at LOS F in both AM and PM peak hours, the Pleasant Valley Road / Racquet Way intersection which will operate with the southbound approach at LOS F in the AM peak hour and the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection which will operate at LOS F along the southbound approach in the AM and PM peak hours.

Traffic Signal Warrants. Two unsignalized intersections carry volumes that meet the peak hour signal warrant criteria during either peak period. These include the Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49 (South) intersection where the peak hour signal warrant is met in both AM and PM peak periods and the Pleasant Valley Road / Racquet Way intersection where the peak hour signal warrant is met in the PM peak hour. Three additional intersections meet the peak hour volume portion of the peak hour warrant. These include the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection, the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Diamond Road / Lime Kiln Road - Black Rice Lane intersection.

Intersection Queues. Table 12 identifies peak period queues for the Year 2035 base condition. Project trips will result in additional queuing throughout the study area with 24 locations projected to exceed the available storage. The most extensive queues are projected to occur in the vicinity of the US 50 / Missouri Flat Road interchange where the westbound US 50 off-ramp queue is projected to exceed 1,100 feet and the eastbound US 50 off-ramp is projected to exceed 1,700 feet. Additionally, the northbound queue along Missouri Flat Road at Mother Lode Drive is expected to exceed 2,200 feet.

|  |  |  | 4 <br> 웅 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  <br> US 50 WB Ramps/Missouri Flat | US 50 EB Ramps/Missouri Flat | Mother Lode Dr/Missouri Flat |
|  |  |  | 8 <br> qR1-1 $^{2}$ <br> 310 (351) <br> 220 (361) |
| Forni Rd / Missouri Flat Rd | Golden Center Dr/Missouri Flat |  <br> China Garden Rd/Missouri Flat |  <br> SR 49 / Pleasant Valley Rd |
|  |  |  |  |
| (170) 240 <br> (573) $460 \xrightarrow{\longrightarrow}$ <br> Forni Rd / Pleasant Valley | Missouri Flat/Pleasant Valley Rd | (10) 20 <br> (810) 290 <br> China Garden Rd/Pleasant Valley | Diamond Rd/Pleasant Valley Rd |
|  | 14 | $15$ |  |
|  <br> Racquet Way/Pleasant Valley Rd | Diamond Rd / Truck S $\dagger$ | (0) 0 <br> (5) 1 <br> Diamond Rd / Bradley Dr |  <br> Black Rice Rd/Lime Kiln Rd |
|  | 18 | 19 | Legend |
|  <br> Diamond Springs Pkwy/Project | Missouri Flat Rd \& Diamond Springs Pkwy |  <br> Diamond Springs Pkwy \& Throwita Way | $\sigma(X X)$ PM Peak Hour Volume qR1-1 Stop Sign Signalized Intersection |

## TABLE 11

PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE
2035 PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

| Location | Control | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  | Traffic <br> Signal Warranted? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2035 |  | 2035 Plus Project |  | 2035 |  | 2035 Plus Project |  |  |
|  |  | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay |  |
| 1. Missouri Flat Rd / Plaza Dr | Signal | B | 15.5 | B | 15.8 | E | 57.3 | E | 64.5 | N/A |
| 2. Missouri Flat Rd/ WB US 50 ramps | Signal | C | 31.1 | C | 21.3 | F | 109.3 | F | 111.1 | N/A |
| 3. Missouri Flat Rd/EB US 50 ramps | Signal | C | 30.6 | C | 25.5 | E | 71.9 | E | 78.6 | N/A |
| 4. Missouri Flat Rd / Mother Lode Dr | Signal | B | 17.2 | B | 16.1 | D | 50.1 | E | 64.1 | N/A |
| 5. Missouri Flat Rd / Forni Rd | Signal | D | 41.5 | D | 39.5 | E | 59.1 | E | 65.5 | N/A |
| 6. Missouri Flat Rd / Golden Center Dr | Signal | C | 24.2 | C | 25.1 | D | 35.2 | D | 37.1 | N/A |
| 7. Missouri Flat Rd / China Garden Rd <br> NB Left <br> SB Left <br> EB <br> WB | WB Stop | A <br> B <br> B <br> B | $\begin{gathered} 3.4 \\ 14.8 \\ 14.5 \\ 12.4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | A <br> C <br> C <br> B | $\begin{gathered} 3.9 \\ 17.8 \\ 20.5 \\ 11.2 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ \mathrm{B} \\ \mathrm{E} \\ \mathrm{E} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ 11.1 \\ 27.9 \\ 47.9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \diamond \\ 12.0 \\ 27.3 \\ 56.6 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes* |
| 8. Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) / SR-49 South | AWS Stop | F | 58.7 | F | 55.5 | F | 70.0 | F | 68.7 | Yes |
| 9. Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) / Forni Rd SB <br> EB Left | SB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 220.9 \\ 8.5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 212.9 \\ 8.8 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 97.7 \\ 9.7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{F} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 179.0 \\ 9.9 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes $\dagger$ |
| 10. Missouri Flat Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) | Signal | D | 48.9 | D | 51.8 | C | 30.6 | C | 30.3 | N/A |
| 11. Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) / China Garden Rd SB <br> EB Left | SB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.2 \\ 11.7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A } \\ & \text { B } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.6 \\ 11.0 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & 7.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.1 \\ & 7.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No |
| 12. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) | Signal | C | 26.9 | C | 26.0 | C | 22.6 | C | 22.8 | N/A |

* meets volume and delay warrant in AM and PM peak hours
$\dagger$ meets volume warrant in AM and PM peak hours
$\ddagger$ meets volume warrant in PM peak hour
$\diamond$ no delay reported

TABLE 11 (cont'd)
PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE 2035 PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

| Location | Control | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  | Traffic Signal Warranted? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2035 |  | 2035 Plus Project |  | 2035 |  | 2035 Plus Project |  |  |
|  |  | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay |  |
| 13. Pleasant Valley Rd / Racquet Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes $\ddagger$ |
| NB | Stop | E | 41.2 | C | 24.3 | E | 41.7 | E | 46.0 |  |
| SB |  | F | 55.8 | E | $38.5$ | B | $13.6$ | C | $17.3$ |  |
| EB Left |  | B | 11.1 | B | 12.1 | A | 6.1 | A | 6.4 |  |
| WB Left |  | A | 9.6 | B | 13.6 | B | 11.4 | B | 12.0 |  |
| 14. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Truck St <br> NB Left EB | EB Stop | A | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 5.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | A | $\begin{aligned} & 3.1 \\ & 5.8 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | A | $\begin{array}{r} 3.6 \\ 8.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.7 \\ 8.5 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | No |
| 15. Diamond Road (SR 49) / Bradley Dr EB right | EB Stop | A | 3.6 | A | 2.3 | A | 3.7 | A | 4.0 | No |
| 16. Diamond Rd (SR 49) / Lime Kiln Rd - Black Rice Ln <br> NB Left <br> SB Left <br> EB right <br> WB right | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB / WB } \\ \text { Stop } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.8 \\ & 5.7 \\ & 4.2 \\ & 6.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.1 \\ & 5.6 \\ & 4.7 \\ & 5.6 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.8 \\ & 4.7 \\ & 3.8 \\ & 4.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.9 \\ & 5.2 \\ & 3.7 \\ & 4.9 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Yes $\dagger$ |
| 17. Diamond Rd (SR 49) / Diamond Springs Pkwy Project Access | Signal | C | 27.8 | C | 29.9 | C | 29.2 | C | 28.0 | N/A |
| 18. Missouri Flat Road / Diamond Springs Pkwy | Signal | C | 20.8 | C | 21.7 | C | 23.7 | C | 25.1 | N/A |
| 19. Diamond Springs Pkwy / Throwita Way | Signal | B | 14.6 | B | 13.1 | B | 17.0 | B | 16.8 | N/A |

* meets volume and delay warrant in AM and PM peak hours
$\dagger$ meets volume warrant in AM and PM peak hours
$\ddagger$ meets volume warrant in PM peak hour
$\diamond$ no delay reported

TABLE 12
2035 PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH | Queue (feet) | VPH | Queue (feet) |
| 1. Missouri Flat Road / Plaza Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 330 | 160 (2) | 87 | 330 (2) | 147 |
| NB through | 450 | 655 (2) | 170 | 574 (2) | 218 |
| NB right turn | 450 | 350 | 170 | 460 | 248 |
| SB left turn | 110 | 70 | 79 | 100 | 236 |
| EB left+through+right | 120 | 130 (2) | 78 | 460 (2) | 241 |
| WB left +through+right turn | 275 | 310 (2) | 125 | 560 (2) | 390 |
| 2. Missouri Flat Road / WB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 160 | 489 (2) | 169 | 594 (2) | 169 |
| NB through | 360 | 705 (2) | 308 | 914 (2) | 357 |
| SB through | 520 | 725 (2) | 265 | 1,265 (2) | 545 |
| WB left turn | 410 | 719 (2) | 677 | 835 (2) | 1,158 |
| WB right turn | 410 | 460 (2) | 352 | 450 (2) | 522 |
| 3. Missouri Flat Road / EB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB through | 160 | 1,094 (2) | 194 | 1,288 (2) | 188 |
| NB right turn | 140 | 90 | 99 | 80 | 85 |
| SB left | 160 | 210 (2) | 196 | 380 (2) | 198 |
| SB through | 380 | 1,234 (2) | 443 | 1,709 (2) | 422 |
| EB left+through+right turn | 540 | 649 (3) | 337 | 925 (3) | 1,728 |
| 4. Missouri Flat Road / Mother Lode Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 150 | 45 | 115 | 104 | 226 |
| NB through | 2,300 | 984 (2) | 355 | 1,208 (2) | 2,137 |
| SB through | 140 | 1,688 (2) | 168 | 2,244 (2) | 165 |
| SB right turn | 130 | 90 | 96 | 170 | 109 |
| 5. Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 250 | 80 | 224 | 110 | 286 |
| NB through | 1,000 | 1,162 (2) | 446 | 1,315 (2) | 490 |
| NB right turn | 160 | 100 | 190 | 60 | 163 |
| SB left turn | 300 | 302 | 367 | 177 | 347 |
| SB through | 2,300 | 1,162 (2) | 548 | 1,774 (2) | 528 |
| SB right turn | 150 | 269 | 229 | 357 | 235 |
| 6. Missouri Flat Road / Golden Center Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 120 | 40 | 124 | 80 | 192 |
| SB left turn | 160 | 97 | 194 | 70 | 169 |
| 10. Missouri Flat Road / SR 49 (Pleasant Valley Rd) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB left turn | 600 | 62 | 56 | 473 | 198 |
| SB right turn | 600 | 180 | 77 | 431 | 117 |
| EB left turn | 160 | 410 (2) | 160 | 283 (2) | 210 |
| WB right turn | 190 | 452 | 167 | 232 | 118 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage |  |  |  |  |  |

## TABLE 12 (cont'd)

 2035 PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH | Queue <br> (feet) | VPH | Queue (feet) |
| 12. Diamond Rd (SR 49) / Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB left turn | 340 | 409 | 193 | 507 | 216 |
| SB through+right | 340 | 242 | 136 | 171 | 101 |
| NB right turn | 100 | 20 | 73 | 40 | 99 |
| NB left+through | 600 | 132 | 171 | 142 | 184 |
| EB left turn | 200 | 130 | 144 | 200 | 199 |
| WB right turn | 170 | 500 | 246 | 428 | 204 |
| WB left turn | 100 | 20 | 97 | 40 | 110 |
| 17. Diamond Rd (SR 49) / Diamond Springs Pkwy |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left | 350 | 634 (2) | 366 | 466 (2) | 329 |
| SB right | 464 | 202 | 122 | 412 | 265 |
| EB left | 995 | 209 | 211 | 303 | 260 |
| EB right | 995 | 729 | 292 | 770 | 227 |
| 18. Missouri Flat Rd / Diamond Springs Pkwy |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left | 275 | 712 (2) | 226 | 615 (2) | 210 |
| EB through | 1,600 | 813 (2) | 352 | 1,090 (2) | 372 |
| EB right | 250 | 450 | 217 | 864 | 310 |
| WB left | 500 | 100 | 123 | 200 | 217 |
| 19. Diamond Springs Pkwy / Throwita Way |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB right | 200 | 26 | 42 | 35 | 65 |
| EB left | 200 | 65 | 109 | 93 | 129 |
| EB right | 200 | 113 | 121 | 193 | 127 |
| WB left | 200 | 23 | 64 | 17 | 49 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage |  |  |  |  |  |

## 2035 Plus Project

## Trip Distribution \& Assignment

A new trip distribution pattern was applied to trips related to the Project in the future. Table 5 presents the project trip distributions for 2035. The Long-Term scenario considers the completion of the Diamond Springs Parkway (DSP), between Missouri Flat Road and Diamond Road. Project traffic that is projected to use Missouri Flat Road and Pleasant Valley Parkway to get to the project site in the short term will be able to use DSP by 2035 to access the site directly. Figure 10 presents the modified trip distribution with DSP completed.

Intersection Levels of Service. The Year 2035 plus Project volumes were used to recalculate operating Levels of Service at the study intersections. Figure 11 displays the " 2035 Project Only" traffic volumes while Figure 12 present the "2035 Plus Project traffic" traffic volumes at each study intersection in both a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Table 11 displays the a.m. and p.m. peak hour Levels of Service at each study intersection in the 2035 plus Project condition. Four intersections will operate at LOS F conditions with the proposed project. These include Missouri Flat Road / US 50 Westbound Ramps intersection which will continue to operate at LOS F in the PM peak hour, the SR 49 / Pleasant Valley Road intersection which will continue to operate at LOS F in both AM and PM peak hours, the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection which will continue to operate at LOS F along the southbound approach in the AM and PM peak hours and the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection which will continue to operate at LOS F along the westbound approach.

Traffic Signal Warrants. Two unsignalized intersections carry volumes that meet the peak hour signal warrant criteria during either peak period. These include the Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49 (South) intersection where the peak hour signal warrant is met in both AM and PM peak periods and the Pleasant Valley Road / Racquet Way intersection where the peak hour signal warrant is met in the PM peak hour. Three additional intersections meet the peak hour volume portion of the peak hour warrant. These include the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection, the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Diamond Road / Lime Kiln Road - Black Rice Lane intersection.

Intersection Queues. Table 13 identifies peak period queues for the Year 2035 plus Project condition assuming the addition of project trips. Project trips will result in additional queuing throughout the study area with 26 locations projected to exceed the available storage. The most extensive queues will continue to occur in the vicinity of the US 50 / Missouri Flat Road interchange where the westbound US 50 off-ramp queue is projected to exceed 1,100 feet, the eastbound US 50 off-ramp is projected to exceed 1,800 feet and the northbound Missouri Flat Road approach to Mother Lode Drive is projected to exceed 2,500 feet.


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plaza Dr / Missouri Flat Rd |  <br> US 50 WB Ramps/Missouri Flat |  <br> US 50 EB Ramps/Missouri Flat | Mother Lode Dr/Missouri Flat |
|  |  |  | 8 $q_{R 1-1}$ |
|  <br> Forni Rd / Missouri Flat Rd |  <br> Golden Center Dr/Missouri Flat |  <br> China Garden Rd/Missouri Flat | $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { (2) } 1 \\ \text { (0) } 0 & \rightarrow & 0 \\ \text { R1-1p }\end{array}$ <br> SR 49 / Pleasant Valley Rd |
|  |  |  |  |
| (0) 0 <br> (3) 3 <br> Forni Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd | (4) 5 <br> (2) 3 <br> Missouri Flat/Pleasant Valley Rd | (0) 0 <br> (2) 3 <br> China Garden Rd/Pleasant Valley |  |
|  | 14 O$\rightarrow 1$ | $15 \begin{array}{cc}  \\ & \left.\begin{array}{c} \text { O} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \hline \end{array}\right] \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
|  <br> Racquet Way/Pleasant Valley Rd | Diamond Rd / Truck S $\dagger$ | (0) 0 <br> Diamond Rd / Bradley Dr |  <br> Black Rice Rd/Lime Kiln Rd |
|  | 18 | 19 |  |
|  <br> Diamond Springs Pkwy/Project | Missouri Flat Rd \& Diamond Springs Pkwy | Diamond Springs Pkwy \& Throwita Way | $\sigma(X X)$ PM Peak Hour Volume QR1-1 Stop Sign (8) Signalized Intersection |



TABLE 13
2035 PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2035 \text { Plus } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Queue (feet) } \end{array}$ | VPH |  |  | 2035 PlusProject Queue(feet) |
|  |  | 2035 | Project Only | Total |  | 2035 | Project Only | Total |  |
| 1. Missouri Flat Rd / Plaza Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 330 | 160 (2) | 0 | 160 | 92 | 330 (2) | 0 | 330 | 147 |
| NB through | 450 | 655 (2) | 5 | 660 | 181 | 574 (2) | 12 | 586 | 204 |
| NB right turn | 450 | 350 | 0 | 350 | 182 | 460 | 0 | 460 | 221 |
| SB left turn | 110 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 85 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 241 |
| EB left+through+right | 120 | 130 (2) | 0 | 130 | 83 | 460 (2) | 0 | 460 | 257 |
| WB left +through+right turn | 275 | 310 (2) | 0 | 310 | 121 | 560 (2) | 0 | 560 | 569 |
| 2. Missouri Flat Rd / WB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 160 | 489 (2) | 14 | 503 | 171 | 594 (2) | 25 | 619 | 170 |
| NB through | 360 | 705 (2) | 5 | 710 | 326 | 914 (2) | 12 | 926 | 371 |
| SB through | 520 | 725 (2) | 5 | 730 | 218 | 1,265 (2) | 9 | 1,274 | 546 |
| WB left turn | 410 | 719 (2) | 6 | 725 | 283 | 835 (2) | 8 | 843 | 1,122 |
| WB right turn | 410 | 460 (2) | 0 | 460 | 186 | 450 (2) | 0 | 450 | 515 |
| 3. Missouri Flat Rd / EB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB through | 160 | 1,094 (2) | 19 | 1,113 | 195 | 1,288 (2) | 37 | 1,325 | 192 |
| NB right turn | 140 | 90 | 0 | 90 | 94 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 90 |
| SB left | 160 | 210 (2) | 0 | 210 | 171 | 380 (2) | 0 | 380 | 197 |
| SB through | 380 | 1,234 (2) | 12 | 1,246 | 367 | 1,709 (2) | 16 | 1,725 | 429 |
| EB left+through+right turn | 540 | 649 (3) | 14 | 663 | 301 | 925 (3) | 17 | 942 | 1,873 |
| 4. Missouri Flat Rd / Mother Lode Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 150 | 45 | 1 | 46 | 127 | 104 | 2 | 106 | 223 |
| NB through | 2,300 | 984 (2) | 19 | 1,003 | 323 | 1,208 (2) | 37 | 1,245 | 2,590 |
| SB through | 140 | 1,688 (2) | 25 | 1,713 | 138 | 2,244 (2) | 34 | 2,278 | 165 |
| SB right turn | 130 | 90 | 0 | 90 | 81 | 170 | 0 | 170 | 95 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 13 (cont'd)
2035 PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH |  |  | 2035 PlusProjectQueue (feet) | VPH |  |  | 2035 PlusProject Queue(feet) |
|  |  | 2035 | Project Only | Total |  | 2035 | Project Only | Total |  |
| 5. Missouri Flat Rd / Forni Rd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 250 | 80 | 1 | 81 | 222 | 110 | 0 | 110 | 328 |
| NB through | 1,000 | 1,162 (2) | 27 | 1,189 | 461 | 1,315 (2) | 51 | 1,366 | 495 |
| NB right turn | 160 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 179 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 172 |
| SB left turn | 300 | 302 | 0 | 302 | 391 | 177 | 0 | 177 | 339 |
| SB through | 2,300 | 1,162 (2) | 27 | 1,189 | 576 | 1,774 (2) | 35 | 1,809 | 544 |
| SB right turn | 150 | 269 | 0 | 269 | 226 | 357 | 0 | 357 | 235 |
| 6. Missouri Flat Rd / Golden Center Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 120 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 126 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 192 |
| SB left turn | 160 | 97 | 0 | 97 | 187 | 70 | 0 | 70 | 170 |
| 10. Missouri Flat Rd / SR 49 (Pleasant Valley Rd) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB left turn | 600 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 58 | 473 | 0 | 473 | 193 |
| SB right turn | 600 | 180 | 2 | 182 | 81 | 431 | 6 | 437 | 126 |
| EB left turn | 160 | 410 (2) | 2 | 412 | 198 | 283 (2) | 4 | 287 | 207 |
| WB right turn | 190 | 452 | 0 | 452 | 160 | 232 | 0 | 232 | 132 |
| 12. Diamond Rd (SR 49) / Pleasant Valley Rd (SR 49) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SB left turn | 340 | 409 | 10 | 419 | 196 | 507 | 17 | 524 | 216 |
| SB through+right | 340 | 242 | 4 | 246 | 152 | 171 | 9 | 180 | 102 |
| NB right turn | 100 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 68 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 94 |
| NB left+through | 600 | 132 | 3 | 135 | 147 | 142 | 4 | 146 | 174 |
| EB left turn | 200 | 130 | 1 | 131 | 141 | 200 | 2 | 202 | 207 |
| WB right turn | 170 | 500 | 10 | 510 | 246 | 428 | 12 | 440 | 217 |
| WB left turn | 100 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 88 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 103 |

Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage

## TABLE 13 (cont'd)

## 2035 PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \text { Plus } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Queue (feet) } \end{gathered}$ | VPH |  |  | 2035 PlusProject Queue(feet) |
|  |  | 2035 | Project Only | Total |  | 2035 | Project Only | Total |  |
| 17. Diamond Rd (SR 49) / Diamond Springs Pkwy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left | 350 | 634 (2) | 0 | 634 | 297 | 466 (2) | 0 | 466 | 233 |
| SB left | 100 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 34 | 0 | 13 | 13 | 55 |
| SB right | 464 | 202 | 0 | 202 | 115 | 412 | 0 | 412 | 214 |
| EB left | 995 | 209 | 0 | 209 | 201 | 303 | 54 | 357 | 241 |
| EB right | 995 | 729 | 0 | 729 | 398 | 770 | 0 | 770 | 336 |
| WB left | 200 | 0 | 14 | 14 | 48 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 72 |
| 18. Missouri Flat Rd / Diamond Springs Pkwy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left | 275 | 712 (2) | 0 | 712 | 271 | 615 (2) | 0 | 615 | 220 |
| EB through | 1,600 | 813 (2) | 37 | 850 | 329 | 1,090 (2) | 50 | 1,140 | 399 |
| EB right | 250 | 450 | 0 | 450 | 220 | 864 | 0 | 864 | 299 |
| WB left | 500 | 100 | 2 | 102 | 121 | 200 | 6 | 206 | 231 |
| 19. Diamond Springs Pkwy / Throwita Way |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB right | 200 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 46 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 43 |
| EB left | 200 | 65 | 0 | 65 | 114 | 93 | 0 | 93 | 137 |
| EB right | 200 | 113 | 0 | 113 | 118 | 193 | 0 | 193 | 144 |
| WB left | 200 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 57 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 47 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## FINDINGS / RECOMMENDATIONS / MITIGATIONS

The preceding analysis has identified project impacts that may occur without mitigation. The text that follows identifies a strategy for mitigating the impacts of the proposed project. Recommendations are identified for facilities that have deficiencies in the roadway network without the project. If the project causes a significant impact, mitigations are identified for the facility.

## Existing Conditions

All intersections operate within acceptable El Dorado County LOS thresholds. No recommendations are made.

## Existing plus Project Conditions - Mitigations

All intersections will operate within acceptable El Dorado County LOS thresholds. The following mitigations are made:

- The project shall contribute its fair share to the cost of regional circulation improvements via the existing countywide traffic impact mitigation (TIM) fee program.
- Sidewalk should be installed along the curb returns along the east side of Diamond Road as part of Piedmont Oaks development to provide contiguous access between the project site and the Diamond Dorado Center.

Diamond Road / Project Access intersection: A left turn lane with standard Caltrans transitions on each approach and departure should be constructed along Diamond Road for left turn access into the project site. The left turn lane should be constructed back to back with the left turn lane at Bradley Drive. The left turn lane for the project should be 100 ' with the left turn lane at Bradley Drive 120' long.

No additional mitigations are made at this time.

## 2019 Conditions - Recommendations

Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection: This intersection will operate with the eastbound driveway and westbound China Garden Road approach operating at LOS F in the AM peak hour. Although the County General Plan allows LOS F conditions along Missouri Flat Road between Mother Lode Drive and China Garden Road this does not apply to the intersections. The intersection meets the peak hour traffic signal warrant and signalization of this intersection will improve the operation in the a.m. peak hour to LOS B (18.4 seconds delay).

## 2019 plus Project Conditions - Mitigations

Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection: Under project conditions the intersection will continue to operate at LOS F conditions on the eastbound driveway and westbound approach. The project should pay their fair share of signalizing the intersection identified in the 2019 Conditions section. The fair share is project traffic divided by the difference in future and existing volumes. With Diamond Springs Parkway (DSP) being constructed in the future, traffic will shift to DSP, resulting in a net decrease in traffic by 2035 at the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection. The fair share methodology was determined using the total volumes at the Missouri Flat Road / DSP intersection as all traffic at this intersection would travel through the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road if DSP were not constructed. Using this method the project is responsible for $6.41 \%$ of the project cost. With signalization the intersection will operate at LOS B (18.7 seconds) in the a.m. peak hour and LOS C ( 30.2 seconds) in the PM peak hour.

Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection: This intersection will operate with the southbound Forni Road approach operating at LOS F in the AM peak hour. The volume portion of the peak hour signal warrant is met in both AM and PM peak hours. A traffic signal is not recommended at this time due to proximity of this intersection to the Pleasant Valley Road / SR49 South intersection. This intersection is under Caltrans jurisdiction. As noted in the Diamond Dorado Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by Farhad \& Associates in 2010 Caltrans indicated that a traffic signal should not be installed at this location until the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / SR-49 South intersection is realigned to constitute one intersection. Another possible solution may include a roundabout with the realignment of Pleasant Valley Road with SR 49 and Forni Road. No mitigation is recommended as part of this project.

Pleasant Valley Road / Racquet Way intersection: This intersection will operate with the southbound approach at LOS F in the AM peak hour. Installation of a traffic signal will improve the intersection operation to LOS C ( 31.4 seconds per vehicle). The project should pay their fair share of the improvement as the intersection will decline to LOS F in the 2035 No Project Condition. Using the Caltrans fair share methodology the project should pay $5.4 \%$ of the improvement.

No other mitigations are necessary.

## 2035 Conditions - Recommendations

Missouri Flat Road / US 50 Eastbound and Westbound Ramp intersections: The westbound US 50 ramp intersections will operate at LOS F conditions in 2035. A single point urban interchange (SPUI) should be considered that will combine the eastbound and westbound ramp intersections into a single intersection along Missouri Flat Road. The SPUI would consist of two through lanes and two left turn lanes at the intersection with two left lanes and two right turn lane along the eastbound and westbound off-ramps. Implementation of this new interchange will result in LOS D ( 37.5 seconds per vehicle) operation at the new intersection. The County is
currently undertaking the Missouri Flat Area Master Circulation and Funding Plan (MC\&FP) Phase II analysis which will provide a mechanism for the County to fund improvements to the U.S. Highway 50/Missouri Flat Road Interchange and adjacent arterials and collector roads.

Pleasant Valley Road/ SR 49 intersection: This intersection will operate at LOS F conditions in the AM peak hour ( 58.7 seconds per vehicle) and the PM peak hour ( 70.0 seconds per vehicle). As noted in the Diamond Dorado Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by Farhad \& Associates in 2010 Caltrans indicated that a traffic signal should not be installed at this location until the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / SR-49 South intersection is realigned to constitute one intersection. Another possible solution may include a roundabout with the realignment of Pleasant Valley Road with SR 49 and Forni Road.

Pleasant Valley Road/ Forni Road intersection: This intersection will operate with the southbound Forni Road approach operating at LOS F in the AM peak hour. The volume portion of the peak hour signal warrant is met in the AM and PM peak hour. A traffic signal is not recommended at this time due to proximity of this intersection to the Pleasant Valley Road / SR49 South intersection. This intersection is under Caltrans jurisdiction. As noted in the Diamond Dorado Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by Farhad \& Associates in 2010 Caltrans indicated that a traffic signal should not be installed at this location until the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / SR-49 South intersection is realigned to constitute one intersection. Another possible solution may include a roundabout with the realignment of Pleasant Valley Road with SR 49 and Forni Road.

Pleasant Valley Road/ Racquet Way intersection: The southbound approach of this intersection will operate at LOS F conditions in the AM peak hour ( 55.8 seconds per vehicle). The intersection meets the traffic volume section of the peak hour signal warrant in the AM peak hour and both delay and volume sections of the warrant in the PM peak hour. Signalization of this intersection will improve the operation to an LOS B condition (19.7 seconds per vehicle) in the AM peak hour.

## 2035 plus Project Conditions - Mitigations

Missouri Flat Road / US 50 Eastbound and Westbound Ramp intersections: The westbound US 50 ramp intersections will both operate at LOS F conditions in 2035. A single point urban interchange (SPUI) should be considered that will combine both ramp intersections into a single intersection along Missouri Flat Road. The SPUI would consist of two through lanes and two left turn lanes at the intersection with two left lanes and two right turn lane along the eastbound and westbound off-ramps. Implementation of this new interchange will result in LOS D (38.6 seconds per vehicle) operation at the new intersection.

The County is currently undertaking the Missouri Flat Area Master Circulation and Funding Plan (MC\&FP) Phase II analysis which will provide a mechanism for the County to fund improvements to the U.S. Highway 50/Missouri Flat Road Interchange and adjacent arterials and collector roads. Since there is no funding mechanism in place the project should pay their fair share of the improvements.

The project should pay their fair share of the improvement as the intersection will decline to LOS F in the 2035 No Project Condition. Using the Caltrans fair share methodology the project should pay $3.2 \%$ of the improvement.

Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection: Under project conditions the intersection will continue to operate at LOS F conditions on the eastbound driveway and westbound approach. The intersection was identified for signalization in the 2019 scenario. With signalization the intersection will operate at LOS A ( 9.7 seconds) in the PM peak hour.

Pleasant Valley Road/ SR 49 intersection: This intersection will operate at LOS F conditions in the AM peak hour ( 55.5 seconds per vehicle) and the PM peak hour ( 68.7 seconds per vehicle). As noted in the Diamond Dorado Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by Farhad \& Associates in 2010 Caltrans indicated that a traffic signal should not be installed at this location until the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / SR-49 South intersection is realigned to constitute one intersection. Another possible solution may include a roundabout with the realignment of Pleasant Valley Road with SR 49 and Forni Road. Since there is no defined project at this time there are no mitigations required for the project.

Pleasant Valley Road/ Forni Road intersection: This intersection will operate with the southbound Forni Road approach operating at LOS F in the AM peak hour. The volume portion of the peak hour signal warrant is met in both AM and PM peak hours. A traffic signal is not recommended at this time due to proximity of this intersection to the Pleasant Valley Road / SR49 South intersection. This intersection is under Caltrans jurisdiction. As noted in the Diamond Dorado Traffic Impact Analysis prepared by Farhad \& Associates in 2010 Caltrans has indicated that a traffic signal should not be installed at this location until the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Pleasant Valley Road / SR-49 South intersection is realigned to constitute one intersection. Another possible solution may include a roundabout with the realignment of Pleasant Valley Road with SR 49 and Forni Road. Since there is no defined project at this time there are no mitigations required for the project.
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## APPENDICES
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# APPENDIX <br> FAIR SHARE PERCENTAGES \& COSTS 

(Future + Project Volumes) - Future<br>(Future + Project) - Existing

US 50 Eastbound - Westbound Ramps / Missouri Flat Road (Single Point Interchange)

| AM | $\frac{4,201-4,170}{4,201-3,060}$ | $\frac{5,764-5,710}{5,764-4,222}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $2.7 \%$ | $=3.5 \%$ |

Average Fair Share Percentage: 3.1\%

Pleasant Valley Road / Racquet Way

| AM | $\frac{1,679-1,659}{1,679-1,243}$ | $\frac{2,013-1,984}{2,013-1,544}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $=4.6 \%$ | $=6.2 \%$ |

Average Fair Share Percentage: 5.4\%

Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road

| AM | $\frac{3,197-3,109}{3,197-1813}$ | PM |
| ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $=-6.36 \%$ | $\frac{3,967-3,430}{3,967-2,001}$ |  |
|  | $=-6.46 \%$ |  |

Average Fair Share Percentage: $\mathbf{6 . 4 1 \%}$
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# TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS FOR EL DORADO COUNTY SHERIFF HEADQUARTERS FACILITY Diamond Springs, El Dorado County 

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Project Description. This study evaluates the traffic impacts associated with the proposed Sheriff's Headquarters project in the Diamond Springs area of El Dorado County. The project consists of four buildings totaling 106,331 square feet, 200 secure parking spaces and 170 public parking spaces. The project is located on the south side of Industrial Avenue west of Missouri Flat Road in the Diamond Springs area of El Dorado County. The trip generation for this project was developed based on the facility usage statistics occurring at the existing sheriff facility. The usage data indicates that the a.m. peak hour occurs between 7 and 8 a.m. with 116 peak hour trips generated while the p.m. peak hour occurs between 5 and 6 p.m. with 117 peak hour trips generated.
- Existing Setting. This study addresses traffic conditions at twelve existing intersections, nine along Missouri Flat Road, two on Pleasant Valley Road and one along Forni Road. Level of Service calculations were completed using Synchro-SimTraffic software. Unsignalized intersections that have two-way-left-turn-lanes (TWLTL) were analyzed using Synchro 2010 LOS methodology. All study intersections except the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road and the Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive intersections currently operate with acceptable Levels of Service (i.e., LOS E or better) during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours.
- Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road: The eastbound driveway opposite China Garden Road and the China Garden Road approach operates at LOS F in the a.m. peak hour. The intersection meets the peak hour signal warrant. Installation of a traffic signal will improve the level of service at the intersection to LOS B with a delay of 14.7 seconds. Alternatively, restricting the turning movements on the eastbound and westbound approaches to right-turns only would result in acceptable operations in both peak hours. The improvements for this impacted intersection are included in the 10-20 year time frame of the County's CIP. The County's 20-year CIP includes approximately $\$ 89,300,000$ for traffic signal and intersection operational improvements. County Long Range Planning annually monitors intersections with the potential need for improvements, which would include this intersection. At such time that sufficient warrants are met, then the improvement project can be added to the CIP by name, and funding allocated.
- Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive: The eastbound approach of the intersection operates at LOS F, and the intersection meets the peak hour signal warrant. Signalization of the intersection will result in an LOS A condition in the a.m. peak hour ( 6.8 seconds) and LOS B condition in the p.m. peak hour ( 12.4 seconds). The improvements for this impacted intersection are included in the 10-20 year time frame of the County's CIP. The County's 20year CIP includes approximately $\$ 89,300,000$ for traffic signal and intersection operational improvements. County Long Range Planning annually monitors intersections with the
potential need for improvements, which would include this intersection. At such time that sufficient warrants are met, then the improvement project can be added to the CIP by name, and funding allocated.
- Existing Plus Project Impacts. The operation of the proposed project will increase the volume of traffic on the study area circulation system. All intersections except Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road and Missouri Flat Road at Enterprise Drive will operate within acceptable El Dorado County LOS thresholds. The following mitigations are noted:

Pay TIM Fees: The Sheriff Department shall contribute its fair share to the cost of regional circulation improvements via the existing countywide traffic impact mitigation (TIM) fee program.

- Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road: The eastbound driveway opposite China Garden Road and the China Garden Road approach will continue to operate at LOS F in the a.m. peak hour while the westbound China Garden Road approach will operate at LOS F in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Because the project adds more than 10 peak hour trips, this impact is significant. The intersection will meet the peak hour signal warrant. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS B -16.1 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B - 16.1 seconds).

A second option would be to limit China Garden Road and driveway traffic to right turns only. With this mitigation the intersection will operate with the worst movement (westbound) at LOS D ( 30.3 seconds) in the a.m. peak hour and LOS C ( 20.6 seconds) in the p.m. peak hour.

The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement, and no additional mitigation is required.

- Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive: The eastbound approach to the intersection will continue to operate at LOS F in both the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Because the project adds more than 10 peak hour trips, this impact is significant. The intersection will meet the peak hour signal warrant. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS A 8.5 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B - 18.4 seconds). The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.
- Year 2025 Background Conditions. Pursuant to El Dorado County traffic study guidelines, Year 2025 conditions were identified based on interpolation between current traffic volumes and Year 2035 traffic volume forecasts. Diamond Springs Parkway (DSP) is expected to be constructed by 2025. Since the existing roadway configuration does not include the DSP a model run was conducted for the baseline 2010 a.m. and p.m. model conditions assuming DSP was built. This provided 'existing' roadway volumes, thereby allowing the roadway volumes to be calculated under 2025 conditions with DSP completed. Four intersections will operate with LOS F conditions. These include Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road,

Missouri Flat Road at Enterprise Drive, Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49 and Pleasant Valley Road at Forni Road. The following recommendations are noted:

- Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road: This intersection will operate with the westbound China Garden Road approach operating at LOS F. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS B - 12.4 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B - 10.1 seconds). Alternatively, restricting the turning movements on the eastbound and westbound approaches to right-turns only would result in acceptable operations in both peak hours.
- Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive: This intersection will operate with the eastbound approach at LOS F in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS A -8.6 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B -14.3 seconds).
- Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49: The intersection will decline to LOS F conditions in the a.m. peak hour. Signalization of the intersection will result in a LOS B condition in the a.m. peak hour ( 19.2 seconds). The improvements for this impacted intersection are included in the $10-$ 20 year time frame of the County's CIP. The County's 20-year CIP includes approximately $\$ 89,300,000$ for traffic signal and intersection operational improvements. County Long Range Planning annually monitors intersections with the potential need for improvements, which would include this intersection. At such time that sufficient warrants are met, then the improvement project can be added to the CIP by name, and funding allocated.
- Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road: The southbound Forni Road approach will decline to LOS F in the a.m. peak hour. The County has identified improvements along Pleasant Valley Road between SR 49 and Missouri Flat Road (GP 176) that will include installation of a two-way-left-turn-lane. The project is programmed for construction between Fiscal Year 2025/26 and 2034/35. Installation of this improvement will allow the intersection to operate at LOS D (25.8 seconds).
- 2025 Plus Project Conditions. The trips generated by the proposed project were superimposed onto the Year 2025 background conditions, and resulting peak hour Levels of Service were calculated. Four intersections will operate with LOS F conditions. These include Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road, Missouri Flat Road at Enterprise Drive, Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49 and Pleasant Valley Road at Forni Road. In addition, the Missouri Flat Road / Industrial Drive intersection will meet the peak hour signal warrant. The following mitigations are identified:
- Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road: Under Plus Project conditions the intersection will operate at LOS F conditions on the westbound approach. The project adds more than 10 trips to the intersection, and this impact is significant. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable Levels of Service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS B - 14.9 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B - 11.6 seconds).

Under the right turn limitation on China Garden Road and driveway traffic the intersection will operate with the worst movement (westbound) at LOS C ( 16.5 seconds) in the a.m. peak hour and LOS C ( 20.2 seconds) in the p.m. peak hour. The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.

- Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive: Under project conditions the intersection will operate at LOS F conditions on the eastbound approach. The project adds more than 10 trips to the intersection, and this impact is significant. Installation of the traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS B - 10.9 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B -14.4 seconds). The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.
- Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49: Under project conditions the intersection will operate at LOS F. The project adds more than 10 trips to the intersection, and this impact is significant. Installation of the traffic signal identified in the Year 2025 Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS C - 20.2 seconds). The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.
- Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road: The southbound Forni Road approach will operate at LOS F in the a.m. peak hour. The project adds more than 10 trips to the intersection, and this impact is significant. Installation of a two-way-left-turn lane identified in the County's Capital Improvement Program will allow the intersection to operate at LOS D (26.5 seconds). The project is programmed for construction between Fiscal Year 2025/26 and 2034/35 and is therefore consistent with General Plan Policy TC-Xf. The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.
- Missouri Flat Road / Industrial Drive: Under project conditions the intersection will meet the peak hour signal warrant. The project should construct a traffic signal at this location to ensure public safety access is maintained. Installation of a new traffic signal would improve the operating conditions to LOS B (17.5 seconds) in the a.m. peak hour and LOS B (13.4 seconds) in the p.m. peak hour.

As noted in the intersection descriptions there are several driveways on Missouri Flat Road that could be affected by installing a new traffic signal. The driveways adjacent to the intersection (i.e. the south driveway on the east side of the intersection and the north driveway in the southwest quadrant of the intersection) may require closure or realignment to improve safety and minimize interference of the operation of the signal. Additional driveways could be impacted depending on the area of improvement. These issues will be evaluated when the traffic signal is designed.

No other mitigations are necessary.

- 2035 Conditions. Three intersections will operate with LOS F conditions. These include Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road, Missouri Flat Road at Enterprise Drive and Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49. The following recommendations are noted:
- Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road: This intersection will operate with the westbound China Garden Road approach operating at LOS F. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS B - 13.5 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B - 11.1 seconds). Alternatively, restricting the turning movements on the eastbound and westbound approaches to right-turns only would result in acceptable operations in both peak hours.
- Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive: This intersection will operate with the eastbound approach at LOS F in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS A - 8.3 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B - 13.4 seconds).
- Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49: The intersection will decline to LOS F conditions in the a.m. peak hour. Signalization of the intersection will result in an LOS C condition in the a.m. peak hour ( 29.9 seconds). The improvements for this impacted intersection are included in the $10-20$ year time frame of the County's CIP. The County's 20-year CIP includes approximately $\$ 89,300,000$ for traffic signal and intersection operational improvements. County Long Range Planning annually monitors intersections with the potential need for improvements, which would include this intersection. At such time that sufficient warrants are met, then the improvement project can be added to the CIP by name, and funding allocated.


## 2035 plus Project Conditions

- Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road: Under project conditions the intersection will operate at LOS F conditions along the westbound China Garden Road approach. Because the project adds more than 10 peak hour trips, this impact is significant. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS B - 12.9 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B 12.7 seconds).

Under the right turn limitation on China Garden Road and driveway traffic the intersection will operate with the worst movement (westbound) at LOS C ( 18.6 seconds) in the a.m. peak hour and LOS C ( 23.5 seconds) in the p.m. peak hour. The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.

- Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive: Under project conditions the intersection will operate at LOS F conditions on the eastbound Enterprise Drive approach. Because the project adds more than 10 peak hour trips, this impact is significant. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS A -9.5 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B 14.6 seconds). The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.
- Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49: The intersection will operate at LOS F conditions in the a.m. peak hour. The project adds more than 10 trips to the intersection, and this impact is significant. Signalization of the intersection will result in an LOS C condition in the a.m. peak hour ( 25.2 seconds). The County's 20-Year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) identifies about $\$ 89,300,000$ for traffic signal and intersection operational improvements. The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.

No other mitigations are necessary.

# TRAFFIC IMPACT ANALYSIS FOR EL DORADO COUNTY SHERIFF HEADQUARTERS FACILITY Diamond Springs, El Dorado County 

## INTRODUCTION

## Study Purpose and Objectives

This study evaluates the traffic impacts associated with the proposed Sheriff's Headquarters project in the Diamond Springs area of El Dorado County. The project consists of four buildings totaling 106,331 square feet, 200 secure parking spaces and 170 public parking spaces. The project is located on the south side of Industrial Avenue west of Missouri Flat Road in the Diamond Springs area of El Dorado County. Figure 1 illustrates the site relative to the greater Diamond Springs area.

The scope of this traffic analysis has been identified through consideration of El Dorado County traffic study guidelines in consultation with El Dorado County Department of Transportation (DOT). Based on direction from DOT this study addresses the following scenarios:

1. Existing (2014) Traffic Conditions
2. Existing (2014) Plus Project Conditions
3. 2025 Traffic Conditions
4. 2025 Plus Project Conditions
5. 2035 Traffic Conditions
6. 2035 Plus Project Conditions

The objective of this study is to identify those roads and street intersections that may be impacted by development of this project based on El Dorado County significance criteria.

## Project Description

The proposed project includes a new sheriff headquarters in the Diamond Springs area of El Dorado County. The project spans an 11 acre site and includes four buildings totaling 106,331 sure feet. The buildings include a 59,331 square feet administration facility, a 24,000 square foot training building, an 11,000 square foot service building and a 12,000 square foot morgue. The primary access and only public access will be from Industrial Avenue via Missouri Flat Road while a private access for official sheriff vehicles is also planned on the south side of the site. A gated access would allow sheriff's vehicles to access Missouri Flat Road via Enterprise Drive through Merchandise Way or Capitol Avenue. Figure 2 provides the proposed site plan along Industrial Avenue.



## SITE PLAN

## EXISTING SETTING

## Study Area

This study addresses traffic conditions at twelve (12) existing intersections, nine along Missouri Flat Road, one along Forni Road and two along Missouri Flat Road. The limits of the study area were based on discussion with El Dorado County staff. The text that follows describes the roadway facilities included in this analysis.

The quality of traffic flow is typically governed by the operation of major intersections and the daily volume of traffic along the roadways. The physical characteristics of the study intersections are described in the text which follows.

## Study Area Intersections

The Missouri Flat Road / Westbound US 50 ramps intersection is controlled by a coordinated traffic signal. The Missouri Flat Road approaches feature dual northbound left turn lanes and a separate southbound right turn lane. The four lane exit from US 50 is configured with a dual left turn lane and dual right turn lanes.

The Missouri Flat Road / Eastbound US 50 ramps intersection is controlled by a coordinated traffic signal. The Missouri Flat Road approaches feature dual southbound left turn lanes and a separate northbound right turn lane. The three lane exit from US 50 is configured with a separate left turn lane and right turn lanes, as well as a combined left, thru and right turn lane.

The Missouri Flat Road / Mother Lode Drive intersection is signalized and located roughly 250 feet from the Eastbound US 50 ramps intersection. The Missouri Flat Road approaches have separate left turn and right turn lanes. The eastbound Mother Lode Drive approach has three lanes configured as dual left turns and a separate right turn lane.

The Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road intersection is also signalized and located roughly $1 / 2$ mile south of the Mother Lode Drive intersection. The Missouri Flat Road approaches each include separate left turn and right turn lanes. The Forni Road approaches have separate left turn, through and right turn lanes, and a second left turn lane has been provided on the eastbound approach.

The Missouri Flat Road / Golden Center Drive intersection is located about 1,100 feet south of Forni Road. This signalized intersection includes separate left turn lanes on the Missouri Flat Road approaches and a separate right turn lane on the southbound approach. The Golden Center Drive approaches are single lanes which operate with permitted phasing.

The Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection is located about 2,100 feet south of Golden Center Drive. This unsignalized intersection includes single lanes along Missouri Flat Road with a separate left turn lane on the southbound approach. A TWLTL is present on the northbound approach of Missouri Flat Road and north of the southbound left turn lane. The China Garden Road approach consists of a single lane which is stop controlled.

The Missouri Flat Road / Industrial Drive intersection is located about 600 feet south of China Garden Road. This unsignalized intersection includes single lanes along Missouri Flat Road with a TWLTL present along Missouri Flat Road. The Industrial Drive approach consists of a single lane which is stop controlled. This intersection is the primary access intersection to the project site.

There are several driveways in the area of the intersection including two driveways on the east side of the intersection, the north driveway about $120^{\prime}$ from the intersection and the south driveway about $70^{\prime}$ from the intersection. Additionally, there are two driveways in the southwest quadrant of the intersection, with one driveway directly adjacent to Industrial Drive and a second about 300' to the south. The project traffic may impact these adjacent driveways.

The Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive intersection is located along a two lane section of Missouri Flat Road. A TWLTL is available on Missouri Flat Road. The eastbound Enterprise Drive approach is controlled by a stop sign.

The Missouri Flat Road / (SR 49) Pleasant Valley Road intersection is located at the southern end of Missouri Flat Road. This tee intersection is controlled by an actuated traffic signal. The Pleasant Valley Road approaches have single through lanes in each direction, with dual eastbound left turn lanes and a separate westbound right turn lane. The two lane southbound approach on Missouri Flat Road is configured as separate left turn and right turn lanes, and the right turn "overlaps" the eastbound left turn phase.

The Forni Road / Enterprise Drive intersection is located approximately midway between Missouri Flat Road and Pleasant Valley Road. Enterprise Drive provides the only direct connector along Forni Road to either Missouri Flat Road or Pleasant Valley Road. This intersection is stop controlled along Enterprise Drive and includes single lanes along all approaches.

The Pleasant Valley Road (SR 49) / SR 49 South intersection is located about two miles southwest of the project site. This tee intersection is all-way stop controlled. Eastbound Pleasant Valley Road and northbound SR 49 have single lane approaches while westbound Pleasant Valley Road includes a left turn lane and a through lane.

The Pleasant Valley Road (SR 49) / Forni Road intersection is located about 500' east of the SR-49 South intersection. This tee intersection is stop controlled along Forni Road which intersects Pleasant Valley Road at about a $30^{\circ}$ skew to the northeast. All roadway approaches are single lane.

## Analysis Criteria

Level of Service Methodology. Level of Service Analysis has been employed to provide a basis for describing existing traffic conditions and for evaluating the significance of project traffic impacts. Level of Service measures the quality of traffic flow and is represented by letter designations from "A" to " F ", with a grade of "A" referring to the best conditions, and "F"
representing the worst conditions. The guidelines and analyses used for this report follow El Dorado County standards.

Local agencies adopt minimum Level of Service standards for their facilities. El Dorado County identifies LOS E as the acceptable Level of Service on roadways and state highways within the unincorporated areas of the County in the Community Regions and LOS D in the Rural Centers and Rural Regions except as specified in the General Plan; the project is located within a Community Region. The County's General Plan allows some roadway segments to operate at LOS F. Two segments are along Missouri Flat Road, from US 50 to Mother Lode Drive and from Mother Lode Drive to China Garden Road while a third is along Pleasant Valley Road between El Dorado Road and SR 49.. The analysis techniques presented in the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual were used to calculate Level of Service and to provide a basis for describing existing traffic conditions and evaluating the significance of project traffic impacts.

Various software programs have been developed to assist in calculating intersection Level of Service, and the level of sophistication of each program responds to factors that affect the overall flow of traffic. In this case, Synchro-SimTraffic software was employed in order to account for the effects of closely spaced traffic signals along Missouri Flat Road. The files originally developed for the El Dorado County Transportation Commission's Diamond Springs and El Dorado Area Mobility and Livable Community Plan (DSEDAMLCP) were obtained and, in consultation with El Dorado County DOT and KAI, applicable adjustments were made to reflect current geometry and operational characteristics. The software is a stochastic model, i.e. randomness is present when running the simulations. The results will vary within each scenario and between scenarios. This may result in some intersections having lower delays in the Plus Project scenario than in the No Project scenario. The simulation results contained herein reflect the average of the mean 10 one-hour simulation runs selected from a 20 run sample. Each run employed a 10 minute seeding period.

SimTraffic is not able to currently analyze two-stage gap analysis with two-way-left-turn-lanes (TWLTL). According to Trafficware, the program architecture "needs considerable changes to the driver lane choice, gap acceptance methods." They are continuing to look into these elements while the FHWA continues to look into new algorithms through their Next Generation Simulation Program. Since TWLTL analysis is unavailable using SimTraffic, intersections with TWLTL's were evaluated using Synchro 2010 methodology which does analyze gap acceptance with two-way-left-turn-lanes.

The intersection Levels of Service presented in this analysis are based on the weighted average total delay per vehicle for the intersection as a whole at signalized intersections and at locations controlled by all-way stops. The average delay experienced by motorists yielding the right of way is the basis for identification of Level of Service at locations controlled by side street stop signs. Applicable Level of Service thresholds based on average delay are shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1
LEVEL OF SERVICE DEFINITIONS

| Level of <br> Service | Signalized Intersection | Unsignalized Intersection | Roadway (Daily) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| "A" | Uncongested operations, all queues <br> clear in a single-signal cycle. <br> Delay $\leq 10.0$ sec | Little or no delay. <br> Delay $\leq 10$ sec/veh | Completely free flow. |
| "B" | Uncongested operations, all queues <br> clear in a single cycle. <br> Delay > 10.0 sec and $\leq 20.0$ sec | Short traffic delays. <br> Delay $>10$ sec/veh and <br> $\leq 15$ sec/veh | Free flow, presence of <br> other vehicles noticeable. |
| "C" | Light congestion, occasional backups <br> on critical approaches. <br> Delay $>20.0$ sec and $\leq 35.0$ sec | Average traffic delays. <br> Delay > 15 sec/veh and <br> $\leq 25$ sec/veh | Ability to maneuver and <br> select operating speed <br> affected. |
| "D" | Significant congestion of critical <br> approaches but intersection <br> functional. Cars required to wait <br> through more than one cycle during <br> short peaks. No long queues formed. <br> Delay $>35.0$ sec and $\leq 55.0$ sec | Long traffic delays. <br> Delay $>25$ sec/veh and <br> $\leq 35$ sec/veh | Unstable flow, speeds and <br> ability to maneuver <br> restricted. |
| "E" | Severe congestion with some long <br> standing queues on critical <br> approaches. Blockage of intersection <br> may occur if traffic signal does not <br> provide for protected turning <br> movements. Traffic queue may block <br> nearby intersection(s) upstream of <br> critical approach(es). <br> Delay $>55.0$ sec and $\leq 80.0$ sec | Very long traffic delays, failure, <br> exteme congestion. <br> Delay $>35$ sec/veh and | At or near capacity, flow <br> quite unstable. |

Intersection Level of Service Thresholds of Significance. A traffic impact is considered to be significant under El Dorado County guidelines if the project causes an intersection to change from LOS E to LOS F. Worsening of conditions at facilities already operating at unacceptable levels of service is also considered a significant impact. The County's General Plan Policy TCXe defines worsen as any of the following conditions:
a. a $2 \%$ increase in traffic during the a.m. peak hour, p.m. peak hour or daily trips, or
b. the addition of 100 or more daily trips, or
c. the addition of 10 or more trips during the a.m. peak hour or the p.m. peak hour.

When a project identifies an impact on the County's roadway network for a scenario with or without the project, a separate analysis must be done to identify what improvements are needed for mitigation and when the improvements must be in place. The timing of the proposed mitigation must be in compliance with General Plan Policy TC-Xf:

At the time of approval of the tentative map for a single family residential subdivision of five or more parcels that worsens (defined as a project that triggers Policy TC-Xe [A] or [B] or [C]) traffic on the County road system, the County shall do one of the following: (1) condition the project to construct all road improvements necessary to maintain or attain Level of Service standards as detailed in this Transportation and Circulation Element based on existing traffic plus traffic generated from the development plus forecasted traffic growth at 10-years from project submittal; or (2) ensure the commencement of construction of the necessary road improvements are included in the County's 10-year CIP.

For all other discretionary projects that worsen (defined as a project that triggers Policy TC-Xe [A] or [B] or [C]) traffic on the County road system, the County shall do one of the following: (1) condition the project to construct all road improvements necessary to maintain or attain Level of Service standards as detailed in this Transportation and Circulation Element; or (2) ensure the construction of the necessary road improvements are included in the County's 20-year CIP.

Projects that have impacts to Caltrans facilities shall use Caltrans LOS standards and significance thresholds in conjunction with the requirements of El Dorado County General Plan Circulation Policy TC-Xd.

Intersection Queuing Analysis. The quality of traffic flow can also be affected by queuing at signalized intersections. For this study the lengths of peak period queues have been identified at key signalized intersections and compared to available storage in order to determine whether spillover from turn lanes can affect adjoining travel or extend through adjacent intersections. $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue lengths have been calculated as a byproduct of the SimTraffic output. Those locations where the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue exceeds the available storage have also been noted.

Traffic Signal Warrants. Traffic signal warrants are a series of standards which provide guidelines for determining if a traffic signal is appropriate. Signal warrant analyses are typically conducted at intersections of uncontrolled major streets and stop sign-controlled minor streets. If one or more signal warrants are met, signalization of the intersection may be appropriate. However, a signal should typically not be installed if none of the warrants are met, since the installation of signals would increase delays on the previously-uncontrolled major street, resulting in an undesirable increase in overall vehicle delay at the intersection. Signalization may also increase the occurrence of particular types of accidents. Therefore, if signals are installed where signal warrants are not met the detriment of increased accidents and overall delay may be greater than the benefit in traffic operating conditions on movements operating below the significance threshold. Signal warrants provide an industry-standard basis for identifying when the adverse effect on the worst movement is substantial enough to warrant signalization.

The extent to which existing or projected traffic volumes may justify signalization at unsignalized intersections has been determined based on consideration of traffic signal warrant presented in the Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices, 2012. For this analysis the volume thresholds associated with Warrant 3 (Peak Hour Volume) have been assessed. For this analysis the "rural" criteria have been employed along Missouri Flat Road based on speed limits in excess of 40 mph . The "rural" criteria was also used along Forni Road based on the road characteristics.

At unsignalized intersections, a traffic impact is considered "adverse" if the agency LOS standard is exceeded but the projected traffic does not satisfy traffic signal warrants. Under these conditions, the means to completely alleviate delays to stop controlled vehicles may be to install a traffic signal. However, the unmet signal warrants would imply that the reduction in delay for the stop-controlled vehicles may not justify the new delays that would be incurred by the major street traffic (which is currently not stopped). An alternative to a traffic signal could be installation of a roundabout.

## Public Transit

The El Dorado County Transit Authority (EDCTA) offers local fixed route, regional commuter route, dial-a-ride and para-transit services. One local fixed route passes the project site along Missouri Flat Road. This is the Diamond Springs (DS) route. The DS route is about $1 / 4$ mile from the project site. This route travels along Missouri Flat Road to Pleasant Valley Road and loops along Racquet Way before returning to Missouri Flat Road on its way to Folsom Lake College. The route operates from about 7:00 a.m. to about 6:00 p.m. Monday through Friday at one-hour headways. Transit passengers can also use other routes to travel to the Missouri Flat Road Transit Center where they can transfer to the DS route.

EDCTA also operates commuter routes to downtown Sacramento Monday through Friday. A park-n-ride lot is available along Commerce Way, between Enterprise Drive and Pleasant Valley Road. Four inbound routes to Sacramento are operated from the Commerce Way lot between 5:30 a.m. and 6:00 a.m. Ten return trips from Sacramento are available but are 'request only' stops.

The Western El Dorado County Short and Long Range Transit Plan has identified the following improvements for transit service in the Diamond Springs area. Short Range improvements include beginning the route schedule at 6:00 a.m., extending the existing weekday route schedule by one hour at the end of the day and instituting Saturday service between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Long Range improvements include revising the route as a result of the construction of Diamond Springs Parkway between Missouri Flat Road and Diamond Road.

## Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities

Designated Class II bicycle facilities (bike lanes) exist along Missouri Flat Road from Golden Center Drive to Plaza Drive. Paved shoulders are present along most of Missouri Flat Road between Golden Center Drive and Pleasant Valley Road; however, these shoulders are not designated bicycle lanes. Narrow paved shoulders are also present along Forni Road between Missouri Flat Road and Pleasant Valley Road; these shoulders are generally less than one foot wide and are not viable for bicyclists. Industrial Drive does not have marked bicycle facilities.

Future bicycle facilities include the extension of Class II bike lanes along Missouri Flat Road to Pleasant Valley Road, Class II bike lanes along Enterprise Drive and Commerce Way, Class II bike lanes along Forni Road, from Enterprise Drive to Missouri Flat Road and a Class I bike path along the Sacramento Placerville Transportation Corridor, a part of the El Dorado Trail.

Sidewalk is present along both sides of Missouri Flat Road south of Golden Center Drive. The sidewalk extends about $300^{\prime}$, south on the west side and about 550 ' south on the east side. The remaining roadways in the project vicinity do not have sidewalk and pedestrians have to walk along the shoulders of these facilities.

## Existing Traffic Operating Conditions

Traffic Volume Counts. This analysis makes use of additional traffic counts conducted in July 2014 and October 2014. The July counts were adjusted based on turning movement counts that were conducted at adjacent intersections while school was in session. The counts are included in the Appendix, and the intersection turning movements are presented in Figure 3.

Intersection Levels of Service. Table 2 summarizes current operating Levels of Service at the study area intersections for both time periods. All study intersections except the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road and Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive intersections currently operate with acceptable Levels of Service during the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. The side street approaches at the China Garden Road intersection operates at LOS F conditions in the a.m. peak hour; the eastbound approach at China Garden Road is a driveway with less than five vehicles entering Missouri Flat Road. The eastbound Enterprise Drive approach will operate at LOS F in both peak periods.

Traffic Signal Warrants. The peak hour traffic signal warrant is currently met at four intersections. These include China Garden Road at Missouri Flat Road, Enterprise Drive at Missouri Flat Road, Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49 and Forni Road at Pleasant Valley Road. The warrant is met in the p.m. period only at the Enterprise Drive at Missouri Flat Road intersection and is met during both peak periods at the remaining three. The Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49 and Forni Road / Pleasant Valley Road intersections operate within accepted County LOS thresholds while the China Garden Road / Missouri Flat Road intersection and the Enterprise Drive / Missouri Flat Road intersection will operate with at least one approach operating at LOS F.


TABLE 2
EXISTING PEAK HOUR LEVELS OF SERVICE AT INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Control | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | Traffic <br> Signal <br> Warranted? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay |  |
| 1. Missouri Flat Rd / WB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 18.4 | B | 17.6 | N/A |
| 2. Missouri Flat Rd / EB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 16.2 | C | 21.5 | N/A |
| 3. Missouri Flat Rd/ Mother Lode Dr | Signal | A | 8.5 | A | 8.6 | N/A |
| 4. Missouri Flat Rd / Forni Rd | Signal | C | 21.5 | C | 22.4 | N/A |
| 5. Missouri Flat Rd / Golden Center Dr | Signal | B | 14.8 | C | 21.0 | N/A |
| 6. Missouri Flat Rd / Diamond Springs Pkwy | Signal | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
|  | EB/WB <br> Stop | ( $\Delta$ ) <br> (B) <br> (F) <br> (F) | $\begin{gathered} (\Delta) \\ (11.2) \\ (185.9) \\ (55.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) <br> (C) <br> (E) | $\begin{gathered} (10.6) \\ (9.8) \\ (18.6) \\ (43.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes* |
| ```8. Missouri Flat Rd / Industrial Dr NB Left EB``` | EB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (A) } \\ & \text { (C) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (8.9) \\ (17.8) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (B) } \\ & \text { (C) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (10.9) \\ (24.5) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | No |
| 9. Missouri Flat Rd / Enterprise Dr NB Left SB Left EB WB | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EB/WB } \\ & \text { Stop } \end{aligned}$ | (A) <br> (B) <br> (F) <br> (C) | $\begin{gathered} (8.7) \\ (10.2) \\ (99.1) \\ (23.7) \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) <br> (F) <br> (E) | $\begin{gathered} (10.5) \\ (8.7) \\ (250.8) \\ (40.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes $\dagger$ |
| 10. Missouri Flat Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd | Signal | B | 18.7 | B | 20.0 | N/A |
| 11. Forni Rd / Enterprise Dr <br> SB Left <br> WB | WB Stop | $\begin{gathered} \text { (A) } \\ \text { (B) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (7.9) \\ (11.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (A) } \\ & \text { (B) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (7.7) \\ (11.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No |
| 12.Pleasant Valley Rd / SR 49 | AWS | E | 41.7 | C | 20.8 | Yes* |
| 13. Pleasant Valley Rd / Forni Rd SB <br> EB Left | SB Stop | (E) <br> (A) | $\begin{gathered} (39.3) \\ (9.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (B) } \\ & \text { (A) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (14.9) \\ (8.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes* |
| $\Delta$ no volume <br> * meets peak hour warrant in AM and PM peak hour <br> $\dagger$ meets peak hour warrant in PM peak hour <br> (xx) - delay and level of service for side street traffic using Synchro 2010 including TWLTL analysis <br> N/A - not applicable <br> AWS - all way stop |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection Queues. Table 3 presents information regarding current peak period queuing in lanes at signalized study intersections. In each case, the available storage has been presented along with current peak hour traffic volumes and the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue length. On multiple lane approaches the longest queue amongst a group of common lanes has been noted.

Most intersections have lane storage capacity that can accommodate peak period queues. Those $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues with length exceeding the available storage have been highlighted. The $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue exceeds available storage in eight locations.

TABLE 3
EXISTING PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH | Queue (feet) | VPH | Queue (feet) |
| 1. Missouri Flat Road / WB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 160 (2) | 390 | 167 | 365 | 163 |
| NB through | 360 (2) | 600 | 274 | 732 | 215 |
| SB through | 520 (2) | 446 | 158 | 822 | 250 |
| WB left turn | 410 (2) | 541 | 214 | 596 | 227 |
| WB right turn | 410 (2) | 333 | 139 | 349 | 149 |
| 2. Missouri Flat Road / EB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB through | 160 (2) | 900 | 200 | 879 | 181 |
| NB right turn | 140 | 81 | 70 | 72 | 78 |
| SB left | 160 (2) | 134 | 196 | 323 | 215 |
| SB through | 380 (2) | 853 | 351 | 1,095 | 430 |
| EB left+through+right turn | 540 (3) | 417 | 150 | 779 | 215 |
| 3. Missouri Flat Road / Mother Lode Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 150 | 23 | 55 | 51 | 79 |
| NB through | 2,300 (2) | 846 | 182 | 827 | 164 |
| SB through | 140 (2) | 1,100 | 102 | 1,530 | 169 |
| SB right turn | 130 | 80 | <25 | 126 | 59 |
| 4. Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 250 | 37 | 48 | 57 | 94 |
| NB through | 1,000 (2) | 855 | 242 | 800 | 275 |
| NB right turn | 160 | 60 | 101 | 21 | 83 |
| SB left turn | 300 | 280 | 275 | 165 | 194 |
| SB through | 2,300 (2) | 642 | 207 | 1,019 | 259 |
| SB right turn | 150 | 207 | 108 | 348 | 180 |
| 5. Missouri Flat Road / Golden Center Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 120 | 38 | 75 | 75 | 111 |
| SB left turn | 160 | 81 | 124 | 67 | 154 |
| 10. Missouri Flat Road / Pleasant Valley Road |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB Left* | 130 (2) | 304 | 165 | 232 | 138 |
| WB Right | 200 | 533 | 243 | 327 | 156 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage * - longest lane for multiple turn lane approaches |  |  |  |  |  |

## PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS

The development of this project will attract traffic to the project site. The amount of additional traffic on a particular section of the street network is dependent upon two factors:

- Trip Generation, the number of new trips generated by the project, and
- Trip Distribution and Assignment, the specific routes that the new traffic takes.


## Trip Generation

Trip generation is determined by identifying the type and size of land use being developed. Recognized sources of trip generation data may then be used to calculate the total number of trip ends resulting from the day to day operation of the businesses in the project.

The trip generation for this project was developed based on the existing usage statistics occurring at the existing sheriff facility. Sheriff's department staff provided data for the various employees including time and days of shifts for each work group (i.e. patrol deputies, school resource officers, records, dispatch, etc.) as well as visitors to the department. The data indicates that the a.m. peak hour occurs between 7 and 8 a.m. and the p.m. peak hour occurs between 5 and 6 p.m. The entire projected mid-week trip generation for the site is shown in Table 4. The project is expected to generate 494 daily trips, 116 a.m. peak hour trips and 117 p.m. peak hour trips.

The site will also house a solar facility. Trips projected for the solar array will be limited to maintenance and operation of the site. KD Anderson \& Associates, Inc. conducted a study for the Castor Solar Project in Taft, California in March 2014 for a 1.5 megawatt solar facility on 12 acres. The trip generation for this project included maintenance on the site two to four times annually, occurring for three to five days with up to three employees cleaning the solar panels. Based on this data, trip generation for the solar facility will not occur daily and is projected to be nominal.

TABLE 4
PROJECTED TRIP GENERATION - EL DORADO COUNTY SHERIFF FACILITY


## Trip Distribution \& Assignment

The trip distribution was split into sheriff patrol and sheriff office staff. The distribution of project traffic was developed generally based on the patrol areas including school locations. A select link analysis was completed using the County's Travel Demand Model (TDM) to determine the trip distribution for office staff; patrol vehicles will circulate throughout the west slope of El Dorado County. An adjustment was also made for traffic along Missouri Flat Road as the TDM appears to direct vehicles to Diamond Road instead of Missouri Flat Road to head toward Placerville. After discussion with County staff a $10 \%$ shift in traffic from Diamond Road to Missouri Flat Road was made in the select link distribution. Table 5 presents the projected trip distribution percentages for the project. Figure 4 presents the trip distribution percentages generated by the project while Figure 5 presents the project trips generated.

TABLE 5
PROJECT TRIP DISTRIBUTION EXISTING CONDITIONS

| Direction | Route | Distribution |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sheriff Patrol | Office Staff |
| North | Via Missouri Flat Road | 25\% | 9\% |
| North | Internal Diamond Springs traffic via Missouri Flat Road | 0\% | 13\% |
| South | To SR49 | 10\% | 4\% |
| South | Internal Diamond Springs traffic via Missouri Flat Road and Pleasant Valley Road | 0\% | 8\% |
| East | To US 50 via Missouri Flat Road | 20\% | 16\% |
| East | Via Pleasant Valley Road | 15\% | 26\% |
| West | Via US 50 via Missouri Flat Road | 20\% | 20\% |
| West | Via Pleasant Valley Road | 10\% | 4\% |
|  | Total | 100\% | 100\% |




## EXISTING PROJECT ONLY VOLUMES AND LANE CONFIGURATIONS

KD Anderson \& Associates, Inc. Transportation Engineers

## PROJECT TRAFFIC IMPACTS

## Existing Plus Project Conditions

Traffic Volumes The impacts of developing the project uses on the project site have been identified by superimposing project traffic onto existing background conditions. Figure 6 displays the "Existing Plus Project" traffic volumes at each study intersection in both a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

Intersection Levels of Service. Table 6 displays the peak hour Levels of Service at each study intersection comparing existing Levels of Service with those accompanying the project.

All intersections except the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road and Missouri Flat / Enterprise Drive intersections will continue to operate at or above the minimum El Dorado County standard (i.e., LOS E or better). The Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive intersection will continue to operate with the eastbound Enterprise Drive approach at LOS F in both a.m. and p.m. peak hours. The westbound China Garden Road approach and eastbound driveway will continue to operate at LOS F in the a.m. peak hour at the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection. The westbound approach will also decline to LOS F in the p.m. peak hour.

Because existing conditions already exceed the LOS E minimum standard the significance of the projects impact is based on the increase in traffic volume per General Plan Policy TC-Xe. At the Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive intersection the project adds 44 peak hour trips. This exceeds the 10 trip increment permitted under the GP, and the project's impact is significant. At the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection the project adds 70 peak hour trips. This also exceeds the 10 trip increment and the project's impact is significant.

Traffic Signal Warrants. The peak hour traffic signal warrant will be met at five intersections, including the China Garden Road / Missouri Flat Road intersection, Enterprise Drive / Missouri Flat Road intersection, Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49 intersection and the Forni Road / Pleasant Valley Road intersection that are met under the Existing conditions. With the project the Missouri Flat Road / Industrial Drive intersection will also meet the peak hour signal warrant in the p.m. peak hour. Satisfaction of traffic signal warrants is not a significant criteria under County traffic study guidelines.

The Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49 and Forni Road / Pleasant Valley Road intersections will continue to operate within accepted County LOS thresholds as will the Missouri Flat Road / Industrial Drive intersection while the China Garden Road / Missouri Flat Road intersection and the Enterprise Drive / Missouri Flat Road intersection will continue to operate with at least one approach at LOS F.

Intersection Queues. Table 7 identifies peak period queues assuming the addition of project trips. Project trips and the SimTraffic software may change the length of some queues. Those $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues with length exceeding the available storage have been highlighted. Under Existing Plus Project conditions queues will exceed the available storage at the same intersections that were noted for existing conditions.


## EXISTING PLUS PROJECT

KD Anderson \& Associates, Inc. TRAFFIC VOLUMES AND LANE CONFIGURATIONS

TABLE 6
PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE - EXISTING PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

| Location | Control | Existing |  |  |  | Existing Plus Project |  |  |  | TrafficSignalWarranted? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM |  | PM |  | AM |  | PM |  |  |
|  |  | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay |  |
| 1. Missouri Flat Rd / WB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 18.4 | B | 17.6 | B | 18.3 | B | 18.1 | N/A |
| 2. Missouri Flat Rd / EB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 16.2 | C | 21.5 | B | 16.8 | C | 21.6 | N/A |
| 3. Missouri Flat Rd / Mother Lode Dr | Signal | A | 8.5 | A | 8.6 | A | 8.6 | A | 8.7 | N/A |
| 4. Missouri Flat Rd / Forni Rd | Signal | C | 21.5 | C | 22.4 | C | 21.5 | C | 23.0 | N/A |
| 5. Missouri Flat Rd / Golden Center Dr | Signal | B | 14.8 | C | 21.0 | B | 15.0 | C | 21.5 | N/A |
| 6. Missouri Flat Rd / Diamond Springs Pkwy | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 7. Missouri Flat Rd / China Garden Rd NB Left <br> SB Left <br> EB <br> WB | EB/WB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & (\Delta) \\ & \text { (B) } \\ & \text { (F) } \\ & (\mathrm{F}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (\Delta) \\ (11.2) \\ (185.9) \\ (55.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) <br> (C) <br> (E) | $\begin{gathered} (10.6) \\ (9.8) \\ (18.6) \\ (43.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | ( $\Delta$ ) <br> (B) <br> (F) <br> (F) | $\begin{gathered} (\Delta) \\ (11.3) \\ (217.9) \\ (62.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (B) <br> (C) (F) | $\begin{aligned} & (10.6) \\ & (10.1) \\ & (18.9) \\ & (56.6) \end{aligned}$ | Yes* |
| 8. Missouri Flat Rd / Industrial Dr NB Left EB | EB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (A) } \\ & \text { (C) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (8.9) \\ (17.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (C) | $\begin{aligned} & (10.9) \\ & (24.5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (A) } \\ & \text { (C) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (9.3) \\ (21.7) \end{gathered}$ | (B) (E) | $\begin{array}{r} (11.0) \\ (47.4) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Yes $\ddagger$ |
| 9. Missouri Flat Rd / Enterprise Dr <br> NB Left <br> SB Left <br> EB <br> WB | EB/WB Stop | (A) <br> (B) <br> (F) <br> (C) | $\begin{gathered} (8.7) \\ (10.2) \\ (99.1) \\ (23.7) \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) <br> (F) <br> (E) | $\begin{gathered} (10.5) \\ (8.7) \\ (250.8) \\ (40.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (A) <br> (B) <br> (F) <br> (D) | $\begin{gathered} (8.8) \\ (10.4) \\ (124.6) \\ (25.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) <br> (F) <br> (E) | $\begin{gathered} (10.8) \\ (8.7) \\ (293.3) \\ (43.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes $\dagger$ |
| 10. Missouri Flat Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd | Signal | B | 18.7 | B | 20.0 | B | 19.0 | C | 20.2 | N/A |
| 11. Forni Rd / Enterprise Dr SB Left WB | WB Stop | (A) <br> (B) | $\begin{gathered} (7.9) \\ (11.2) \end{gathered}$ | (A) (B) | $\begin{gathered} (7.7) \\ (11.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (A) } \\ & \text { (B) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (7.9) \\ (11.4) \end{gathered}$ | (A) <br> (B) | $\begin{gathered} (7.7) \\ (11.4) \end{gathered}$ | No |
| 12.Pleasant Valley Rd / SR 49 | AWS | E | 41.7 | C | 20.8 | E | 41.4 | C | 21.2 | Yes* |
| 13. Pleasant Valley Rd / Forni Rd SB <br> EB Left | SB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (E) } \\ & \text { (A) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (39.3) \\ (9.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) | $\begin{gathered} (14.9) \\ (8.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (E) } \\ & \text { (A) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (41.6) \\ (9.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (C) (A) | $\begin{gathered} (15.1) \\ (8.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes* |
| $\Delta$ no volume N/A - not applicable AWS - all way stop$*$ meets peak hour warrant in AM and PM peak hour without and with project$\dagger$ meets peak hour warrant in PM peak hour without and with project$\ddagger$ meets peak hour warrant in PM peak hour with project$(\mathrm{xx})$ - delay and level of service for side street traffic using Synchro 2010 including TWLTL analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 7
EXISTING PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH |  |  | Ex PlusProjectQueue (feet) | VPH |  |  | Ex PlusProjectQueue (feet) |
|  |  | Existing | Project Only | Total |  | Existing | Project Only | Total |  |
| 1. Missouri Flat Road / WB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 160 (2) | 390 | 3 | 393 | 169 | 365 | 19 | 384 | 164 |
| NB through | 360 (2) | 600 | 4 | 604 | 270 | 732 | 9 | 741 | 234 |
| SB through | 520 (2) | 446 | 11 | 457 | 163 | 822 | 6 | 828 | 252 |
| WB left turn | 410 (2) | 541 | 17 | 558 | 228 | 596 | 5 | 601 | 229 |
| WB right turn | 410 (2) | 333 | 0 | 333 | 131 | 349 | 0 | 349 | 153 |
| 2. Missouri Flat Road / EB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB through | 160 (2) | 900 | 7 | 907 | 201 | 879 | 28 | 907 | 186 |
| NB right turn | 140 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 76 | 72 | 0 | 72 | 86 |
| SB left | 160 (2) | 134 | 0 | 134 | 191 | 323 | 0 | 323 | 216 |
| SB through | 380 (2) | 853 | 28 | 881 | 384 | 1,095 | 11 | 1,106 | 432 |
| EB left+through+right turn | 540 (3) | 417 | 21 | 438 | 147 | 779 | 5 | 784 | 222 |
| 3. Missouri Flat Road / Mother Lode Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 150 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 61 | 51 | 1 | 52 | 70 |
| NB through | 2,300 (2) | 846 | 7 | 853 | 177 | 827 | 28 | 855 | 149 |
| SB through | 140 (2) | 1,100 | 48 | 1,148 | 107 | 1,530 | 16 | 1,546 | 169 |
| SB right turn | 130 | 80 | 0 | 80 | <25 | 126 | 0 | 126 | 81 |

TABLE 7 (cont'd)
EXISTING PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH |  |  | Ex Plus <br> Project <br> Queue (feet) | VPH |  |  | Ex Plus <br> Project Queue (feet) |
|  |  | Existing | Project Only | Total |  | Existing | Project Only | Total |  |
| 4. Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 250 | 37 | 0 | 37 | 51 | 57 | 4 | 61 | 115 |
| NB through | 1,000 (2) | 855 | 10 | 865 | 260 | 800 | 44 | 844 | 277 |
| NB right turn | 160 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 104 | 21 | 1 | 22 | 84 |
| SB left turn | 300 | 280 | 0 | 280 | 287 | 165 | 0 | 165 | 207 |
| SB through | 2,300 (2) | 642 | 49 | 691 | 225 | 1,019 | 16 | 1,035 | 281 |
| SB right turn | 150 | 207 | 0 | 207 | 120 | 348 | 0 | 348 | 187 |
| 5. Missouri Flat Road / Golden Center Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 120 | 38 | 0 | 38 | 73 | 75 | 0 | 75 | 116 |
| SB left turn | 160 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 118 | 67 | 0 | 67 | 139 |
| 10. Missouri Flat Road / Pleasant Valley Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB Left* | 130 (2) | 304 | 11 | 315 | 173 | 232 | 0 | 232 | 143 |
| WB Right | 200 | 533 | 25 | 558 | 245 | 327 | 3 | 330 | 153 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage * - longest lane for multiple turn lane approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## NEAR TERM FUTURE CONDITIONS (2025)

The analysis of the near term future conditions (2025) is intended to consider the impact of this project within the context of the roadway facilities occurring in ten years.

## Analysis Methodology

El Dorado County traffic study guidelines identify that near term future conditions are calculated using straight line interpolation between existing traffic conditions and 2035 traffic projections. The traffic network for 2025 includes all applicable projects in the County's Ten Year CIP.

## Year 2025 Forecasts / Conditions

Year 2025 Lane Configurations. The near term cumulative analysis assumes regional circulation system improvements that will be completed by 2025 are identified in the County's Capital Improvement Program (CIP). One roadway project is identified below:

- Construction of the Diamond Springs Parkway (DSP), a four-lane arterial roadway from east of Golden Center Drive to a new T-intersection with SR 49 south of Bradley Drive. The project includes a new signalized intersection with Missouri Flat Road and Diamond Road (SR 49).

Basis for Analysis - Regional Traffic Growth. The most recent countywide regional travel demand forecasting model was used as the basis for developing future volumes forecasts in the study area.

Since the existing roadway configuration does not include the DSP a model run was conducted for the baseline 2010 a.m. and p.m. model conditions assuming DSP was built. This provided 'existing' roadway volumes, thereby allowing the roadway volumes to be calculated under 2025 conditions with DSP completed. An incremental approach was taken whereby the difference between baseline and future 2035 model forecasts were applied to current volumes to create adjusted future volume and approach growth factors. These growth factors were applied to each intersection approach and the turning movement volumes at the study intersections were balanced using the 'Furness' techniques described in NCHRP Report 255.

The incorporation of DSP will change area traffic patterns, and individual turning movements at intersections may increase or decrease when compared to existing traffic volumes. Figure 7 presents the projected 2025 traffic volumes.

Intersection Levels of Service. The identified Year 2025 volumes were used to recalculate operating Levels of Service at the selected intersections. Table 8 displays the a.m. and p.m. peak hour Levels of Service at each study intersection in the 2025 condition. Three unsignalized intersections, Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road, Missouri Flat Road at Enterprise Drive and Pleasant Valley Road at Forni Road will operate at a LOS F condition along the side street approaches while the all-way stop controlled Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49 intersection will operate at LOS F.

Traffic Signal Warrants. The peak hour traffic signal warrant will be met at four intersections, China Garden Road at Missouri Flat Road, Enterprise Drive at Missouri Flat Road, Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49 and Forni Road at Pleasant Valley Road intersection.

All four intersections will operate with at least one approach at LOS F.
Intersection Queues. Table 9 identifies peak period queues under 2025 conditions. $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues with length exceeding the available storage have been highlighted. Under 2025 conditions eight locations will exceed the available storage.


TABLE 8
PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE - 2025 PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

| Location | Control | 2025 |  |  |  | 2025 Plus Project |  |  |  | TrafficSignalWarranted? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay |  |
| 1. Missouri Flat Rd / WB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 16.6 | B | 16.6 | B | 16.7 | B | 17.7 | N/A |
| 2. Missouri Flat Rd / EB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 14.3 | C | 26.0 | B | 15.0 | C | 26.2 | N/A |
| 3. Missouri Flat Rd / Mother Lode Dr | Signal | B | 11.0 | B | 12.4 | B | 11.1 | B | 12.3 | N/A |
| 4. Missouri Flat Rd / Forni Rd | Signal | C | 26.4 | D | 40.7 | C | 28.9 | D | 35.9 | N/A |
| 5. Missouri Flat Rd / Golden Center Dr | Signal | C | 21.8 | C | 27.3 | C | 21.4 | C | 30.4 | N/A |
| 6. Missouri Flat Rd / Diamond Springs Pkwy | Signal | B | 10.6 | B | 12.2 | B | 11.3 | B | 12.6 | N/A |
| 7. Missouri Flat Rd / China Garden Rd <br> NB Left <br> SB Left <br> EB <br> WB | EB/WB Stop | (A) <br> (B) <br> (D) <br> (F) | $\begin{gathered} (8.8) \\ (10.5) \\ (33.0) \\ (83.6) \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) <br> (E) <br> (F) | $\begin{gathered} (10.1) \\ (9.0) \\ (38.8) \\ (73.3) \end{gathered}$ | (A) <br> (B) <br> (E) <br> (F) | $\begin{gathered} (9.0) \\ (10.5) \\ (37.6) \\ (105.3) \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) <br> (E) <br> (F) | $\begin{gathered} (10.2) \\ (9.3) \\ (44.7) \\ (107.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes* |
| 8. Missouri Flat Rd / Industrial Dr NB Left EB | EB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (A) } \\ & \text { (C) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (8.9) \\ (18.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (B) } \\ & \text { (C) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (10.3) \\ & (23.3) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | (A) (C) | $\begin{gathered} (9.4) \\ (21.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (B) } \\ & \text { (E) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (10.4) \\ & (40.4) \end{aligned}$ | Yes* |
| 9. Missouri Flat Rd / Enterprise Dr <br> NB Left <br> SB Left <br> EB <br> WB | EB/WB Stop | (A) <br> (A) <br> (F) <br> (C) | $\begin{gathered} (8.8) \\ (9.7) \\ (64.2) \\ (15.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) <br> (F) <br> (B) | $\begin{gathered} (10.3) \\ (8.5) \\ (>300) \\ (11.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (A) <br> (A) <br> (F) <br> (C) | $\begin{gathered} (8.9) \\ (9.8) \\ (72.1) \\ (15.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) <br> (F) <br> (B) | $\begin{gathered} (10.4) \\ (8.5) \\ (>300) \\ (11.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes $\dagger$ |
| 10. Missouri Flat Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd | Signal | C | 22.8 | C | 30.3 | C | 25.2 | C | 33.4 | N/A |
| 11. Forni Rd / Enterprise Dr <br> SB Left <br> WB | WB Stop | (A) <br> (B) | $\begin{gathered} (8.0) \\ (11.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (A) (B) | $\begin{gathered} (7.7) \\ (11.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (A) (B) | $\begin{gathered} (8.0) \\ (11.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (A) (B) | $\begin{gathered} (7.7) \\ (11.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No |
| 12. Pleasant Valley Rd / SR 49 | AWS | F | 50.4 | E | 39.2 | F | 51.5 | E | 39.4 | Yes* |
| 13. Pleasant Valley Rd / Forni Rd SB <br> EB Left | SB Stop | (F) <br> (A) | $\begin{gathered} (67.3) \\ (9.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (D) } \\ & \text { (A) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (25.7) \\ (9.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (F) } \\ & \text { (A) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (73.5) \\ (9.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (D) } \\ & \text { (A) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (26.7) \\ (9.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes* |
| $\Delta$ no volume N/A - not applicable AWS - all way stop <br> * meets peak hour warrant in AM and PM peak hour without and with project <br> $\dagger$ meets peak hour warrant in PM peak hour without and with project $\ddagger$ meets peak hour warrant in PM peak hour with project <br> (xx) - delay and level of service for side street traffic using Synchro 2010 including TWLTL analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 9
PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS - 2025 CONDITIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH | Queue (feet) | VPH | Queue (feet) |
| 1. Missouri Flat Road / WB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 160 (2) | 458 | 168 | 340 | 153 |
| NB through | 360 (2) | 713 | 353 | 866 | 133 |
| SB through | 520 (2) | 481 | 146 | 864 | 255 |
| WB left turn | 410 (2) | 546 | 199 | 653 | 228 |
| WB right turn | 410 (2) | 329 | 135 | 370 | 171 |
| 2. Missouri Flat Road / EB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB through | 160 (2) | 1,005 | 202 | 972 | 190 |
| NB right turn | 140 | 80 | 68 | 76 | 58 |
| SB left | 160 (2) | 167 | 101 | 324 | 185 |
| SB through | 380 (2) | 859 | 106 | 1,192 | 336 |
| EB left+through+right turn | 540 (3) | 581 | 173 | 852 | 307 |
| 3. Missouri Flat Road / Mother Lode Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 150 | 19 | 63 | 43 | 119 |
| NB through | 2,300 (2) | 998 | 278 | 974 | 304 |
| SB through | 140 (2) | 1,230 | 187 | 1,738 | 192 |
| SB right turn | 130 | 45 | 53 | 73 | 76 |
| 4. Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 250 | 49 | 135 | 76 | 185 |
| NB through | 1,000 (2) | 978 | 371 | 912 | 371 |
| NB right turn | 160 | 60 | 128 | 23 | 95 |
| SB left turn | 300 | 260 | 322 | 168 | 315 |
| SB through | 2,300 (2) | 751 | 351 | 1,184 | 531 |
| SB right turn | 150 | 251 | 168 | 406 | 233 |
| 5. Missouri Flat Road / Golden Center Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 120 | 39 | 102 | 77 | 162 |
| SB left turn | 160 | 86 | 158 | 66 | 159 |
| 6. Missouri Flat Road / Diamond Springs Parkway |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 275 (2) | 761 | 142 | 690 | 154 |
| EB right turn | 250 | 576 | 161 | 865 | 207 |
| WB left turn | 500 | 65 | 78 | 44 | 63 |
| 10. Missouri Flat Road / Pleasant Valley Road |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB Left* | 130 (2) | 341 | 198 | 240 | 208 |
| WB Right | 200 | 459 | 185 | 239 | 129 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage <br> * - longest lane for multiple turn lane approaches |  |  |  |  |  |

## 2025 Plus Project

Trip Distribution \& Assignment. With the construction of Diamond Springs Parkway a select link analysis showed a variation in trips to the east, with some trips using Diamond Springs Parkway instead of Pleasant Valley Road. Table 10 presents the projected trip distribution percentages for the project. Figure 8 presents the trip distribution percentages generated by the project while Figure 9 presents the project trips generated.

TABLE 10
PROJECT TRIP DISTRIBUTION

| Direction | Route | Distribution |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sheriff Patrol | Office Staff |
| North | Internal Diamond Springs traffic via Missouri Flat Road | $20 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| South | To SR49 | $10 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| South | Internal Diamond Springs traffic via Missouri Flat Road <br> and Pleasant Valley Road | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| East | To US 50 via Missouri Flat Road | $8 \%$ |  |
| East | Via Pleasant Valley Road | $20 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| East | Via Diamond Springs Parkway | $10 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| West | Via US 50 via Missouri Flat Road | $10 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| West | Via Pleasant Valley Road | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Total |  | $10 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

Intersection Levels of Service. The identified Year 2025 plus Project volumes were used to recalculate operating Levels of Service at selected intersections. Figure 10 displays the "2025 Plus Project" traffic volumes at each study intersection in both a.m. and p.m. peak hours while Table 8 displays the a.m. and p.m. peak hour Levels of Service at each study intersection in the 2025 plus Project condition. Four intersections will operate at LOS F conditions under the proposed project conditions. These include Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road, Missouri Flat Road at Enterprise Drive, Pleasant Valley Road at Forni Road and Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49.

The westbound approach of the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection will continue to operate at LOS F conditions in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. As noted under Existing Plus Project conditions the project's additional traffic will exceed the permissible increment and this impact is significant. The eastbound approach of the Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive intersection also will operate at LOS F in both peak periods, and project traffic at this location is more than 10 peak hour trips, thereby making the impact significant. The southbound approach of the Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection will continue to operate at LOS F in the a.m. peak hour. Because the project adds more than 10 peak hour trips, this impact is significant. Finally, the Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49 intersection will operate at LOS F in the a.m. peak hour. The project's additional traffic of more than 10 peak hour trips creates a significant impact at this intersection.



## 2025 / 2035 PROJECT VOLUMES AND LANE CONFIGURATIONS
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Traffic Signal Warrants. Under 2025 plus Project conditions the peak hour traffic signal warrant will be met at five intersections, including the China Garden Road / Missouri Flat Road intersection, Enterprise Drive at Missouri Flat Road, Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49 and the Forni Road / Pleasant Valley Road intersection. With the project the Missouri Flat Road / Industrial Drive intersection will also meet the peak hour signal warrant in the p.m. peak hour.

Intersection Queues. Table 11 identifies peak period queues assuming the addition of project trips. Project trips and the SimTraffic software may change the length of some queues. Those $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues with length exceeding the available storage have been highlighted. Under 2025 Plus Project conditions, nine locations will have queues that exceed the available storage.

TABLE 11
PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS 2025 PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} 2025 \text { Plus } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Queue (feet) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | VPH |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2025 \text { Plus } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Queue (feet) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 2025 | Project Only | Total |  | 2025 | Project Only | Total |  |
| 1. Missouri Flat Road / WB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 160 (2) | 458 | 3 | 461 | 170 | 340 | 19 | 359 | 155 |
| NB through | 360 (2) | 713 | 4 | 717 | 339 | 866 | 9 | 875 | 142 |
| SB through | 520 (2) | 481 | 11 | 492 | 151 | 864 | 6 | 870 | 261 |
| WB left turn | 410 (2) | 546 | 17 | 563 | 194 | 653 | 5 | 658 | 280 |
| WB right turn | 410 (2) | 329 | 0 | 329 | 133 | 370 | 0 | 370 | 174 |
| 2. Missouri Flat Road / EB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB through | 160 (2) | 1,005 | 7 | 1,012 | 193 | 972 | 28 | 1,000 | 191 |
| NB right turn | 140 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 68 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 61 |
| SB left | 160 (2) | 167 | 0 | 167 | 102 | 324 | 0 | 324 | 189 |
| SB through | 380 (2) | 859 | 28 | 887 | 131 | 1,192 | 11 | 1,203 | 356 |
| EB left+through+right turn | 540 (3) | 581 | 21 | 602 | 184 | 852 | 5 | 857 | 306 |
| 3. Missouri Flat Road / Mother Lode Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 150 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 72 | 43 | 1 | 44 | 113 |
| NB through | 2,300 (2) | 998 | 7 | 1,005 | 273 | 974 | 28 | 1,002 | 291 |
| SB through | 140 (2) | 1,230 | 48 | 1,278 | 189 | 1,738 | 16 | 1,754 | 190 |
| SB right turn | 130 | 45 | 0 | 45 | 55 | 73 | 0 | 73 | 76 |

Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage

## TABLE 11 (cont'd)

## 2025 PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2025 \text { Plus } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Queue (feet) } \end{array}$ | VPH |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2025 \text { Plus } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Queue (feet) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 2025 | Project Only | Total |  | 2025 | Project Only | Total |  |
| 4. Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 250 | 49 | 0 | 47 | 128 | 76 | 4 | 73 | 174 |
| NB through | 1,000 (2) | 978 | 10 | 989 | 379 | 912 | 44 | 1,070 | 365 |
| NB right turn | 160 | 60 | 0 | 69 | 149 | 23 | 1 | 30 | 100 |
| SB left turn | 300 | 260 | 0 | 280 | 354 | 168 | 0 | 166 | 297 |
| SB through | 2,300 (2) | 751 | 49 | 838 | 426 | 1,184 | 16 | 1,288 | 522 |
| SB right turn | 150 | 251 | 0 | 220 | 187 | 406 | 0 | 353 | 233 |
| 5. Missouri Flat Road / Golden Center Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 120 | 39 | 0 | 38 | 111 | 77 | 0 | 76 | 172 |
| SB left turn | 160 | 86 | 0 | 81 | 145 | 66 | 0 | 67 | 171 |
| 6. Missouri Flat Road / Diamond Springs Parkway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 275 (2) | 761 | 9 | 770 | 155 | 690 | 53 | 743 | 164 |
| EB right turn | 250 | 576 | 58 | 634 | 214 | 865 | 14 | 879 | 225 |
| WB left turn | 500 | 65 | 12 | 77 | 91 | 44 | 2 | 46 | 62 |
| 10. Missouri Flat Road / Pleasant Valley Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB Left* | 130 (2) | 341 | 11 | 352 | 203 | 240 | 0 | 240 | 206 |
| WB Right | 200 | 459 | 13 | 472 | 193 | 239 | 3 | 242 | 159 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage * - longest lane for multiple turn lane approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 2035 CUMULATIVE IMPACTS

The analysis of the long term cumulative impact analysis is intended to consider the impact of this project within the context of conditions occurring under the El Dorado County General Plan in the Year 2035.

Year 2035 Lane Configurations. The cumulative analysis assumes regional circulation system improvements will be completed between 2026 and 2035 and are identified in the County's Capital Improvement Program (CIP). The identified roadway projects include:

- State Route 49 Widening from Pleasant Valley Road to Missouri Flat Road; this includes widening of State Route 49 from Pleasant Valley Road to Missouri Flat Road to accommodate a two-way left-turn lane.

Year 2035 Forecasts / Conditions. As noted in the Year 2025 Forecasts / Conditions section turning movement volumes were projected for Year 2035 which reflect the effects of local and regional development as well the results of community wide circulation improvements. Figure 11 presents the projected Year 2035 traffic volumes.

Intersection Levels of Service. The identified Year 2035 volumes were used to recalculate operating Levels of Service at the selected intersections. Table 12 displays the a.m. and p.m. peak hour Levels of Service at each study intersection in the 2035 condition. Three unsignalized intersections, Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road, Missouri Flat Road at Enterprise Drive and Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49 will operate at a LOS F conditions. The westbound approach of the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection will operate at LOS F in both a.m. and p.m. peak hours while the eastbound approach of the Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive intersection will operate at LOS F in both peak periods. The Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49 intersection will operate at LOS F in the a.m. peak hour only.

Traffic Signal Warrants. The peak hour traffic signal warrant will be met at four intersections, China Garden Road at Missouri Flat Road, Enterprise Drive at Missouri Flat Road, Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49 and Forni Road at Pleasant Valley Road intersection.

The Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection will operate within accepted County LOS thresholds while the China Garden Road / Missouri Flat Road intersection, the Enterprise Drive / Missouri Flat Road intersection and the SR 49 / Pleasant Valley Road intersection will operate with at least one approach at LOS F.

Intersection Queues. Table 13 identifies peak period queues under 2035 conditions. $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues with length exceeding the available storage have been highlighted. Under 2035 conditions thirteen locations will exceed the available storage.


TABLE 12
PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE - 2035 PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS

| Location | Control | 2035 |  |  |  | 2035 Plus Project |  |  |  | Traffic Signal Warranted? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
|  |  | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay |  |
| 1. Missouri Flat Rd / WB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 18.6 | B | 18.8 | B | 18.3 | B | 18.4 | N/A |
| 2. Missouri Flat Rd / EB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 16.9 | C | 25.6 | B | 17.2 | C | 26.3 | N/A |
| 3. Missouri Flat Rd / Mother Lode Dr | Signal | B | 13.1 | B | 11.5 | B | 13.3 | B | 12.6 | N/A |
| 4. Missouri Flat Rd / Forni Rd | Signal | C | 30.5 | E | 61.1 | C | 31.5 | E | 62.4 | N/A |
| 5. Missouri Flat Rd / Golden Center Dr | Signal | C | 23.0 | C | 32.2 | C | 24.0 | D | 35.4 | N/A |
| 6. Missouri Flat Rd / Diamond Springs Pkwy | Signal | B | 13.4 | B | 14.7 | B | 14.2 | B | 15.3 | N/A |
| 7. Missouri Flat Rd / China Garden Rd <br> NB Left <br> SB Left <br> EB <br> WB | EB/WB Stop | (A) <br> (B) <br> (B) <br> (F) | $\begin{gathered} (9.0) \\ (11.2) \\ (13.2) \\ (188.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) <br> (C) <br> (F) | $\begin{gathered} (10.7) \\ (9.2) \\ (19.3) \\ (174.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (A) <br> (B) <br> (B) <br> (F) | $\begin{gathered} (9.3) \\ (11.3) \\ (14.1) \\ (265.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) <br> (C) <br> (F) | $\begin{gathered} (10.8) \\ (9.5) \\ (19.7) \\ (242.2) \end{gathered}$ | Yes* |
| 8. Missouri Flat Rd / Industrial Dr NB Left EB | EB Stop | (A) (C) | $\begin{gathered} (8.9) \\ (22.1) \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (C) | $\begin{aligned} & (10.6) \\ & (24.1) \end{aligned}$ | (A) <br> (D) | $\begin{gathered} (9.3) \\ (26.3) \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (E) | $\begin{aligned} & (10.7) \\ & (42.8) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Yes $\ddagger$ |
| 9. Missouri Flat Rd / Enterprise Dr NB Left SB Left EB WB | EB/WB Stop | (A) <br> (B) <br> (F) <br> (C) | $\begin{gathered} (8.7) \\ (10.4) \\ (121.6) \\ (17.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) <br> (F) <br> (B) | $\begin{gathered} (10.4) \\ (8.4) \\ (251.1) \\ (11.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (A) <br> (B) <br> (F) <br> (C) | $\begin{gathered} (8.7) \\ (10.5) \\ (141.1) \\ (18.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (B) <br> (A) <br> (F) <br> (B) | $\begin{gathered} (10.5) \\ (8.4) \\ (286.9) \\ (11.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes* |
| 10. Missouri Flat Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd | Signal | D | 45.7 | C | 20.8 | D | 48.3 | C | 21.0 | N/A |
| 11. Forni Rd / Enterprise Dr <br> SB Left <br> WB | WB Stop | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (A) } \\ & \text { (B) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (7.9) \\ (11.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (A) <br> (B) | $\begin{gathered} (7.7) \\ (11.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (A) } \\ & \text { (B) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (8.0) \\ (11.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (A) <br> (B) | $\begin{gathered} (7.7) \\ (12.0) \end{gathered}$ | No |
| 12. Pleasant Valley Rd / SR 49 | AWS | F | 61.5 | E | 44.6 | F | 61.5 | E | 45.2 | Yes* |
| 13. Pleasant Valley Rd / Forni Rd SB <br> EB Left | SB Stop | (D) <br> (A) | $\begin{gathered} (33.9) \\ (9.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (C) <br> (A) | $\begin{gathered} (21.9) \\ (9.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (E) (A) | $\begin{gathered} (35.4) \\ (9.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | (C) <br> (A) | $\begin{gathered} (22.5) \\ (9.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Yes* |
| $\Delta$ no volume $\quad$ N/A - not applicable* meets peak hour warrant in AM and PM peak hour without and with project$\dagger$ meets peak hour warrant in PM peak hour without and with project$\ddagger$ meets peak hour warrant in PM peak hour with project$(\mathrm{xx})$ - delay and level of service for side street traffic using Synchro 2010 including TWLTL analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 13

## PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS 2035 CONDITIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  | PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH | Queue (feet) | VPH | Queue (feet) |
| 1. Missouri Flat Road / WB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 160 (2) | 525 | 163 | 315 | 146 |
| NB through | 360 (2) | 825 | 438 | 1,000 | 129 |
| SB through | 520 (2) | 515 | 165 | 905 | 260 |
| WB left turn | 410 (2) | 550 | 188 | 710 | 325 |
| WB right turn | 410 (2) | 325 | 144 | 390 | 234 |
| 2. Missouri Flat Road / EB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB through | 160 (2) | 1,110 | 191 | 1,065 | 187 |
| NB right turn | 140 | 80 | 36 | 80 | 20 |
| SB left | 160 (2) | 200 | 109 | 325 | 188 |
| SB through | 380 (2) | 865 | 107 | 1,240 | 338 |
| EB left+through+right turn | 540 (3) | 745 | 205 | 925 | 331 |
| 3. Missouri Flat Road / Mother Lode Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 150 | 15 | 88 | 30 | 109 |
| NB through | 2,300 (2) | 1,150 | 468 | 1,120 | 322 |
| SB through | 140 (2) | 1,360 | 190 | 1,945 | 207 |
| SB right turn | 130 | 10 | 20 | 20 | 32 |
| 4. Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 250 | 60 | 183 | 95 | 261 |
| NB through | 1,000 (2) | 1,100 | 400 | 1,025 | 422 |
| NB right turn | 160 | 60 | 147 | 25 | 82 |
| SB left turn | 300 | 240 | 364 | 170 | 343 |
| SB through | 2,300 (2) | 860 | 450 | 1,350 | 542 |
| SB right turn | 150 | 295 | 211 | 465 | 225 |
| 5. Missouri Flat Road / Golden Center Drive |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 120 | 40 | 121 | 80 | 185 |
| SB left turn | 160 | 90 | 172 | 65 | 165 |
| 6. Missouri Flat Road / Diamond Springs Parkway |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 275 (2) | 875 | 180 | 725 | 177 |
| EB right turn | 250 | 675 | 234 | 990 | 271 |
| WB left turn | 500 | 90 | 105 | 60 | 76 |
| 10. Missouri Flat Road / Pleasant Valley Road |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB Left* | 130 (2) | 405 | 190 | 250 | 197 |
| WB Right | 200 | 545 | 216 | 185 | 109 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage <br> * - longest lane for multiple turn lane approaches |  |  |  |  |  |

## 2035 PLUS PROJECT

Intersection Levels of Service. The identified Year 2035 plus Project volumes were used to recalculate operating Levels of Service at selected intersections. Figure 12 displays the "2035 Plus Project" traffic volumes at each study intersection in both a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Table 12 displays the a.m. and p.m. peak hour Levels of Service at each study intersection in the 2035 plus Project condition.

Three unsignalized intersections, Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road, Missouri Flat Road at Enterprise Drive and Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49 will operate at a LOS F conditions. The westbound approach of the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road intersection will continue to operate at LOS F in both a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Because the project adds more than 10 peak hour trips, this impact is significant. The eastbound approach of the Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive intersection will continue to operate at LOS F in both peak periods. Because the project adds more than 10 peak hour trips, this impact is significant. The Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49 intersection will continue to operate at LOS F in the a.m. peak hour only. The project will add 13 trips to this intersection. This exceeds the 10 trip increment, and the project's impact is significant.

Traffic Signal Warrants. Under 2035 Plus Project conditions the peak hour traffic signal warrant will be met at five intersections, including the China Garden Road / Missouri Flat Road intersection, Enterprise Drive at Missouri Flat Road, Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49 and the Forni Road / Pleasant Valley Road intersection. With the project the Missouri Flat Road / Industrial Drive intersection will also meet the peak hour signal warrant in the p.m. peak hour.

The Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road intersection and the Missouri Flat Road / Industrial Drive intersection will operate within accepted County LOS thresholds while the China Garden Road / Missouri Flat Road intersection, the Enterprise Drive / Missouri Flat Road intersection and the SR 49 / Pleasant Valley Road intersection will operate with at least one approach at LOS F.

Intersection Queues. Table 14 identifies peak period queues assuming the addition of project trips. Project trips and the SimTraffic software may change the length of some queues. Those $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues with length exceeding the available storage have been highlighted. Under 2035 Plus Project conditions the same thirteen locations which had queues that exceed the available storage under the No Project condition, will continue to do so with the project.


TABLE 14
PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS 2035 PLUS PROJECT CONDITIONS


TABLE 14 (cont'd)
2035 PLUS PROJECT PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH |  |  | 2035 Plus Project Queue (feet) | VPH |  |  | 2035 Plus Project Queue (feet) |
|  |  | 2035 | Project Only | Total |  | 2035 | Project Only | Total |  |
| 4. Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 250 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 183 | 95 | 4 | 99 | 252 |
| NB through | 1,000 (2) | 1,100 | 10 | 1,110 | 429 | 1,025 | 44 | 1,069 | 439 |
| NB right turn | 160 | 60 | 0 | 60 | 138 | 25 | 1 | 26 | 89 |
| SB left turn | 300 | 240 | 0 | 240 | 356 | 170 | 0 | 170 | 354 |
| SB through | 2,300 (2) | 860 | 49 | 909 | 454 | 1,350 | 16 | 1,366 | 540 |
| SB right turn | 150 | 295 | 0 | 295 | 213 | 465 | 0 | 465 | 227 |
| 5. Missouri Flat Road / Golden Center Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 120 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 129 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 196 |
| SB left turn | 160 | 90 | 0 | 90 | 174 | 65 | 0 | 65 | 169 |
| 6. Missouri Flat Road / Diamond Springs Parkway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 275 (2) | 875 | 9 | 884 | 188 | 725 | 53 | 778 | 179 |
| EB right turn | 250 | 675 | 58 | 733 | 276 | 990 | 14 | 1,004 | 274 |
| WB left turn | 500 | 90 | 12 | 102 | 114 | 60 | 2 | 62 | 77 |
| 10. Missouri Flat Road / Pleasant Valley Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB Left* | 130 (2) | 405 | 11 | 416 | 188 | 250 | 0 | 250 | 198 |
| WB Right | 200 | 545 | 13 | 558 | 228 | 185 | 3 | 188 | 116 |
| Highlighted values indicate queue length in excess of available storage * - longest lane for multiple turn lane approaches |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## FINDINGS / RECOMMENDATIONS / MITIGATIONS

The preceding analysis has identified project impacts that may occur without mitigation. The text that follows identifies a strategy for mitigating the impacts of the proposed project. Recommendations are identified for facilities that have deficiencies in the roadway network without the project. If the project causes a significant impact, mitigations are identified for the facility.

## Existing Conditions - Improvement Recommendations

All intersections, except the Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road and Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive intersections operate within acceptable El Dorado County LOS thresholds. The following recommendations are made:

- Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road: The eastbound driveway opposite China Garden Road and the China Garden Road approach will operate at LOS F in the a.m. peak hour. The intersection meets the peak hour signal warrant. Installation of a traffic signal will improve the level of service at the intersection to LOS B with a delay of 14.7 seconds. Alternatively, restricting the eastbound and westbound approaches to right-turns only would result in acceptable operations in both peak hours. The improvements for this impacted intersection are included in the 10-20 year time frame of the County's CIP. The County's 20-year CIP includes approximately $\$ 89,300,000$ for traffic signal and intersection operational improvements. County Long Range Planning annually monitors intersections with the potential need for improvements, which would include this intersection. At such time that sufficient warrants are met, then the improvement project can be added to the CIP by name, and funding allocated.
- Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive: The eastbound approach of the intersection operates at LOS F and the intersection meets the peak hour signal warrant. Signalization of the intersection will result in an LOS A condition in the a.m. peak hour ( 6.8 seconds) and LOS B condition in the p.m. peak hour ( 12.4 seconds). The improvements for this impacted intersection are included in the 10-20 year time frame of the County's CIP. The County's 20year CIP includes approximately $\$ 89,300,000$ for traffic signal and intersection operational improvements. County Long Range Planning annually monitors intersections with the potential need for improvements, which would include this intersection. At such time that sufficient warrants are met, then the improvement project can be added to the CIP by name, and funding allocated.


## Existing Plus Project Conditions - Mitigations

All intersections except Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road and Missouri Flat Road at Enterprise Drive will operate within acceptable El Dorado County LOS thresholds. The following mitigations are noted:

Pay TIM Fees: The Sheriff Department shall contribute its fair share to the cost of regional circulation improvements via the existing countywide traffic impact mitigation (TIM) fee program.

- Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road: The eastbound driveway opposite China Garden Road and the China Garden Road approach will continue to operate at LOS F in the a.m. peak hour while the westbound China Garden Road approach will operate at LOS F in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. The project adds more than 10 trips to the intersection, and this impact is significant. The intersection will meet the peak hour signal warrant. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS B -16.1 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B - 16.1 seconds).

A second option would be to limit China Garden Road and driveway traffic to right turns only. With this mitigation the intersection will operate with the worst movement (westbound) at LOS D ( 30.3 seconds) in the a.m. peak hour and LOS C ( 20.6 seconds) in the p.m. peak hour.

The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.

- Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive: The eastbound approach to the intersection will continue to operate at LOS F in both the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. The project adds more than 10 trips to the intersection, and this impact is significant. The intersection will meet the peak hour signal warrant. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS A -8.5 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B -18.4 seconds). The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.


## 2025 Conditions - Improvement Recommendations

Four intersections will operate with LOS F conditions. These include Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road, Missouri Flat Road at Enterprise Drive, Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49 and Pleasant Valley Road at Forni Road. The following recommendations are noted:

- Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road: This intersection will operate with the westbound China Garden Road approach operating at LOS F. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS B - 12.4 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B - 10.1 seconds). Alternatively, restricting the eastbound and westbound approaches to right-turns only would result in acceptable operations in both peak hours.
- Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive: This intersection will operate with the eastbound approach at LOS F in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Installation of a traffic signal identified
in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS A - 8.6 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B - 14.3 seconds).
- Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49: The intersection will decline to LOS F conditions in the a.m. peak hour. Signalization of the intersection will result in an LOS B condition in the a.m. peak hour ( 19.2 seconds). The improvements for this impacted intersection are included in the $10-20$ year time frame of the County's CIP. The County's 20-year CIP includes approximately $\$ 89,300,000$ for traffic signal and intersection operational improvements. County Long Range Planning annually monitors intersections with the potential need for improvements, which would include this intersection. At such time that sufficient warrants are met, then the improvement project can be added to the CIP by name, and funding allocated.
- Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road: The southbound Forni Road approach will decline to LOS F in the a.m. peak hour. The County has identified improvements along Pleasant Valley Road between SR 49 and Missouri Flat Road (GP 176) that will include installation of a two-way-left-turn-lane. The project is programmed for construction between Fiscal Year 2025/26 and 2034/35. Installation of this improvement will allow the intersection to operate at LOS D (25.8 seconds).


## 2025 Plus Project Conditions - Mitigations

Four intersections will operate with LOS F conditions. These include Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road, Missouri Flat Road at Enterprise Drive, Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49 and Pleasant Valley Road at Forni Road. In addition, the Missouri Flat Road / Industrial Drive intersection will meet the peak hour signal warrant. The following mitigations are identified:

- Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road: Under Plus Project conditions the intersection will operate at LOS F conditions on the westbound approach. The project adds more than 10 trips to the intersection, and this impact is significant. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS B - 14.9 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B - 11.6 seconds).

Under the right turn limitation on China Garden Road and driveway traffic the intersection will operate with the worst movement (westbound) at LOS C ( 16.5 seconds) in the a.m. peak hour and LOS C ( 20.2 seconds) in the p.m. peak hour. The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.

- Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive: Under project conditions the intersection will operate at LOS F conditions on the eastbound approach. The project adds more than 10 trips to the intersection, and this impact is significant. Installation of the traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS B - 10.9 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B -14.4 seconds). The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.
- Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49: Under project conditions the intersection will operate at LOS F. The project adds more than 10 trips to the intersection, and this impact is significant. Installation of the traffic signal identified in the Year 2025 Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS C - 20.2 seconds). The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.
- Pleasant Valley Road / Forni Road: The southbound Forni Road approach will operate at LOS F in the a.m. peak hour. The project adds more than 10 trips to the intersection, and this impact is significant. Installation of a two-way-left-turn lane identified in the County's Capital Improvement Program will allow the intersection to operate at LOS D (26.5 seconds). The project is programmed for construction between Fiscal Year 2025/26 and 2034/35 and is therefore consistent with General Plan Policy TC-Xf. The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.
- Missouri Flat Road / Industrial Drive: Under project conditions the intersection will meet the peak hour signal warrant. The project should construct a traffic signal at this location to ensure public safety access is maintained. Installation of a new traffic signal would improve the operating conditions to LOS B (17.5 seconds) in the a.m. peak hour and LOS B (13.4 seconds) in the p.m. peak hour.

As noted in the intersection descriptions there are several driveways on Missouri Flat Road that could be affected by installing a new traffic signal. The driveways adjacent to the intersection (i.e. the south driveway on the east side of the intersection and the north driveway in the southwest quadrant of the intersection) may require closure or realignment to improve safety and minimize interference of the operation of the signal. Additional driveways could be impacted depending on the area of improvement. These issues will be evaluated when the traffic signal is designed.

Table 15 presents the levels of service for the signalized intersection under the mitigated p.m. conditions, i.e. with signalization of the Missouri Flat Road / Industrial Drive intersection. All intersections operate with Level of Service that meet the LOS E standard. Table 16 presents the associated projected queuing under the mitigated p.m. conditions. No additional lanes will exceed capacity after mitigation.

No other mitigations are necessary.

## 2035 Conditions - Improvement Recommendations

Three intersections will operate with LOS F conditions if the proposed project does not proceed. These include Missouri Flat Road at China Garden Road, Missouri Flat Road at Enterprise Drive and Pleasant Valley Road at SR 49. The following recommendations are noted:

- Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road: This intersection will operate with the westbound China Garden Road approach operating at LOS F. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during
the a.m. peak hour (LOS B - 13.5 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B - 11.1 seconds). Alternatively, restricting the eastbound and westbound approaches to right-turns only would result in acceptable operations in both peak hours.
- Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive: This intersection will operate with the eastbound approach at LOS F in the a.m. and p.m. peak hours. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS A - 8.3 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B -13.4 seconds).
- Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49: The intersection will decline to LOS F conditions in the a.m. peak hour. Signalization of the intersection will result in an LOS C condition in the a.m. peak hour ( 29.9 seconds). The improvements for this impacted intersection are included in the $10-20$ year time frame of the County's CIP. The County's 20-year CIP includes approximately $\$ 89,300,000$ for traffic signal and intersection operational improvements. County Long Range Planning annually monitors intersections with the potential need for improvements, which would include this intersection. At such time that sufficient warrants are met, then the improvement project can be added to the CIP by name, and funding allocated.


## 2035 Plus Project Conditions - Mitigations

- Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road: Under Plus Project conditions the intersection will operate at LOS F conditions along the westbound China garden Road approach. The project adds more than 10 trips to the intersection, and this impact is significant. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS B - 12.9 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B - 12.7 seconds).

Under the right turn limitation on China Garden Road and driveway traffic the intersection will operate with the worst movement (westbound) at LOS C (18.6 seconds) in the a.m. peak hour and LOS C ( 23.5 seconds) in the p.m. peak hour. The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.

- Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive: Under project conditions the intersection will operate at LOS F conditions on the eastbound Enterprise Drive approach. The project adds more than 10 trips to the intersection, and this impact is significant. Installation of a traffic signal identified in the Existing Conditions will maintain acceptable levels of service at the intersection during the a.m. peak hour (LOS A - 9.5 seconds) and p.m. peak hour (LOS B 14.6 seconds). The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department would cover its fair share of this improvement.
- Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49: The intersection will operate at LOS F conditions in the a.m. peak hour. The project adds more than 10 trips to the intersection, and this impact is significant. Signalization of the intersection will result in an LOS C condition in the a.m. peak hour ( 25.2 seconds). The County's 20-Year Capital Improvement Program (CIP) identifies about $\$ 89,300,000$ for traffic signal and intersection operational improvements.

The TIM fees paid by the Sheriff's Department should cover its fair share of this improvement.

Table 15 presents the Levels of Service for the signalized intersection under the mitigated p.m. conditions, i.e. with signalization of the Missouri Flat Road / Industrial Drive intersection. All meet the minimum Level of Service standard. Table 16 presents the associated projected queuing under the mitigated p.m. conditions. As shown, implementing the mitigations eliminates one location where queues exceed storage.

No other mitigations are necessary.

TABLE 15
PEAK HOUR INTERSECTION LEVELS OF SERVICE MITIGATED ‘PLUS PROJECT’ CONDITIONS

| Location | Control | 2025 + Project PM Peak Hour |  | 2035 Plus Project PM Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | LOS | Average Delay | LOS | Average Delay |
| 1. Missouri Flat Rd/ WB US 50 ramps | Signal | B | 16.4 | B | 18.3 |
| 2. Missouri Flat Rd/EB US 50 ramps | Signal | C | 25.1 | C | 26.9 |
| 3. Missouri Flat Rd / Mother Lode Dr | Signal | B | 12.7 | B | 12.4 |
| 4. Missouri Flat Rd/ Forni Rd | Signal | D | 35.8 | E | 63.3 |
| 5. Missouri Flat Rd / Golden Center Dr | Signal | C | 29.1 | D | 33.4 |
| 6. Missouri Flat Rd / Diamond Springs Pkwy | Signal | B | 12.7 | B | 15.7 |
| 7. Missouri Flat Rd / China Garden Rd | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Signal } \\ \text { (SSSC) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | B <br> (C) | $\begin{gathered} 11.6 \\ (20.2) \end{gathered}$ | B <br> (C) | $\begin{gathered} 11.7 \\ (23.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| 8. Missouri Flat Rd / Industrial Dr | Signal | B | 13.4 | B | 12.9 |
| 9. Missouri Flat Rd / Enterprise Dr | Signal | B | 14.4 | B | 14.6 |
| 10. Missouri Flat Rd / Pleasant Valley Rd | Signal | D | 37.2 | C | 21.0 |
| 12. Pleasant Valley Rd / SR 49 | Signal | N/A | N/A | E | 46.4 |
| SSSC - side street stop control (worst movement shown in either a.m. or p.m. peak hour) |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 16
PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS MITIGATED 'PLUS PROJECT' CONDITIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | MITIGATED 2025 PM Peak Hour |  |  |  | MITIGATED 2035 PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2025 \text { Plus } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Queue (feet) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | VPH |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \text { Plus } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Queue (feet) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 2025 | Project Only | Total |  | 2035 | Project Only | Total |  |
| 1. Missouri Flat Road / WB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 160 (2) | 340 | 19 | 359 | 158 | 315 | 19 | 334 | 154 |
| NB through | 360 (2) | 866 | 9 | 875 | 162 | 1,000 | 9 | 1,009 | 138 |
| SB through | 520 (2) | 864 | 6 | 870 | 243 | 905 | 6 | 911 | 264 |
| WB left turn | 410 (2) | 653 | 5 | 658 | 231 | 710 | 5 | 715 | 289 |
| WB right turn | 410 (2) | 370 | 0 | 370 | 166 | 390 | 0 | 390 | 166 |
| 2. Missouri Flat Road / EB US 50 ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB through | 160 (2) | 972 | 28 | 1,000 | 193 | 1,065 | 28 | 1,093 | 187 |
| NB right turn | 140 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 63 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 25 |
| SB left | 160 (2) | 324 | 0 | 324 | 185 | 325 | 0 | 325 | 188 |
| SB through | 380 (2) | 1,192 | 11 | 1,203 | 323 | 1,240 | 11 | 1,251 | 337 |
| EB left+through+right turn | 540 (3) | 852 | 5 | 857 | 297 | 925 | 5 | 930 | 343 |
| 3. Missouri Flat Road / Mother Lode Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 150 | 43 | 1 | 44 | 133 | 30 | 1 | 31 | 107 |
| NB through | 2,300 (2) | 974 | 28 | 1,002 | 317 | 1,120 | 28 | 1,148 | 339 |
| SB through | 140 (2) | 1,738 | 16 | 1,754 | 193 | 1,945 | 16 | 1,961 | 202 |
| SB right turn | 130 | 73 | 0 | 73 | 80 | 20 | 0 | 20 | 32 |
| 4. Missouri Flat Road / Forni Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 250 | 76 | 4 | 80 | 169 | 95 | 4 | 99 | 232 |
| NB through | 1,000 (2) | 912 | 44 | 956 | 372 | 1,025 | 44 | 1,069 | 441 |
| NB right turn | 160 | 23 | 1 | 24 | 104 | 25 | 1 | 26 | 102 |
| SB left turn | 300 | 168 | 0 | 168 | 279 | 170 | 0 | 170 | 356 |
| SB through | 2,300 (2) | 1,184 | 16 | 1,200 | 511 | 1,350 | 16 | 1,366 | 543 |
| SB right turn | 150 | 406 | 0 | 406 | 235 | 465 | 0 | 465 | 230 |
| 5. Missouri Flat Road / Golden Center Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 120 | 77 | 0 | 77 | 172 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 180 |
| SB left turn | 160 | 66 | 0 | 66 | 150 | 65 | 0 | 65 | 170 |

TABLE 16 (cont'd)
PEAK HOUR QUEUES AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS MITIGATED ‘PLUS PROJECT' CONDITIONS

| Location | Capacity (feet) | MITIGATED 2025 PM Peak Hour |  |  |  | MITIGATED 2035 PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | VPH |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2025 \text { Plus } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Queue (feet) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | VPH |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \text { Plus } \\ \text { Project } \\ \text { Queue (feet) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 2025 | Project Only | Total |  | 2035 | Project Only | Total |  |
| 6. Missouri Flat Road / Diamond Springs Parkway |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB left turn | 275 (2) | 690 | 53 | 743 | 162 | 725 | 53 | 778 | 180 |
| EB right turn | 250 | 865 | 14 | 879 | 230 | 990 | 14 | 1,004 | 281 |
| WB left turn | 500 | 44 | 2 | 46 | 60 | 60 | 2 | 62 | 74 |
| 7. Missouri Flat Road / China Garden Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB Left | TWLTL | 5 | 0 | 5 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 20 |
| SB Left | 200 | 13 | 0 | 13 | 61 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 87 |
| EB | Driveway | 4 | 0 | 4 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 25 |
| WB | >600 | 198 | 0 | 198 | 153 | 215 | 0 | 215 | 167 |
| 8. Missouri Flat Road / Industrial Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB Left | TWLTL | 8 | 2 | 10 | 29 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 28 |
| EB | >600 | 48 | 92 | 140 | 181 | 45 | 92 | 137 | 165 |
| 9. Missouri Flat Road / Enterprise Drive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB Left | TWLTL | 11 | 3 | 14 | 32 | 10 | 3 | 13 | 29 |
| SB Left | TWLTL | 5 | 0 | 5 | 19 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 25 |
| EB | >600 | 146 | 1 | 147 | 158 | 135 | 1 | 136 | 141 |
| WB | Driveway | 5 | 0 | 5 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 18 |
| 10. Missouri Flat Road / Pleasant Valley Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB Left | 130 (2) | 240 | 0 | 240 | 209 | 250 | 0 | 250 | 196 |
| WB Right | 200 | 239 | 3 | 242 | 143 | 185 | 3 | 188 | 103 |
| 12. Pleasant Valley Road / SR 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NB | 700 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 320 | 2 | 322 | 389 |
| WB Left | 80 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | 255 | 5 | 260 | 115 |
| Queue exceeds storage with and without mitigation Queue no longer exceeds storage with mitigation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## APPENDICES

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

|  | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 24.7 | 16.0 | 12.7 | 18.4 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 17.6 | 11.6 | 20.1 | 16.2 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 33.9 | 8.8 | 4.3 | 8.5 |

4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 38.5 | 17.6 | 20.8 | 18.1 | 21.5 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 13.6 | 22.2 | 12.4 | 17.6 | 14.8 |

10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.6 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.8 | 20.2 | 10.7 | 18.7 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1.7 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1165.7 |








Intersection: 1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | R | L | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 240 | 220 | 167 | 130 | 137 | 149 | 339 | 164 | 184 | 152 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 149 | 131 | 79 | 33 | 109 | 121 | 96 | 49 | 92 | 70 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 214 | 194 | 139 | 88 | 167 | 167 | 274 | 121 | 158 | 133 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 983 | 983 |  |  |  |  | 395 | 395 | 459 | 459 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 400 | 400 | 125 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 2 | 18 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 114 | 178 | 153 | 171 | 167 | 85 | 93 | 174 | 368 | 359 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 31 | 87 | 46 | 126 | 103 | 28 | 27 | 99 | 198 | 202 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 81 | 150 | 107 | 200 | 187 | 70 | 69 | 196 | 351 | 335 |
| Link Distance ( ft$)$ |  | 1460 |  | 138 | 138 | 138 |  |  | 395 | 395 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 11 | 5 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 36 | 16 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 700 |  | 550 |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 10 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 14 |  |

Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | B24 | B24 | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 95 | 137 | 63 | 87 | 220 | 128 | 60 | 107 | 134 | 126 | 12 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 36 | 64 | 20 | 15 | 75 | 28 | 2 | 5 | 43 | 43 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 78 | 119 | 48 | 55 | 182 | 87 | 44 | 76 | 102 | 99 | 13 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 633 |  |  | 1949 | 1949 | 368 | 368 | 138 | 138 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | B24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | R | L | T | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 124 | 146 | 136 | 43 | 110 | 110 | 72 | 254 | 266 | 157 | 292 | 252 | 217 | 162 | 10 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 41 | 55 | 47 | 13 | 43 | 44 | 14 | 131 | 131 | 17 | 182 | 94 | 89 | 45 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 94 | 109 | 98 | 36 | 87 | 88 | 48 | 235 | 242 | 101 | 275 | 207 | 173 | 108 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 3521 |  |  | 275 |  | 293 | 293 |  |  | 368 | 368 |  | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 195 | 195 |  | 150 | 190 |  | 250 |  |  | 150 | 300 |  |  | 150 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B43 | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | T | TR | T | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 55 | 84 | 114 | 210 | 215 | 7 | 181 | 261 | 261 | 16 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 16 | 35 | 31 | 112 | 119 | 0 | 57 | 88 | 104 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 40 | 71 | 75 | 176 | 184 | 7 | 124 | 195 | 204 | 9 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 184 | 367 |  | 216 | 216 | 2030 |  | 652 | 652 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 150 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 500 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 175 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |

Intersection: 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB | B68 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 140 | 152 | 312 | 441 | 225 | 184 | 139 | 43 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 102 | 66 | 88 | 191 | 124 | 79 | 44 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 148 | 165 | 211 | 362 | 243 | 152 | 97 | 25 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |  | 1506 |  | 127 | 127 | 419 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 1 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 | 130 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 9 | 6 | 6 | 27 | 1 |  |  |  |

Zone Summary
Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 165

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 25.3 | 14.1 | 14.3 | 17.6 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 26.5 | 13.7 | 23.9 | 21.5 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 34.5 | 8.3 | 6.3 | 8.6 |

4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 46.1 | 10.5 | 21.4 | 15.5 | 22.4 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 20.2 | 25.8 | 16.1 | 24.2 | 21.0 |

10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.1 | 0.0 | 56.4 | 27.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 21.0 | 17.5 | 21.0 | 20.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Zone Performance |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 20.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBU | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | NBU | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 324 | 205 | 0 | 211 | 265 | 0 | 99 | 148 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.92 | 0.9 | 0.94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 345 | 218 | 0 | 224 | 282 | 0 | 105 | 157 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opposing Approach | WB | EB | 0 |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 | 1 | EB |
| Conflicting Approach Left |  | $N B$ | 1 |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 0 | 1 | WB |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB | 2 |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 | 0 | 14.2 |
| HCM Control Delay | 29.8 | 14.1 | B |
| HCM LOS | D | B |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $40 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $60 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 247 | 529 | 211 | 265 |
| LT Vol | 99 | 0 | 211 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 324 | 0 | 265 |
| RT Vol | 148 | 205 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 263 | 563 | 224 | 282 |
| Geometry Grp | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.45 | 0.836 | 0.412 | 0.477 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.17 | 5.351 | 6.602 | 6.094 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 581 | 675 | 543 | 589 |
| Service Time | 4.231 | 3.4 | 4.36 | 3.851 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.453 | 0.834 | 0.413 | 0.479 |
| HCM Control Delay | 14.2 | 29.8 | 13.9 | 14.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | $D$ | $B$ | $B$ |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 2.3 | 9.2 | 2 | 2.6 |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 16.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR |  | WBL | WBT | WBR |  | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 78 | 1 | 30 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 7 | 573 | 2 | 4 | 934 | 62 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |  | - | - | None |  | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 |  | 94 | 94 | 94 |  | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 83 | 1 | 32 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 7 | 610 | 2 | 4 | 994 | 66 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1662 | 1662 | 1027 |  | 1678 | 1694 | 611 |  | 1060 | 0 | 0 | 612 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1035 | 1035 | - |  | 626 | 626 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 627 | 627 | - |  | 1052 | 1068 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | $\sim 77$ | 97 | 285 |  | 75 | 93 | 494 |  | 657 | - | - | 967 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 280 | 309 | - |  | 472 | 477 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 471 | 476 | - |  | 274 | 298 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | $\sim 75$ | 96 | 285 |  | 65 | 92 | 494 |  | 657 | - | - | 967 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | $\sim 75$ | 96 | - |  | 65 | 92 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 277 | 308 | - |  | 467 | 472 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 464 | 471 | - |  | 241 | 297 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 250.8 |  |  |  | 40 |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 657 | - | - | 94 | 106 | 967 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.011 | - | - | 1.234 | 0.03 | 0.004 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.5 | - | - | 250.8 | 40 | 8.7 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | F | E | A | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 8.1 | 0.1 | 0 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\bar{\sim}$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\quad \$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+:$ Computation Not Defined $\quad$ *: All major volume in platoon



Intersection: 1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | R | L | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 257 | 259 | 168 | 133 | 137 | 148 | 280 | 131 | 305 | 254 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 154 | 149 | 85 | 32 | 100 | 113 | 83 | 55 | 140 | 97 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 227 | 226 | 149 | 89 | 163 | 161 | 215 | 110 | 250 | 193 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 983 | 983 |  |  |  |  | 395 | 395 | 459 | 459 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 400 | 400 | 125 | 125 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 2 | 10 | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 192 | 240 | 209 | 169 | 159 | 95 | 160 | 174 | 406 | 401 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 90 | 147 | 119 | 144 | 97 | 36 | 74 | 134 | 295 | 294 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 165 | 215 | 194 | 181 | 157 | 78 | 156 | 215 | 430 | 413 |
| Link Distance ( ft$)$ |  | 1460 |  | 138 | 138 | 138 |  |  | 395 | 395 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 12 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 39 | 6 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 700 |  | 50 |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 16 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 52 |  |

Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | B24 | B24 | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 83 | 134 | 66 | 100 | 219 | 82 | 76 | 150 | 156 | 156 | 121 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 26 | 63 | 27 | 32 | 60 | 15 | 4 | 8 | 91 | 106 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 62 | 115 | 60 | 79 | 164 | 54 | 66 | 96 | 164 | 169 | 59 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 633 |  |  | 1949 | 1949 | 368 | 368 | 138 | 138 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 18 | 30 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | R | L | T | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 207 | 220 | 584 | 74 | 59 | 91 | 134 | 310 | 300 | 129 | 230 | 272 | 305 | 175 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 152 | 163 | 102 | 27 | 14 | 30 | 43 | 149 | 155 | 15 | 118 | 132 | 144 | 87 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 227 | 234 | 375 | 53 | 44 | 67 | 94 | 273 | 275 | 83 | 194 | 234 | 259 | 180 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 3537 |  |  | 275 |  | 340 | 340 |  |  | 368 | 368 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 195 | 195 |  | 150 | 190 |  | 250 |  |  | 150 | 300 |  |  | 150 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 3 | 8 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 11 | 0 |  | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 3 | 8 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 21 | 1 |

Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B43 | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | T | TR | T | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 100 | 177 | 154 | 206 | 204 | 11 | 199 | 407 | 408 | 19 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 42 | 84 | 55 | 110 | 108 | 0 | 63 | 182 | 198 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 81 | 147 | 111 | 182 | 180 | 11 | 154 | 347 | 360 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 184 | 367 |  | 216 | 216 | 2030 |  | 652 | 652 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 150 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 500 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 175 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 9 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 2 |  |  | 0 | 6 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB | B68 | B68 | B66 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | R | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 140 | 153 | 229 | 243 | 207 | 230 | 125 | 503 | 148 | 440 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 93 | 48 | 90 | 131 | 67 | 203 | 49 | 305 | 5 | 85 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 138 | 138 | 168 | 207 | 156 | 223 | 100 | 577 | 89 | 359 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |  | 1302 |  | 131 | 131 | 419 | 419 | 625 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 0 | 18 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 276 | 1 | 86 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 | 130 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

Zone Summary
Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 603

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 24.4 | 15.9 | 13.3 | 18.3 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 17.1 | 12.3 | 21.1 | 16.8 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 36.1 | 9.0 | 4.5 | 8.6 |

4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 38.9 | 17.5 | 20.6 | 18.1 | 21.5 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 14.5 | 25.1 | 12.6 | 17.6 | 15.0 |

10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.2 | 20.4 | 11.1 | 19.0 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1.7 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1220.5 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 41.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBU | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | NBU | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 248 | 85 | 0 | 142 | 288 | 0 | 224 | 243 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 318 | 109 | 0 | 182 | 369 | 0 | 287 | 312 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opposing Approach | WB | EB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | 0 | EB | 1 |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | NB | 1 | WB |
| Conflicting Approach Right | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 31.9 | 24.9 | 63.4 |
| HCM Control Delay | D | C | F |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $48 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $52 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 467 | 333 | 142 | 288 |
| LT Vol | 224 | 0 | 142 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 248 | 0 | 288 |
| RT Vol | 243 | 85 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 599 | 427 | 182 | 369 |
| Geometry Grp | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 1 | 0.802 | 0.397 | 0.754 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.384 | 6.764 | 7.853 | 7.354 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 563 | 538 | 459 | 491 |
| Service Time | 4.471 | 4.797 | 5.595 | 5.095 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.064 | 0.794 | 0.397 | 0.752 |
| HCM Control Delay | 63.4 | 31.9 | 15.7 | 29.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | D | C | D |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 14.4 | 7.7 | 1.9 | 6.4 |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR |  | WBL | WBT | WBR |  | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 53 | 0 | 14 |  | 1 | 0 | 4 |  | 19 | 880 | 6 | 4 | 421 | 94 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |  | - | - | None |  | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 86 | 86 | 86 |  | 86 | 86 | 86 |  | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 | 86 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 62 | 0 | 16 |  | 1 | 0 | 5 |  | 22 | 1023 | 7 | 5 | 490 | 109 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1626 | 1627 | 544 |  | 1633 | 1679 | 1027 |  | 599 | 0 | 0 | 1030 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 553 | 553 | - |  | 1071 | 1071 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1073 | 1074 | - |  | 562 | 608 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 82 | 102 | 539 |  | 81 | 95 | 285 |  | 978 | - | - | 674 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 517 | 514 | - |  | 267 | 297 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 267 | 296 | - |  | 512 | 486 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 79 | 99 | 539 |  | 77 | 92 | 285 |  | 978 | - | - | 674 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 79 | 99 | - |  | 77 | 92 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 505 | 510 | - |  | 261 | 290 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 257 | 289 | - |  | 493 | 482 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 124.6 |  |  |  | 25.1 |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  |  | D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 978 | - | - | 96 | 185 | 674 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.023 | - | - | 0.812 | 0.031 | 0.007 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.8 | - | - | 124.6 | 25.1 | 10.4 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | F | D | B | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 4.4 | 0.1 | 0 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |




Intersection: 1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | R | L | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 244 | 233 | 155 | 106 | 137 | 149 | 326 | 153 | 198 | 149 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 153 | 134 | 75 | 28 | 110 | 123 | 99 | 50 | 96 | 72 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 228 | 213 | 131 | 71 | 167 | 169 | 270 | 112 | 163 | 131 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 983 | 983 |  |  |  |  | 395 | 395 | 459 | 459 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 400 | 400 | 125 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 3 | 17 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 99 | 166 | 139 | 166 | 165 | 96 | 85 | 174 | 387 | 373 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 30 | 88 | 49 | 133 | 109 | 31 | 26 | 94 | 219 | 218 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 73 | 147 | 104 | 201 | 185 | 76 | 66 | 191 | 384 | 366 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1460 |  | 138 | 138 | 138 |  |  | 395 | 395 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 13 | 5 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 43 | 17 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 700 |  | 550 |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 12 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 16 |  |

Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | B24 | B24 | B24 | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | T | T |  | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 96 | 138 | 54 | 93 | 224 | 122 | 71 | 232 | 39 | 139 | 135 | 14 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 34 | 63 | 18 | 18 | 74 | 27 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 46 | 49 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 75 | 118 | 46 | 61 | 177 | 81 | 72 | 132 | 40 | 107 | 107 | 14 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 633 |  |  | 1949 | 1949 | 368 | 368 | 368 | 138 | 138 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | B24 | B24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | R | L | T | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 115 | 125 | 120 | 63 | 105 | 112 | 84 | 288 | 310 | 144 | 300 | 278 | 242 | 150 | 54 | 40 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 46 | 61 | 44 | 17 | 41 | 46 | 13 | 132 | 135 | 18 | 180 | 98 | 97 | 47 | 6 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 96 | 114 | 95 | 47 | 86 | 91 | 51 | 245 | 260 | 104 | 287 | 225 | 195 | 120 | 89 | 41 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 3521 |  |  | 275 |  | 293 | 293 |  |  | 368 | 368 |  | 1949 | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 7 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 195 | 195 |  | 150 | 190 |  | 250 |  |  | 150 | 300 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B43 | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | T | TR | T | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 53 | 85 | 108 | 208 | 225 | 26 | 165 | 240 | 247 | 17 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 16 | 37 | 30 | 115 | 125 | 1 | 56 | 97 | 109 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 40 | 74 | 73 | 179 | 192 | 22 | 118 | 197 | 204 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 184 | 367 |  | 216 | 216 | 2030 |  | 652 | 652 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 150 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 500 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |

Intersection: 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB | B68 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 141 | 153 | 296 | 420 | 225 | 178 | 125 | 8 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 107 | 74 | 94 | 185 | 128 | 83 | 46 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 150 | 173 | 225 | 338 | 245 | 155 | 100 | 5 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |  | 1506 |  | 127 | 127 | 419 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 | 130 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 6 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 11 | 7 | 5 | 26 | 2 |  |  |  |

Zone Summary
Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 190

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 25.0 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 18.1 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 |  |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 26.8 | 13.8 | 24.0 | 21.6 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.0 | NB | SB | All |  |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 34.9 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  |

4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 48.9 | 11.7 | 21.1 | 15.9 | 23.0 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.0 | 25.5 | 15.8 | 25.6 | 21.5 |

10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.1 | 0.0 | 55.1 | 27.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.4 | 17.1 | 21.0 | 20.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Zone Performance |  |  |  |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR |  | WBL | WBT | WBR |  | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 10 | 0 | 175 |  | 1 | 724 | 20 | 133 | 1058 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |  | - | - | None |  | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | 1 | - | - | 200 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 97 | 97 | 97 |  | 97 | 97 | 97 |  | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 10 | 0 | 180 |  | 1 | 746 | 21 | 137 | 1091 | 0 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 2214 | 2134 | 1091 |  | 2125 | 2124 | 757 |  | 1091 | 0 | 0 | 767 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1365 | 1365 | - |  | 759 | 759 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 849 | 769 | - |  | 1366 | 1365 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 31 | 49 | 261 |  | 36 | 50 | 408 |  | 640 | - | - | 847 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 182 | 215 | - |  | 399 | 415 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 356 | 411 | - |  | 182 | 215 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 15 | 41 | 261 |  | 31 | 42 | 408 |  | 640 | - | - | 847 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 15 | 41 | - |  | 31 | 42 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 182 | 180 | - |  | 398 | 414 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 198 | 410 | - |  | 151 | 180 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 18.9 |  |  |  | 56.6 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1.1 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 640 | - | - | 261 | 246 | 847 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.002 | - | - | 0.008 | 0.775 | 0.162 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.6 | - | - | 18.9 | 56.6 | 10.1 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | C | F | B | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0 | 5.7 | 0.6 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 18.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR |  | WBL | WBT | WBR |  | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 79 | 1 | 30 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 10 | 575 | 2 | 4 | 970 | 64 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |  | - | - | None |  | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 94 | 94 | 94 |  | 94 | 94 | 94 |  | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 84 | 1 | 32 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 11 | 612 | 2 | 4 | 1032 | 68 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1709 | 1709 | 1066 |  | 1725 | 1743 | 613 |  | 1100 | 0 | 0 | 614 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1074 | 1074 | - |  | 634 | 634 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 635 | 635 | - |  | 1091 | 1109 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | ~ 72 | 91 | 270 |  | 70 | 87 | 492 |  | 635 | - | - | 965 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 266 | 296 | - |  | 467 | 473 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 467 | 472 | - |  | 260 | 285 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | $\sim 70$ | 89 | 270 |  | 60 | 85 | 492 |  | 635 | - | - | 965 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | $\sim 70$ | 89 | - |  | 60 | 85 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 261 | 295 | - |  | 459 | 465 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 457 | 464 | - |  | 227 | 284 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 293.3 |  |  |  | 43 |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  |  | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 635 | - | - | 88 | 98 | 965 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.017 | - | - | 1.33 | 0.033 | 0.004 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.8 | - | - | 293.3 | 43 | 8.7 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | F | E | A | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 8.7 | 0.1 | 0 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\bar{\sim}$ : Volume exceeds capacity $\quad \$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+:$ Computation Not Defined $\quad$ *: All major volume in platoon



Intersection: 1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | R | L | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 260 | 247 | 195 | 164 | 137 | 148 | 314 | 148 | 294 | 252 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 154 | 148 | 85 | 34 | 104 | 118 | 91 | 59 | 142 | 106 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 229 | 224 | 153 | 95 | 164 | 162 | 234 | 115 | 252 | 205 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 983 | 983 |  |  |  |  | 395 | 395 | 459 | 459 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 400 | 400 | 125 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 2 | 13 | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 187 | 252 | 233 | 167 | 161 | 91 | 156 | 174 | 409 | 406 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 90 | 145 | 117 | 142 | 101 | 40 | 70 | 137 | 293 | 295 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 165 | 222 | 201 | 186 | 159 | 86 | 147 | 216 | 432 | 423 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1460 |  | 138 | 138 | 138 |  |  | 395 | 395 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 13 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 42 | 7 |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 700 |  | 550 |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 17 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 54 |  |

Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | B24 | B24 | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 78 | 132 | 72 | 114 | 208 | 84 | 150 | 277 | 159 | 156 | 136 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 29 | 65 | 27 | 30 | 59 | 13 | 5 | 16 | 93 | 106 | 14 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 67 | 117 | 55 | 70 | 149 | 50 | 79 | 141 | 164 | 169 | 81 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 633 |  |  | 1949 | 1949 | 368 | 368 | 138 | 138 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 18 | 32 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1 |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB | B24 | B24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | R | L | T | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 206 | 219 | 511 | 83 | 59 | 95 | 179 | 283 | 308 | 174 | 251 | 330 | 363 | 175 | 12 | 17 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 151 | 159 | 106 | 27 | 15 | 32 | 52 | 145 | 151 | 16 | 119 | 140 | 152 | 89 | 0 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 225 | 231 | 428 | 58 | 45 | 71 | 115 | 264 | 277 | 84 | 207 | 257 | 281 | 187 | 13 | 13 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 3537 |  |  | 275 |  | 340 | 340 |  |  | 368 | 368 |  | 1949 | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 195 | 195 |  | 150 | 190 |  | 250 |  |  | 150 | 300 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 4 | 8 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 11 | 0 |  | 0 | 7 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 4 | 9 | 2 |  |  | 0 |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 1 | 23 | 1 |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B43 | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | T | TR | T | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 109 | 179 | 154 | 232 | 223 | 34 | 199 | 452 | 450 | 19 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 44 | 83 | 55 | 115 | 116 | 2 | 57 | 194 | 208 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 87 | 149 | 116 | 198 | 192 | 28 | 139 | 374 | 387 | 10 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 184 | 367 |  | 216 | 216 | 2030 |  | 652 | 652 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 |  |  |  | 175 |  |  | 500 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  | 11 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  | 7 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB | B68 | B68 | B66 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | R | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 137 | 152 | 266 | 248 | 214 | 236 | 138 | 503 | 247 | 452 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 92 | 49 | 100 | 128 | 68 | 205 | 55 | 342 | 9 | 115 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 136 | 143 | 195 | 207 | 153 | 229 | 112 | 594 | 117 | 451 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |  | 1302 |  | 131 | 131 | 419 | 419 | 625 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 58 | 0 | 21 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 292 | 2 | 104 | 4 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 | 130 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 5 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

Zone Summary
Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 669

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.3 | 17.0 | 10.2 | 16.6 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.2 | 12.2 | 11.3 | 14.3 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 53.5 | 12.2 | 5.7 | 11.0 |

## 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 37.6 | 18.2 | 25.1 | 26.1 | 26.4 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 21.6 | 33.9 | 17.7 | 26.6 | 21.8 |

6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 12.8 | 8.2 | 10.8 | 10.6 |

10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied $\mathrm{Del} /$ Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 40.8 | 16.2 | 10.6 | 22.8 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 344.1 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 50.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBU | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | NBU | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 281 | 98 | 0 | 148 | 333 | 0 | 252 | 247 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 360 | 126 | 0 | 190 | 427 | 0 | 323 | 317 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opposing Approach | WB | EB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Left |  | NB | EB |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  | WB |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| HCM Control Delay | 47.8 | 37.2 | 65 |
| HCM LOS | E | E | F |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 WBLn1 WBLn2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $51 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, $\%$ | $49 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 499 | 379 | 148 | 333 |
| LT Vol | 252 | 0 | 148 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 281 | 0 | 333 |
| RT Vol | 247 | 98 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 640 | 486 | 190 | 427 |
| Geometry Grp | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 1 | 0.917 | 0.423 | 0.894 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.749 | 6.901 | 8.035 | 7.535 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 544 | 530 | 452 | 482 |
| Service Time | 4.768 | 4.901 | 5.735 | 5.235 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.176 | 0.917 | 0.42 | 0.886 |
| HCM Control Delay | 65 | 47.8 | 16.5 | 46.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | E | C | E |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 14.1 | 11 | 2.1 | 9.9 |

Diamond Springs \& El Dorado Area Mobility \& Livable Community Plan 5:00 pm 1/24/2005 Existing Symchitior\&Report AM Peak

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL EBT EBR |  |  | WBL WBT WBR |  |  | NBL NBT NBR |  |  | SBL SBT SBR |  |  |
| Vol, veh/h | 2 | 0 | 3 | 36 | 0 | 27 | 5 | 754 | 103 | 69 | 570 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - |  | None | - |  | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | - |  | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 190 | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 0 | 3 | 39 | 0 | 29 | 5 | 820 | 112 | 75 | 620 | 2 |


| Major/Minor Conflicting Flow All | Minor2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1672 | 1713 | 621 | 1658 | 1658 | 876 | 622 | 0 | 0 | 932 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 771 | 771 | - | 886 | 886 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 901 | 942 | - | 772 | 772 | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 76 | 90 | 487 | 78 | 98 | 348 | 959 | - | - | 734 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 393 | 410 | - | 339 | 363 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 333 | 342 | - | 392 | 409 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 64 | 80 | 487 | 71 | 88 | 348 | 959 | - | - | 734 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 64 | 80 | - | 71 | 88 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 391 | 368 | - | 337 | 361 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 303 | 340 | - | 350 | 367 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 33 | 83.6 | 0.1 | 1.1 |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBEBL_VIVBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 959 | - | -134 | 108 | 734 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.006 | - | -0.041 | 0.6340 .102 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.8 | - | - | 33 | 83.6 | 10.5 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | D | F | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0.1 | 3.2 | 0.3 | - | - |

[^0]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 24 | 12 | 12 | 838 | 568 | 41 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free Free | Free Free |  |  |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - None |  |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 26 | 13 | 13 | 911 | 617 | 45 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Maior1 |  |  |  | Major2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1577 | 640 | 662 | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 640 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 937 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 121 | 475 | 927 | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 525 | - | - | - | - |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 381 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 119 | 475 | 927 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 252 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 525 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 376 | - | - | - |  | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 18.8 | 0.1 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 927 | -299 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.014 | -0.131 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.9 | -18.8 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | C | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.4 | - | - |

[^1]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 2.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 46 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 799 | 8 | 5 | 453 | 122 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 50 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 868 | 9 | 5 | 492 | 133 |


| Major/Minor <br> Conflicting Flow All | Minor2 |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Maior1 |  | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1485 | 1486 | 559 | 1489 | 1548 | 873 | 625 | 0 | 0 | 877 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 570 | 570 | - | 912 | 912 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 915 | 916 | - | 577 | 636 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 |  | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 103 | 124 | 529 | 102 | 114 | 349 | 956 | - | - | 770 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 506 | 505 | - | 328 | 353 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 327 | 351 | - | 502 | 472 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 99 | 121 | 529 | 97 | 111 | 349 | 956 | - | - | 770 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 99 | 121 | - | 97 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 495 | 502 | - | 321 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 315 | 344 | - | 484 | 469 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 64.2 | 15.5 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| HCM LOS | F | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBEEBLntVBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 956 | - | - | 122 | 349 | 770 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.02 | - | -0.535 | 0.016 | 0.007 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.8 | - | - | 64.2 | 15.5 | 9.7 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | F | C | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 2.5 | 0 | 0 | - | - |

[^2]| Intersection |
| :--- | :--- |
| Int Delay, s/veh $\quad 3.3$ |


| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vol, veh/h | 20 | 58 | 185 | 23 | 112 |
| 141 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Maior/Minor | Minor1 | Maior1 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 611 | 214 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 214 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 397 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 457 | 826 | - | - | 1342 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 822 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 679 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - | 1342 | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 412 | 826 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 412 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 822 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 612 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.3 | 0 | 3.5 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |



[^3]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 142 | 376 | 369 | 36 | 52 | 105 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized |  | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow |  | 501 | 492 | 48 | 69 | 140 |
| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 540 | 0 | - | 0 | 1396 | 516 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 516 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 880 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1028 | - | - | - | 156 | 559 |
| Stage 1 | - |  | - | - | 599 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 406 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1028 | - | - | - | 116 | 559 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - |  | - | - | 116 | - |
| Stage 1 | - |  | - | - | 599 | - |
| Stage 2 | - |  | - | - | 302 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 2.5 | 0 | 67.3 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | F |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT WBRSBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1028 | - | - | -247 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.184 | - | - | -0.848 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.3 | 0 | - | -67.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.7 | - | - | - |
| F |  |  |  |  |

[^4]Intersection: 1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | R | L | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 199 | 211 | 154 | 129 | 137 | 149 | 390 | 314 | 175 | 131 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 125 | 126 | 77 | 32 | 124 | 135 | 144 | 63 | 77 | 56 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 188 | 199 | 135 | 82 | 162 | 168 | 353 | 177 | 146 | 114 |
| Link Distance ( ft$)$ | 983 | 983 |  |  |  |  | 395 | 395 | 459 | 459 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 400 | 400 | 125 | 125 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 11 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 5 | 39 | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps

| Movement |  | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | L | LTR | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 147 | 194 | 185 | 171 | 170 | 103 | 103 | 115 | 132 | 156 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 55 | 113 | 84 | 140 | 119 | 20 | 42 | 66 | 27 | 40 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 122 | 173 | 158 | 202 | 193 | 68 | 85 | 101 | 80 | 106 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1460 |  | 138 | 138 | 138 |  |  | 395 | 395 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 15 | 7 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuina Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 54 | 27 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 700 |  | 550 |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B24 | B24 | B24 | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | R | T | T |  | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 92 | 154 | 73 | 89 | 358 | 249 | 55 | 149 | 152 | 35 | 164 | 169 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 13 | 71 | 20 | 18 | 119 | 58 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 92 | 108 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 | 132 | 55 | 63 | 278 | 174 | 40 | 78 | 87 | 35 | 179 | 187 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 633 |  |  | 1949 | 1949 |  | 368 | 368 | 368 | 138 | 138 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 5 | 7 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 32 | 45 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 | 150 |  |  | 250 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 82 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 53 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |

## Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B25 | B25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | R | L | T | L | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 176 | 186 | 148 | 67 | 101 | 109 | 238 | 376 | 382 | 175 | 41 | 44 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 71 | 90 | 51 | 19 | 47 | 44 | 41 | 198 | 200 | 27 | 3 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 141 | 159 | 111 | 49 | 90 | 92 | 135 | 361 | 371 | 128 | 24 | 29 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1180 |  |  | 275 |  | 317 | 317 |  | 652 | 652 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 16 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 195 | 195 |  | 150 | 190 |  | 250 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 6 | 17 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | 3 | 10 | 0 |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB | B24 | B24 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 316 | 391 | 390 | 175 | 89 | 63 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 201 | 152 | 157 | 70 | 13 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 322 | 351 | 316 | 168 | 124 | 57 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 368 | 368 |  | 1949 | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 25 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 7 | 1 | 6 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 27 | 2 | 16 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B43 | B43 | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | T | TR | T | T | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 66 | 142 | 155 | 300 | 301 | 126 | 140 | 194 | 442 | 486 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 20 | 52 | 38 | 182 | 199 | 11 | 15 | 77 | 126 | 188 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 51 | 105 | 102 | 295 | 307 | 72 | 84 | 158 | 312 | 388 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 184 | 367 |  | 216 | 216 | 1592 | 1592 |  | 652 | 652 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 5 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 32 | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  | 175 |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 6 | 3 | 0 |

Intersection: 6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | L | T | T | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 104 | 192 | 230 | 95 | 120 | 132 | 154 | 159 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 37 | 46 | 46 | 38 | 54 | 57 | 87 | 92 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 81 | 116 | 161 | 78 | 101 | 105 | 136 | 142 | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1592 | 1592 |  |  | 2033 | 2033 |  | 451 | 451 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 275 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 0 | 500 |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB | B68 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 142 | 155 | 546 | 288 | 216 | 186 | 117 | 28 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 124 | 115 | 193 | 141 | 94 | 92 | 45 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 164 | 198 | 470 | 229 | 185 | 160 | 91 | 13 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 658 | 1506 |  | 127 | 127 | 419 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  | 7 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 | 130 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 29 | 22 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 50 | 37 | 5 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |

## Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 530

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 26.2 | 11.3 | 14.2 | 16.6 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 37.8 | 18.0 | 24.6 | 26.0 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 50.4 | 15.3 | 7.3 | 12.4 |

## 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 100.5 | 13.1 | 28.9 | 27.8 | 40.7 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 32.1 | 37.8 | 21.9 | 29.8 | 27.3 |

6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 18.0 | 5.2 | 10.9 | 12.2 |

10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 1.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 66.4 | 17.0 | 13.8 | 30.3 |

## Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 378.7 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 39.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBU | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | NBU | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 370 | 233 | 0 | 233 | 305 | 0 | 117 | 166 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 474 | 29 | 0 | 299 | 391 | 0 | 150 | 213 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opposing Approach | WB | EB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Left |  | NB | EB |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  | WB |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| HCM Control Delay | 61.5 | 23.4 | 21.5 |
| HCM LOS | F | C | C |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 WBLn1 WBLn2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $41 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, $\%$ | $59 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 283 | 603 | 233 | 305 |
| LT Vol | 117 | 0 | 233 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 370 | 0 | 305 |
| RT Vol | 166 | 233 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 363 | 773 | 299 | 391 |
| Geometry Grp | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.659 | 1 | 0.602 | 0.733 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.538 | 6.089 | 7.253 | 6.752 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 548 | 603 | 502 | 540 |
| Service Time | 4.632 | 4.104 | 4.953 | 4.452 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.662 | 1.282 | 0.596 | 0.724 |
| HCM Control Delay | 21.5 | 61.5 | 20.3 | 25.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | F | C | D |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 4.8 | 14.9 | 3.9 | 6.1 |

Diamond Springs \& El Dorado Area Mobility \& Livable Community Plan 5:00 pm 1/24/2005 Existing Symchitior\&Report AM Peak

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 8.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL WBT WBR |  |  | NBL |  | NBR | SBL | SBT SBR |  |
| Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 3 | 32 | 0 | 166 | 5 | 615 | 9 | 13 | 896 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None | - |  | None | - |  | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 190 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 0 | 3 | 35 | 0 | 180 | 5 | 668 | 10 | 14 | 974 | 0 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Minor1 |  |  |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1776 | 1691 | 974 | 1688 | 1686 | 673 | 974 | 0 | 0 | 678 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1002 | 1002 | - | 684 | 684 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 774 | 689 | - | 1004 | 1002 | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - |  | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 |  |  | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 64 | 93 | 306 | 74 | 94 | 455 | 708 | - | - | 914 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 292 | 320 | - | 439 | 449 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 391 | 446 | - | 291 | 320 | - |  | - |  | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 38 | 91 | 306 | 72 | 92 | 455 | 708 | - | - | 914 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 38 | 91 | - | 72 | 92 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 290 | 315 | - | 436 | 446 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 234 | 443 | - | 283 | 315 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 38.8 | 73.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBEBL_ntVBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 708 | - | -111 | 245 | 914 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.008 | - | -0.039 | 0.8780 .015 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.1 | - | -38.8 | 73.3 | 9 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | E | F | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0.1 | 7.3 | 0 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL NBT | SBT SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 37 | 11 | 8592 | 91219 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 00 | 0 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free Free | Free Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - None | - None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 1 - | - - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 9292 | 9292 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 22 | 22 |
| Mvmt Flow | 40 | 12 | 9643 | 99121 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Maior1 |  |  |  | Maior2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1663 | 1002 | 1012 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1002 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 661 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 107 | 294 | 685 | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 355 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 514 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 106 | 294 | 685 | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 237 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 355 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 507 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 23.3 | 0.1 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 685 | -248 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.013 | -0.21 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.3 | -23.3 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | C | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.8 | - | - |

[^5]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 28.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT EBR |  | WBL WBT WBR |  |  | NBL | NBT NBR |  | SBL | SBT SBR |  |
| Vol, veh/h | 114 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 11 | 481 | 5 | 5 | 835 | 83 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop |  | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None | - |  | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 124 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 12 | 523 | 5 | 5 | 908 | 90 |



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s $\$ 302.1$ | 11.6 | 0.2 | 0 |  |



[^6]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Vol, veh/h | 35 | 182 | 120 | 27 | 80 | 199 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None |  | None |  | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 38 | 198 | 130 | 29 | 87 |  |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Maior1 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 535 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 145 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 390 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Sta 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 506 | 902 | - | - | 1419 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 882 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 684 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - | 1419 | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 471 | 902 | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 471 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 882 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.5 | 0 | 2.2 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRVBL_n1 | SBL | SBT |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 786 | 1419 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.30 .061 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 11.5 | 7.7 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 1.3 | 0.2 | - |

[^7]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 91458 | 376 | 30 | 21 | 153 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | $75 \quad 75$ | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 22 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 121611 | 501 | 40 | 28 | 204 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 541 | 0 | - | 0 | 1374 | 521 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 521 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 853 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1028 | - | - | - | 160 | 555 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 596 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 418 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1028 | - | - | - | 132 | 555 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 132 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 596 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 344 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 1.5 | 0 | 25.7 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | D |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT WBRSBLn1 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1028 | - | - | -400 |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.118 | - | - | -0.58 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9 | 0 | - | -25.7 |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - | D |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.4 | - | - | - | 3.5 |

[^8]
## Intersection: 1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | R | L | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 248 | 250 | 204 | 170 | 135 | 146 | 191 | 128 | 276 | 245 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 147 | 157 | 102 | 45 | 88 | 103 | 64 | 56 | 150 | 121 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 222 | 228 | 171 | 116 | 153 | 149 | 133 | 107 | 255 | 214 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 983 | 983 |  |  |  |  | 395 | 395 | 459 | 459 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 400 | 400 | 125 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 285 | 352 | 372 | 172 | 173 | 91 | 160 | 174 | 394 | 395 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 117 | 191 | 192 | 155 | 141 | 17 | 94 | 123 | 147 | 168 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 243 | 307 | 316 | 183 | 190 | 58 | 157 | 185 | 331 | 336 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1460 |  | 138 | 138 | 138 |  |  | 395 | 395 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 26 | 14 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 90 | 50 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 2 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 700 |  | 50 |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 8 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 20 | 25 |  |

Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | B24 | B24 | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 46 | 130 | 86 | 174 | 355 | 233 | 224 | 273 | 166 | 189 | 123 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 7 | 60 | 31 | 43 | 147 | 74 | 8 | 12 | 131 | 135 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 29 | 110 | 67 | 119 | 304 | 179 | 97 | 125 | 191 | 192 | 76 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 633 |  |  | 1949 | 1949 | 368 | 368 | 138 | 138 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 13 | 15 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 115 | 138 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 | 150 |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 11 | 0 |  |  |  | 15 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0 |  |  |  | 11 | 1 |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B25 | B25 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | R | L | T | L | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 207 | 220 | 922 | 74 | 57 | 94 | 257 | 366 | 377 | 174 | 55 | 93 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 190 | 204 | 438 | 30 | 16 | 31 | 75 | 194 | 205 | 15 | 3 | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 240 | 248 | 1077 | 62 | 45 | 73 | 185 | 358 | 371 | 95 | 46 | 57 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1180 |  |  | 275 |  | 317 | 317 | 6 | 652 | 652 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 14 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 195 | 195 |  | 150 | 190 |  | 250 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 12 | 36 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 6 | 17 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 16 | 49 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 |  |  |

## Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB | B24 | B24 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 324 | 432 | 447 | 175 | 227 | 316 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 168 | 261 | 329 | 139 | 29 | 61 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 315 | 465 | 531 | 233 | 160 | 241 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 368 | 368 |  | 1949 | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 4 | 11 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 39 | 100 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 2 | 5 | 23 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 12 | 9 | 95 | 3 |  |  |

## Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B43 | B43 | SB | SB | SB | SB | B25 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | T | TR | T | T | L | T | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 154 | 242 | 172 | 289 | 282 | 82 | 85 | 198 | 569 | 600 | 117 | 84 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 57 | 113 | 81 | 166 | 178 | 4 | 5 | 69 | 236 | 307 | 7 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 118 | 201 | 162 | 265 | 271 | 45 | 48 | 159 | 517 | 586 | 96 | 53 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 184 | 367 |  | 216 | 216 | 1592 | 1592 |  | 652 | 652 | 317 |  |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  | 16 | 21 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  | 175 |  |  | 500 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 2 | 10 |  |  |  | 0 | 10 | 3 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 8 | 8 |  |  |  | 0 | 7 | 0 |  |  |

## Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

| Movement | B25 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 44 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 36 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 317 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | L | T | T | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 168 | 227 | 246 | 78 | 98 | 80 | 171 | 180 | 64 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 73 | 82 | 75 | 29 | 39 | 36 | 89 | 97 | 25 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 138 | 163 | 207 | 63 | 76 | 72 | 146 | 154 | 52 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1592 | 1592 |  |  | 2033 | 2033 |  | 451 | 451 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 250 | 500 |  |  | 275 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB | B68 | B68 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 142 | 154 | 608 | 261 | 224 | 208 | 153 | 224 | 10 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 121 | 115 | 307 | 137 | 50 | 172 | 62 | 51 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 166 | 208 | 696 | 221 | 129 | 233 | 118 | 173 | 3 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 658 | 1506 |  | 127 | 127 | 419 | 419 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 71 |  |  | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 | 130 |  |  |  | 200 | 11 | 2 | 0 |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 40 | 29 | 9 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 134 | 98 | 22 | 3 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

## Zone Summary

```
Zone wide Queuing Penalty: }130
```

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.2 | 16.7 | 10.8 | 16.7 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh (s) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 24.7 | 12.6 | 11.8 | 15.0 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 49.3 | 12.4 | 6.2 | 11.1 |

## 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 38.1 | 17.7 | 26.8 | 30.4 | 28.9 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.9 | 34.0 | 16.4 | 27.2 | 21.4 |

6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 14.2 | 8.5 | 10.9 | 11.3 |

10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 48.4 | 16.3 | 10.8 | 25.2 |

## Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.6 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 372.8 |

AM Peak $\quad$| SimTraffic Report |
| :---: |
| Page 1 |

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 51.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBU | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | NBU | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 286 | 98 | 0 | 149 | 334 | 0 | 252 | 252 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 367 | 126 | 0 | 191 | 428 | 0 | 323 | 323 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opposing Approach | WB | EB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | 0 | $N B$ | EB |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | NB | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | 1 |  | WB |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 50.9 | 37.8 | 2 |
| HCM Control Delay | F | E | 65.1 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | F |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $50 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $50 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 504 | 384 | 149 | 334 |
| LT Vol | 252 | 0 | 149 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 286 | 0 | 334 |
| RT Vol | 252 | 98 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 646 | 492 | 191 | 428 |
| Geometry Grp | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 1 | 0.934 | 0.427 | 0.899 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.775 | 6.827 | 8.055 | 7.555 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 543 | 527 | 449 | 484 |
| Service Time | 4.791 | 4.907 | 5.755 | 5.255 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.19 | 0.934 | 0.425 | 0.884 |
| HCM Control Delay | 65.1 | 50.9 | 16.6 | 47.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | F | C | E |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 14.1 | 11.5 | 2.1 | 10 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 4.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL WBT WBR |  |  | NBL NBT NBR |  |  | SBL SBT SBR |  |  |
| Vol, veh/h | 2 | 0 | 3 | 36 | 0 | 27 | 5 | 764 | 103 | 69 | 640 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None | - |  | None | - |  | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 190 | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 2 | 0 | 3 | 39 | 0 | 29 | 5 | 830 | 112 | 75 | 696 | 2 |



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 37.6 | 105.3 | 0.1 | 1 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBEBL_nIVBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 898 | - | -116 | 96 | 728 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.006 | - | -0.047 | 0.7130 .103 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9 | - | - | -37.6 | 105.3 | 10.5 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | E | F | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0.1 | 3.6 | 0.3 | - | - |


| Intersection |
| :--- |
| Int Delay, $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{veh}$ |


| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol, veh/h | 34 | 14 | 39 | 838 | 568 | 111 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free Free | Free Free |  |  |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - None | - None |  |  |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 37 | 15 | 42 | 911 | 617 | 121 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Maior1 |  |  |  | Maior2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1674 | 678 | 738 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 678 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 996 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 105 | 452 | 868 | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 504 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 357 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 100 | 452 | 868 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 229 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 504 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 340 | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 21.7 | 0.4 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 868 | -267 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.049 | -0.195 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.4 | -21.7 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | C | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.2 | - | 0.7 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 48 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 823 | 8 | 5 | 455 | 122 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 52 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 895 | 9 | 5 | 495 | 133 |



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 72.1 | 15.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| HCM LOS | F | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt NBL_ NBT NBEEBL n WBL 1 1 SBL SBT SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 955 | - | - | 117 | 338 | 753 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.023 | - |  | 0.585 | . 016 | . 007 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.9 | - | - | 72.1 | 15.8 | 9.8 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | F | C | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - |  | 2.9 | 0 | 0 | - | - |
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| Intersection |
| :--- | :--- |
| Int Delay, s/veh $\quad 3.3$ |


| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SBT |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vol, veh/h | 22 | 58 | 185 | 26 | 113 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Major1 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 614 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 215 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 399 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 455 | 825 | - | - | 1339 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 821 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 678 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - | 1339 | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 410 | 825 | - | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 410 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 821 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.4 | 0 | 3.5 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRVBL_n1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -645 | 1339 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.1350 .092 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -11.4 | 8 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A |
| HCM | A |  |  |  |
| H5th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.5 | 0.3 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL |  | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 145 | 382 | 369 | 36 | 52 | 107 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized |  | None |  | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 193 | 509 | 492 | 48 | 69 | 143 |


| Major/Minor | Maior1 |  | Maior2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 540 | 0 | - | 0 | 1412 | 516 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 516 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 896 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1028 | - | - | - | 152 | 559 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 599 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 399 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1028 | - | - | - | 112 | 559 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 112 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 599 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 294 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 2.6 | 0 | 73.5 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | F |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT WBRSBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1028 | - | - | -242 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.188 | - | - | -0.876 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.3 | 0 | - | -73.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.7 | - | - | - |
| F |  |  |  |  |
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## Intersection: 1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps

|  | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | L | LT | R | R | L | L | T | T | T | T |
| Directions Served | 213 | 214 | 163 | 126 | 137 | 149 | 382 | 267 | 173 | 152 |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 126 | 127 | 77 | 32 | 120 | 133 | 135 | 59 | 84 | 62 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 193 | 194 | 133 | 81 | 164 | 170 | 339 | 159 | 151 | 125 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 983 | 983 |  |  |  |  | 395 | 395 | 459 | 459 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 400 | 400 | 125 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  | 1 | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 4 | 37 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 163 | 212 | 202 | 173 | 168 | 100 | 107 | 115 | 165 | 184 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 58 | 118 | 98 | 144 | 125 | 21 | 42 | 66 | 36 | 49 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 127 | 184 | 174 | 197 | 193 | 68 | 88 | 102 | 107 | 131 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1460 |  | 138 | 138 | 138 |  |  | 395 | 395 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 16 | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuina Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 58 | 31 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 700 |  | 550 |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | B24 | B24 | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 80 | 151 | 60 | 110 | 330 | 211 | 243 | 298 | 157 | 172 | 108 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 12 | 70 | 21 | 19 | 125 | 57 | 10 | 12 | 103 | 118 | 7 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 46 | 130 | 49 | 72 | 273 | 150 | 105 | 119 | 183 | 189 | 55 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 633 |  |  | 1949 | 1949 | 368 | 368 | 138 | 138 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 38 | 57 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 | 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 6 | 0 |  |  |  | 9 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | 4 | 0 |

## Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 169 | 176 | 126 | 53 | 109 | 113 | 19 | 219 | 384 | 383 | 175 | 74 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 70 | 94 | 49 | 18 | 47 | 42 | 1 | 40 | 203 | 209 | 36 | 3 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 138 | 158 | 104 | 44 | 93 | 88 | 19 | 128 | 362 | 379 | 149 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1180 |  |  | 275 |  |  | 317 | 317 |  | 652 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 18 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 195 | 195 |  | 150 | 190 |  | 175 | 250 |  |  | 150 |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 17 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 10 | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | B25 | SB | SB | SB | SB | B24 | B24 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | L | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 85 | 324 | 415 | 397 | 175 | 60 | 63 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 5 | 230 | 201 | 185 | 80 | 7 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 40 | 354 | 426 | 360 | 187 | 52 | 42 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 652 |  | 368 | 368 |  | 1949 | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 31 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 300 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 11 | 2 | 9 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 46 | 5 | 23 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B43 | B43 | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| irections Served | LTR | LTR | L | T | TR | T | T | L | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 70 | 154 | 158 | 294 | 292 | 107 | 124 | 188 | 477 | 520 | 17 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 22 | 52 | 40 | 177 | 191 | 7 | 10 | 71 | 141 | 226 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 52 | 108 | 111 | 288 | 297 | 48 | 61 | 145 | 347 | 446 | 12 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 184 | 367 |  | 216 | 216 | 1592 | 1592 |  | 652 | 652 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 4 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 25 | 37 |  |  | 175 |  |  | 500 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 4 | 1 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 2 | 4 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | B43 | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | T | L | T | T | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 93 | 193 | 257 | 7 | 110 | 128 | 137 | 172 | 181 | 32 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 36 | 46 | 78 | 0 | 46 | 55 | 58 | 89 | 96 | 8 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 76 | 123 | 214 | 5 | 91 | 104 | 107 | 144 | 155 | 28 |
| Link Distance ( ft$)$ | 1592 | 1592 |  | 216 |  | 2033 | 2033 |  | 451 | 451 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 250 |  | 500 |  |  | 275 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB | B68 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 142 | 154 | 599 | 306 | 224 | 186 | 128 | 23 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 123 | 111 | 224 | 142 | 99 | 93 | 46 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 162 | 203 | 580 | 234 | 193 | 162 | 98 | 12 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 658 | 1506 |  | 127 | 127 | 419 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 16 |  |  | 8 | 1 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 | 130 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 31 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 53 | 41 | 7 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |

## Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 599

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 29.4 | 11.4 | 14.5 | 17.7 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 36.4 | 18.1 | 26.1 | 26.2 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 54.5 | 14.9 | 7.2 | 12.3 |

## 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 83.7 | 12.5 | 26.6 | 25.8 | 35.9 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 33.5 | 42.7 | 24.2 | 33.5 | 30.4 |

6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 18.6 | 5.2 | 11.1 | 12.6 |

10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 1.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 75.5 | 17.7 | 15.1 | 33.4 |

## Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 389.2 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 39.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBU | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | NBU | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 372 | 233 | 0 | 238 | 309 | 0 | 117 | 168 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 477 | 299 | 0 | 305 | 396 | 0 | 150 | 215 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opposing Approach | WB | EB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Left |  | NB | EB |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  | WB |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| HCM Control Delay | 61.6 | 24.1 | 21.8 |
| HCM LOS | F | C | C |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 WBLn1 WBLn2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $41 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, $\%$ | $59 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 285 | 605 | 238 | 309 |
| LT Vol | 117 | 0 | 238 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 372 | 0 | 309 |
| RT Vol | 168 | 233 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 365 | 776 | 305 | 396 |
| Geometry Grp | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.664 | 1 | 0.616 | 0.744 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.546 | 6.111 | 7.266 | 6.765 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 548 | 597 | 500 | 539 |
| Service Time | 4.639 | 4.126 | 4.966 | 4.465 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.666 | 1.3 | 0.61 | 0.735 |
| HCM Control Delay | 21.8 | 61.6 | 20.9 | 26.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | F | C | D |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 4.9 | 14.8 | 4.1 | 6.4 |

Diamond Springs \& El Dorado Area Mobility \& Livable Community Plan 5:00 pm 1/24/2005 Existing Symchitior\&Report AM Peak

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 11.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL EBT EBR |  |  | WBL WBT WBR |  |  | NBL NBT NBR |  |  | SBL SBT SBR |  |  |
| Vol, veh/h | 1 | 0 | 3 | 32 | 0 | 166 | 5 | 680 | 9 | 13 | 912 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None | - |  | None | - |  | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - |  | - | 1 | - | - | 190 | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 0 | 3 | 35 | 0 | 180 | 5 | 739 | 10 | 14 | 991 | 0 |


| $\underline{\text { Major/Minor }}$ | Minor2 |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1865 | 1780 | 991 | 1776 | 1775 | 744 | 991 | 0 | 0 | 749 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1020 | 1020 | - | 755 | 755 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 845 | 760 | - | 1021 | 1020 | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 56 | 82 | 299 | 64 | 83 | 415 | 698 | - | - | 860 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 285 | 314 | - | 401 | 417 | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 357 | 414 | - | 285 | 314 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 31 | 80 | 299 | 62 | 81 | 415 | 698 | - | - | 860 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 31 | 80 | - | 62 | 81 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 283 | 309 | - | 398 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 200 | 411 | - | 277 | 309 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 44.7 | 107.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBEBLnIVBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 698 | - | - | 95 | 216 | 860 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.008 | - | -0.046 | 0.996 | 0.016 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.2 | - | - | 44.7 | 107.3 | 9.3 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | E | F | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0.1 | 8.9 | 0.1 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.4 |


| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol, veh/h | 102 | 38 | 10 | 592 | 912 | 35 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free Free | Free Free |  |  |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - None |  |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 111 | 41 | 11 | 643 | 991 | 38 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 40.4 | 0.2 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | E |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 675 | -247 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.016 | -0.616 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.4 | -40.4 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | E | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 3.7 | - | - |

## Notes

~: Volume exceeds capacity $\quad \$$ : Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+$ : Computation Not Defined $\quad$ : All major volume in platoon

[^11]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 32.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT EBR |  | WBL WBT WBR |  |  | NBL | NBT NBR |  | SBL SBT SBR |  |  |
| Vol, veh/h | 115 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 483 | 5 | 5 | 859 | 85 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None | - |  | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 125 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 15 | 525 | 5 | 5 | 934 | 92 |


| $\underline{\text { Major/Minor }}$ | Minor2 |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1552 | 1552 | 980 | 1566 | 1595 | 528 | 1026 | 0 | 0 | 530 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 991 | 991 | - | 558 | 558 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 561 | 561 | - | 1008 | 1037 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | . 018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | ~92 | 113 | 303 | 90 | 107 | 550 | 677 | - | - | 1037 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 296 | 324 | - | 514 | 512 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 512 | 510 | - | 290 | 308 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | ~ 89 | 110 | 303 | 78 | 104 | 550 | 677 | - | - | 1037 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | ~ 89 | 110 | - | 78 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 289 | 322 | - | 503 | 501 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 496 | 499 | - | 255 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s $\$ 349.7$ | 11.6 | 0.3 | 0 |  |



[^12]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Vol, veh/h | 37 | 183 | 120 | 31 | 80 | 199 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None |  | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 40 | 199 | 130 | 34 | 87 | 216 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 | Maior1 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 537 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 147 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 390 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Sta 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 505 | 900 | - | - | 1414 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 880 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 684 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - | 1414 | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 470 | 900 | - | - | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 470 | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 880 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 636 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.6 | 0 | 2.2 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRVBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -780 | 1414 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.3070 .061 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 11.6 | 7.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | 0 |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | B | A |
| A | A |  |  |  |

[^13]| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 95 | 458 | 382 | 30 | 21 | 155 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 127 | 611 | 509 | 40 | 28 | 207 |
| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 549 | 0 | - | 0 | 1393 | 529 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 529 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 864 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1021 | - | - | - | 156 | 550 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 591 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 413 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1021 | - | - | - | 127 | 550 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 127 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 591 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 335 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 1.6 | 0 | 26.7 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | D |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL_EBT WBTWBRSBLn1 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1021 | - | - | -394 |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.124 | - | - | -0.596 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9 | 0 | - | -26.7 |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - | D |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.4 | - | - | - | 3.7 |

[^14]
## Intersection: 1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | R | L | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 299 | 314 | 205 | 146 | 135 | 146 | 212 | 117 | 323 | 267 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 162 | 173 | 99 | 43 | 92 | 106 | 63 | 54 | 152 | 127 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 269 | 280 | 174 | 104 | 155 | 152 | 142 | 100 | 261 | 224 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 983 | 983 |  |  |  |  | 395 | 395 | 459 | 459 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 | 0 | 400 | 125 | 125 |  |  | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 293 | 349 | 333 | 174 | 179 | 95 | 160 | 174 | 408 | 407 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 107 | 187 | 188 | 155 | 140 | 18 | 98 | 126 | 169 | 185 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 228 | 302 | 306 | 189 | 191 | 61 | 163 | 189 | 354 | 356 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1460 |  | 138 | 138 | 138 |  |  | 395 | 395 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 26 | 14 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 95 | 49 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 3 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 700 |  | 550 |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 4 | 10 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 24 | 33 |  |

Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B24 | B24 | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | R | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 40 | 151 | 94 | 155 | 342 | 241 | 28 | 78 | 230 | 161 | 179 | 123 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 64 | 32 | 44 | 145 | 65 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 132 | 139 | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 27 | 126 | 70 | 113 | 291 | 162 | 28 | 53 | 122 | 190 | 189 | 76 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 633 |  |  | 1949 | 1949 |  | 368 | 368 | 138 | 138 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 13 | 15 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 118 | 140 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 | 150 |  |  | 250 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 10 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 15 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  | 4 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 11 | 1 |

## Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | R | L | T | R | L | T | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 207 | 220 | 920 | 96 | 57 | 96 | 20 | 242 | 372 | 379 | 140 | 25 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 186 | 200 | 351 | 33 | 14 | 30 | 1 | 67 | 185 | 192 | 17 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 241 | 251 | 901 | 70 | 43 | 73 | 20 | 174 | 354 | 365 | 100 | 14 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1180 |  |  | 275 |  |  | 317 | 317 |  | 652 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 8 | 12 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 195 | 195 |  | 150 | 190 |  | 175 | 250 |  |  | 150 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 10 | 32 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 6 | 16 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 13 | 44 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 5 | 4 | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | B25 | SB | SB | SB | SB | B24 | B24 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | L | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 38 | 319 | 439 | 455 | 175 | 299 | 396 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 2 | 164 | 256 | 325 | 134 | 33 | 64 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 18 | 297 | 453 | 522 | 233 | 174 | 256 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 652 |  | 368 | 368 |  | 1949 | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 3 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 29 | 95 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 300 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 5 | 22 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 | 9 | 92 | 2 |  |  |

## Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B43 | B43 | SB | SB | SB | SB | B25 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | T | TR | T | T | L | T | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 135 | 258 | 174 | 286 | 289 | 101 | 122 | 200 | 635 | 669 | 119 | 3 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 56 | 122 | 86 | 181 | 185 | 10 | 9 | 77 | 265 | 345 | 5 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 107 | 217 | 172 | 288 | 289 | 62 | 59 | 171 | 563 | 640 | 79 | 4 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 184 | 367 |  | 216 | 216 | 1592 | 1592 |  | 652 | 652 |  | 317 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 7 | 6 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 36 | 31 |  |  |  | 1 | 5 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  | 175 |  |  | 500 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 6 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 | 13 | 5 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 27 | 9 |  |  |  | 1 | 9 | 0 |  |  |

## Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

| Movement | B25 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 141 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 75 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 317 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | B43 | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | T | L | T | T | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 167 | 248 | 255 | 4 | 72 | 78 | 84 | 181 | 198 | 66 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 72 | 86 | 81 | 0 | 29 | 35 | 38 | 92 | 101 | 29 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 135 | 180 | 225 | 4 | 62 | 69 | 71 | 152 | 164 | 56 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1592 | 1592 |  | 216 |  | 2033 | 2033 |  | 451 | 451 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $l$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB | B68 | B68 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 142 | 155 | 637 | 296 | 216 | 218 | 152 | 271 | 4 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 126 | 122 | 337 | 148 | 60 | 177 | 63 | 76 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 165 | 206 | 742 | 241 | 159 | 238 | 121 | 216 | 4 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 658 | 1506 |  | 127 | 127 | 419 | 419 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 9 |  |  | 31 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 58 |  |  | 143 | 3 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 | 130 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 47 | 35 | 8 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 157 | 115 | 19 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

## Zone Summary

## Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 1432

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.9 | 20.2 | 11.5 | 18.6 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.6 | 16.1 | 12.9 | 16.9 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 41.3 | 19.1 | 4.9 | 13.1 |

4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 41.2 | 21.8 | 26.6 | 32.1 | 30.5 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 26.9 | 38.8 | 17.4 | 29.5 | 23.0 |

6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 15.8 | 10.5 | 13.6 | 13.4 |

10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 13.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 4.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 114.0 | 17.3 | 9.3 | 45.7 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 4.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 511.7 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 61.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBU | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | NBU | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 320 | 110 | 0 | 155 | 380 | 0 | 280 | 255 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 410 | 141 | 0 | 199 | 487 | 0 | 359 | 327 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opposing Approach | WB | EB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Left |  | NB | EB |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  | WB |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| HCM Control Delay | 66 | 54 | 65.4 |
| HCM LOS | F | F | F |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $52 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $48 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 535 | 430 | 155 | 380 |
| LT Vol | 280 | 0 | 155 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 320 | 0 | 380 |
| RT Vol | 255 | 110 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 686 | 551 | 199 | 487 |
| Geometry Grp | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 1 | 1 | 0.449 | 1 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.847 | 6.975 | 8.131 | 7.631 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 535 | 524 | 445 | 477 |
| Service Time | 4.847 | 4.975 | 5.831 | 5.331 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.282 | 1.052 | 0.447 | 1.021 |
| HCM Control Delay | 65.4 | 66 | 17.3 | 68.9 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | F | C | F |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 14 | 13.9 | 2.3 | 13.3 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR |  | WBL | WBT | WBR |  | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 40 | 0 | 30 |  | 5 | 845 | 115 | 80 | 640 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |  | - | - | None |  | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | 1 | - | - | 190 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 |  | 92 | 92 | 92 |  | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 43 | 0 | 33 |  | 5 | 918 | 125 | 87 | 696 | 0 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1878 | 1924 | 696 |  | 1864 | 1862 | 981 |  | 696 | 0 | 0 | 1043 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 870 | 870 | - |  | 992 | 992 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1008 | 1054 | - |  | 872 | 870 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 54 | 67 | 442 |  | 56 | 73 | 303 |  | 900 | - | - | 667 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 346 | 369 | - |  | 296 | 324 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 290 | 303 | - |  | 345 | 369 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 43 | 58 | 442 |  | 50 | 63 | 303 |  | 900 | - | - | 667 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 43 | 58 | - |  | 50 | 63 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 344 | 321 | - |  | 294 | 322 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 257 | 301 | - |  | 296 | 321 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.2 |  |  |  | 188.1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1.2 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 900 | - | - | 442 | 78 | 667 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.006 | - | - | 0.012 | 0.975 | 0.13 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9 | - | - | 13.2 | 188.1 | 11.2 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | B | F | B | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0 | 5.2 | 0.4 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR |  | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 60 | 0 | 15 |  | 0 | 0 | 5 | 20 | 935 | 10 | 5 | 410 | 115 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |  | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 |  | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 65 | 0 | 16 |  | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 | 1016 | 11 | 5 | 446 | 125 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1587 | 1590 | 508 |  | 1592 | 1647 | 1022 | 571 | 0 | 0 | 1027 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 519 | 519 | - |  | 1065 | 1065 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1068 | 1071 | - |  | 527 | 582 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 87 | 108 | 565 |  | 87 | 99 | 287 | 1002 | - | - | 676 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 540 | 533 | - |  | 269 | 299 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 268 | 297 | - |  | 535 | 499 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 83 | 105 | 565 |  | 83 | 96 | 287 | 1002 | - | - | 676 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 83 | 105 | - |  | 83 | 96 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 528 | 529 | - |  | 263 | 292 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 257 | 290 | - |  | 516 | 495 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 121.6 |  |  |  | 17.8 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1002 | - | - | 100 | 287 | 676 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.022 | - | - | 0.815 | 0.019 | 0.008 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.7 | - | - | 121.6 | 17.8 | 10.4 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | F | C | B | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 4.5 | 0.1 | 0 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |



Intersection: 1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | R | L | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 219 | 218 | 166 | 119 | 137 | 149 | 408 | 384 | 195 | 157 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 123 | 120 | 84 | 32 | 131 | 141 | 220 | 108 | 91 | 65 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 188 | 187 | 144 | 81 | 150 | 163 | 438 | 281 | 165 | 131 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 983 | 983 |  |  |  |  | 395 | 395 | 459 | 459 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 400 | 400 | 125 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 2 | 16 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 7 | 66 | 2 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps

|  | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | L | LTR | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Directions Served | 190 | 232 | 229 | 173 | 167 | 60 | 125 | 128 | 132 | 154 |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 78 | 134 | 111 | 156 | 137 | 8 | 49 | 72 | 30 | 40 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 153 | 205 | 192 | 185 | 191 | 36 | 94 | 109 | 87 | 107 |
| 95th Queue (ft) |  | 1460 |  | 138 | 138 | 138 |  |  | 395 | 395 |
| Link Distance ( ft ) |  |  |  | 28 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 110 | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 550 |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 700 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B24 | B24 | SB | SB | SB |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | R | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 107 | 57 | 164 | 507 | 358 | 110 | 143 | 202 | 157 | 166 | 28 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 37 | 22 | 21 | 224 | 87 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 90 | 104 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 88 | 50 | 88 | 468 | 248 | 57 | 93 | 137 | 178 | 190 | 20 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 633 |  |  | 1949 | 1949 |  | 368 | 368 | 138 | 138 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 29 | 46 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 200 | 150 |  |  | 250 |  |  |  | 7 | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 20 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B25 | B25 | SB | SB | SB | SB | B24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | R | L | T | L | T | T | R | T | T | L | T | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 192 | 197 | 154 | 65 | 110 | 121 | 259 | 386 | 395 | 175 | 106 | 110 | 324 | 439 | 419 | 175 | 116 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 93 | 108 | 59 | 21 | 49 | 50 | 62 | 218 | 221 | 35 | 6 | 7 | 238 | 229 | 206 | 101 | 14 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 169 | 178 | 123 | 54 | 93 | 102 | 183 | 390 | 400 | 147 | 47 | 49 | 364 | 450 | 386 | 211 | 92 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1180 |  |  | 275 |  | 317 | 317 |  | 652 | 652 |  | 368 | 368 |  | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 9 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 27 |  |  |  |  | 62 | 9 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 195 | 195 |  | 150 | 190 |  | 250 |  |  | 150 |  |  | 300 |  |  | 150 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 18 | 0 |  |  | 15 | 3 | 11 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 11 | 0 |  |  | 64 | 7 | 34 | 1 |  |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | B24 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 50 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 36 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B43 | B43 | SB | SB | SB | SB | B25 |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | T | TR | T | T | L | T | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 81 | 150 | 166 | 297 | 298 | 193 | 209 | 194 | 458 | 538 | 119 | 7 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 25 | 70 | 43 | 203 | 217 | 23 | 26 | 86 | 167 | 242 | 6 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 61 | 130 | 121 | 315 | 324 | 112 | 116 | 172 | 375 | 468 | 79 | 7 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 184 | 367 |  | 216 | 216 | 1592 | 1592 |  | 652 | 652 |  | 317 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 8 | 10 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 56 | 74 |  |  | 175 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 6 | 1 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  | 7 | 6 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | EB | EB | EB | B43 | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | T | L | T | T | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 109 | 279 | 262 | 7 | 141 | 145 | 159 | 191 | 198 | 30 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 45 | 61 | 92 | 0 | 53 | 65 | 65 | 113 | 116 | 9 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 91 | 163 | 234 | 5 | 105 | 123 | 125 | 176 | 180 | 28 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1592 | 1592 |  | 216 |  | 2033 | 2033 |  | 451 | 451 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 250 |  | 500 |  |  | 275 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd

|  | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB | B68 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | L | L | T | T | R | L | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 142 | 155 | 676 | 339 | 224 | 158 | 96 | 4 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 138 | 145 | 522 | 159 | 115 | 72 | 36 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 154 | 190 | 876 | 277 | 216 | 131 | 76 | 4 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 658 | 1506 |  | 127 | 127 | 419 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 21 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 132 |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 | 130 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 60 | 50 | 4 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 130 | 107 | 15 | 14 | 1 |  |  |  |

Zone Summary
Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 1125

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

|  | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathbf{s})$ | 32.6 | 10.8 | 15.6 | 18.8 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 37.0 | 19.1 | 23.3 | 25.6 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 47.9 | 16.3 | 6.1 | 11.5 |

4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 113.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 21.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 191.9 | 15.8 | 33.0 | 33.1 | 61.1 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 36.2 | 45.1 | 25.3 | 35.9 | 32.2 |

6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 20.6 | 6.8 | 12.8 | 14.7 |

10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 41.0 | 14.2 | 9.7 | 20.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Zone Performance |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 44.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBU | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | NBU | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 415 | 260 | 0 | 255 | 345 | 0 | 135 | 185 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 532 | 333 | 0 | 327 | 442 | 0 | 173 | 237 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opposing Approach | WB | EB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | 0 | EB | 1 |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | NB | 1 | WB |
| Conflicting Approach Right | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 63.1 | 33 | 27.5 |
| HCM Control Delay | F | D | D |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $42 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $58 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 320 | 675 | 255 | 345 |
| LT Vol | 135 | 0 | 255 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 415 | 0 | 345 |
| RT Vol | 185 | 260 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 410 | 865 | 327 | 442 |
| Geometry Grp | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.755 | 1 | 0.681 | 0.861 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.626 | 6.393 | 7.504 | 7.004 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 542 | 571 | 484 | 522 |
| Service Time | 4.714 | 4.405 | 5.204 | 4.704 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.756 | 1.515 | 0.676 | 0.847 |
| HCM Control Delay | 27.5 | 63.1 | 24.7 | 39.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS | D | F | C | E |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 6.6 | 14.5 | 5.1 | 9.2 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 19.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR |  | WBL | WBT | WBR |  | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 35 | 0 | 180 |  | 5 | 665 | 10 | 15 | 1015 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |  | - | - | None |  | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | 1 | - | - | 190 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 |  | 92 | 92 | 92 |  | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 38 | 0 | 196 |  | 5 | 723 | 11 | 16 | 1103 | 0 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1973 | 1881 | 1103 |  | 1878 | 1875 | 728 |  | 1103 | 0 | 0 | 734 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1136 | 1136 | - |  | 739 | 739 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 837 | 745 | - |  | 1139 | 1136 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 47 | 71 | 257 |  | 54 | 72 | 423 |  | 633 | - | - | 871 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 246 | 277 | - |  | 409 | 424 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 361 | 421 | - |  | 245 | 277 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 25 | 69 | 257 |  | 52 | 70 | 423 |  | 633 | - | - | 871 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 25 | 69 | - |  | 52 | 70 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 244 | 272 | - |  | 406 | 421 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 192 | 418 | - |  | 235 | 272 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 19.3 |  |  |  | 174.9 |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 633 | - | - | 257 | 196 | 871 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.009 | - | - | 0.021 | 1.192 | 0.019 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.7 | - | - | 19.3 | 174.9 | 9.2 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | C | F | A | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0.1 | 12 | 0.1 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |






Intersection: 1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | R | L | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 333 | 347 | 256 | 177 | 134 | 144 | 174 | 122 | 298 | 268 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 178 | 187 | 121 | 48 | 77 | 94 | 66 | 59 | 161 | 138 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 313 | 325 | 234 | 125 | 146 | 141 | 129 | 109 | 260 | 227 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 983 | 983 |  |  |  |  | 395 | 395 | 459 | 459 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 400 | 400 | 125 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 5 | 0 |  | 1 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 309 | 374 | 373 | 173 | 178 | 30 | 161 | 174 | 369 | 377 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 123 | 200 | 201 | 156 | 148 | 4 | 98 | 125 | 148 | 163 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 262 | 331 | 333 | 182 | 187 | 20 | 164 | 188 | 332 | 338 |
| Link Distance ( ft$)$ |  | 1460 |  | 138 | 138 | 138 |  |  | 395 | 395 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 28 | 20 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 107 | 77 |  |  |  | 3 | 3 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 700 |  | 550 |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 3 | 8 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 21 | 25 |  |

Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B24 | B24 | SB | SB | SB |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | R | T | T | T | T | R |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 79 | 75 | 174 | 403 | 289 | 82 | 180 | 276 | 167 | 190 | 54 |  |
| Average Queue (ft) | 27 | 26 | 37 | 154 | 89 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 116 | 126 | 2 |  |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 64 | 60 | 109 | 322 | 224 | 71 | 86 | 134 | 198 | 207 | 32 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 633 |  |  | 1949 | 1949 |  | 368 | 368 | 138 | 138 |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 90 | 115 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 200 | 150 |  |  | 250 |  |  |  | 12 | 200 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 12 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 4 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B25 | B25 | SB | SB | SB | SB | B24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | R | L | T | L | T | T | R | T | T | L | T | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 207 | 220 | 1226 | 81 | 57 | 94 | 274 | 396 | 395 | 160 | 127 | 130 | 325 | 454 | 471 | 175 | 804 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 203 | 218 | 993 | 32 | 16 | 34 | 114 | 227 | 232 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 187 | 334 | 395 | 154 | 212 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 223 | 227 | 1504 | 67 | 46 | 77 | 261 | 415 | 422 | 82 | 72 | 77 | 343 | 516 | 542 | 225 | 728 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1180 |  |  | 275 |  | 317 | 317 |  | 652 | 652 |  | 368 | 368 |  | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 10 | 24 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 33 | 36 |  |  |  |  | 104 | 242 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 195 | 195 |  | 150 | 190 |  | 250 |  |  | 150 |  |  | 300 |  |  | 150 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 18 | 60 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 10 | 21 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 12 | 31 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 29 | 97 |  |  |  | 0 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 0 |  |  | 7 | 21 | 145 | 8 |  |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | B24 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 920 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 294 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 871 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B43 | B43 | SB | SB | SB | SB | B25 | B25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | T | TR | T | T | L | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 155 | 271 | 174 | 292 | 294 | 134 | 141 | 200 | 656 | 687 | 374 | 126 | 246 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 66 | 143 | 101 | 199 | 204 | 14 | 15 | 73 | 312 | 379 | 19 | 5 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 130 | 240 | 185 | 309 | 311 | 78 | 83 | 165 | 654 | 710 | 171 | 65 | 100 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 184 | 367 |  | 216 | 216 | 1592 | 1592 |  | 652 | 652 |  | 317 | 317 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  | 9 | 9 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  | 50 | 52 |  |  |  | 5 | 17 |  | 0 | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  | 175 |  |  | 500 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 6 | 15 |  |  |  | 0 | 14 | 8 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 29 | 13 |  |  |  | 2 | , | 1 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EB | EB | EB | B43 | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | T | L | T | T | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 168 | 379 | 274 | 32 | 106 | 113 | 111 | 198 | 204 | 69 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 81 | 111 | 117 | 1 | 38 | 47 | 47 | 101 | 111 | 27 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 146 | 249 | 271 | 30 | 76 | 91 | 89 | 163 | 177 | 53 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1592 | 1592 |  | 216 |  | 2033 | 2033 |  | 451 | 451 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 275 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 250 |  | 500 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB | B68 | B68 |  |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | R | T | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 142 | 154 | 554 | 247 | 170 | 200 | 157 | 84 | 10 |  |
| Average Queue (ft) | 112 | 93 | 199 | 118 | 41 | 136 | 64 | 8 | 0 |  |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 159 | 197 | 490 | 199 | 109 | 205 | 121 | 51 | 7 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 658 | 1506 |  | 127 | 127 | 419 | 419 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 2 |  |  | 9 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 13 |  |  | 42 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 | 130 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 21 | 13 | 7 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 84 | 53 | 18 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |

Zone Summary
Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 1606

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

|  | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.3 | 18.9 | 12.0 | 18.3 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 24.4 | 16.3 | 13.2 | 17.2 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 47.3 | 19.3 | 4.8 | 13.3 |

4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 43.7 | 21.1 | 28.5 | 32.4 | 31.5 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 28.8 | 38.9 | 18.3 | 30.4 | 24.0 |

6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 17.4 | 11.1 | 13.4 | 14.2 |

## 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 28.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 8.9 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 125.9 | 17.9 | 9.1 | 48.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Zone Performance |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 61.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBU | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | NBU | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 325 | 110 | 0 | 156 | 381 | 0 | 280 | 260 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 417 | 141 | 0 | 200 | 488 | 0 | 359 | 333 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opposing Approach | WB | EB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | 0 | NB | EB |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 | WB |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 66 | 53.9 | 65.3 |
| HCM Control Delay | F | F | F |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $52 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $48 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 540 | 435 | 156 | 381 |
| LT Vol | 280 | 0 | 156 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 325 | 0 | 381 |
| RT Vol | 260 | 110 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 692 | 558 | 200 | 488 |
| Geometry Grp | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 1 | 1 | 0.452 | 1 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.843 | 6.977 | 8.131 | 7.631 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 533 | 524 | 446 | 479 |
| Service Time | 4.843 | 4.977 | 5.831 | 5.331 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.298 | 1.065 | 0.448 | 1.019 |
| HCM Control Delay | 65.3 | 66 | 17.4 | 68.9 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | F | C | F |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 14 | 13.9 | 2.3 | 13.3 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 7.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR |  | WBL | WBT | WBR |  | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 62 | 0 | 16 |  | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 22 | 959 | 10 | 5 | 412 | 115 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |  | - | - | None |  | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 |  | 92 | 92 | 92 |  | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 67 | 0 | 17 |  | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 24 | 1042 | 11 | 5 | 448 | 125 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1619 | 1622 | 510 |  | 1626 | 1680 | 1048 |  | 573 | 0 | 0 | 1053 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 521 | 521 | - |  | 1096 | 1096 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 1098 | 1101 | - |  | 530 | 584 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 83 | 103 | 563 |  | 82 | 95 | 277 |  | 1000 | - | - | 661 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 539 | 532 | - |  | 259 | 289 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 258 | 288 | - |  | 533 | 498 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 79 | 100 | 563 |  | 78 | 92 | 277 |  | 1000 | - | - | 661 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 79 | 100 | - |  | 78 | 92 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 526 | 528 | - |  | 253 | 282 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 247 | 281 | - |  | 513 | 494 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 141.1 |  |  |  | 18.3 |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1000 | - | - | 96 | 277 | 661 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.024 | - | - | 0.883 | 0.02 | 0.008 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.7 | - | - | 141.1 | 18.3 | 10.5 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | F | C | B | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 5 | 0.1 | 0 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |



Intersection: 1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | R | L | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 211 | 222 | 169 | 135 | 137 | 149 | 404 | 373 | 202 | 160 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 126 | 130 | 85 | 37 | 128 | 140 | 200 | 94 | 94 | 68 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 193 | 199 | 150 | 97 | 157 | 166 | 417 | 245 | 172 | 133 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 983 | 983 |  |  |  |  | 395 | 395 | 459 | 459 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 1 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 400 | 400 | 125 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 2 | 14 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 7 | 58 | 2 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 199 | 258 | 243 | 172 | 169 | 73 | 132 | 141 | 164 | 166 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 80 | 142 | 118 | 157 | 141 | 11 | 54 | 76 | 32 | 45 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 160 | 218 | 204 | 183 | 194 | 47 | 107 | 121 | 104 | 122 |
| Link Distance ( ft$)$ |  | 1460 |  | 138 | 138 | 138 |  |  | 395 | 395 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 28 | 14 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 114 | 56 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 700 |  | 550 |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |

Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B24 | B24 | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | R | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 120 | 59 | 132 | 476 | 312 | 81 | 188 | 260 | 159 | 167 | 40 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 44 | 22 | 19 | 214 | 100 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 87 | 101 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 97 | 50 | 82 | 429 | 261 | 57 | 86 | 111 | 181 | 193 | 24 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 633 |  |  | 1949 | 1949 |  | 368 | 368 | 138 | 138 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 31 | 47 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 200 | 150 |  |  | 250 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 20 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 7 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 3 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B25 | B25 | SB | SB | SB | SB | B24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | R | L | T | L | T | T | R | T | T | L | T | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 201 | 211 | 176 | 61 | 113 | 106 | 274 | 392 | 396 | 175 | 139 | 145 | 320 | 426 | 422 | 175 | 171 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 97 | 117 | 60 | 21 | 53 | 48 | 62 | 233 | 234 | 31 | 10 | 13 | 231 | 221 | 220 | 99 | 43 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 175 | 189 | 126 | 49 | 102 | 94 | 183 | 424 | 429 | 138 | 68 | 73 | 356 | 454 | 413 | 213 | 228 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1180 |  |  | 275 |  | 317 | 317 |  | 652 | 652 |  | 368 | 368 |  | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 3 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 | 36 |  |  |  |  | 97 | 20 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 195 | 195 |  | 150 | 190 |  | 250 |  |  | 150 |  |  | 300 |  |  | 150 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 11 | 21 | 0 |  |  | 17 | , | 13 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 7 | 12 | 0 |  |  | 76 | 4 | 39 | 0 |  |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | B24 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 196 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 38 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 220 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B43 | B43 | SB | SB | SB | SB | B25 |  |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | T | TR | T | T | L | T | T | R | T |  |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 66 | 173 | 166 | 296 | 296 | 236 | 269 | 195 | 525 | 552 | 68 | 20 | 4 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 25 | 69 | 47 | 211 | 225 | 32 | 39 | 87 | 175 | 254 | 4 | 1 |  |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 57 | 133 | 129 | 324 | 331 | 141 | 157 | 174 | 421 | 493 | 57 | 15 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 184 | 367 |  | 216 | 216 | 1592 | 1592 |  | 652 | 652 |  | 317 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 9 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 71 | 89 |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  | 175 |  |  | 500 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 0 | 16 |  |  |  | 2 | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 | 6 |  |  |  | 10 | 6 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | L | T | T | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 127 | 363 | 269 | 146 | 153 | 162 | 220 | 218 | 45 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 49 | 76 | 121 | 59 | 65 | 69 | 114 | 120 | 11 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 98 | 227 | 276 | 114 | 125 | 133 | 178 | 188 | 34 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1592 | 1592 |  |  | 2033 | 2033 |  | 451 | 451 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 250 | 500 |  |  | 275 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 2 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 142 | 155 | 676 | 346 | 224 | 157 | 98 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 139 | 147 | 543 | 164 | 123 | 68 | 36 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 154 | 188 | 884 | 283 | 228 | 124 | 77 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 658 | 1506 |  | 127 | 127 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 23 |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 142 |  |  | 2 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 | 130 |  |  | 200 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 65 | 54 | 3 | 2 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 139 | 116 | 14 | 12 | 3 |  |  |

Zone Summary
Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 1274

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 31.2 | 11.2 | 15.1 | 18.4 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 40.4 | 18.7 | 23.3 | 26.3 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 48.7 | 18.4 | 6.2 | 12.6 |

4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 105.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 19.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 203.0 | 15.0 | 31.8 | 34.4 | 62.4 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 36.3 | 45.6 | 27.3 | 40.7 | 35.4 |

6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 21.4 | 6.9 | 13.4 | 15.3 |

## 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 41.8 | 14.4 | 9.9 | 21.0 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 25.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 501.6 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 45.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBU | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | NBU | NBL | NBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 417 | 260 | 0 | 260 | 349 | 0 | 135 | 187 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.92 | 0.78 | 0.78 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 535 | 333 | 0 | 333 | 447 | 0 | 173 | 240 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opposing Approach | WB | EB |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | 0 | EB | 1 |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | NB | 1 | WB |
| Conflicting Approach Right | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 63.2 | 34.4 | 28 |
| HCM Control Delay | F | D | D |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | WBLn2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $42 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $58 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 322 | 677 | 260 | 349 |
| LT Vol | 135 | 0 | 260 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 417 | 0 | 349 |
| RT Vol | 187 | 260 | 0 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 413 | 868 | 333 | 447 |
| Geometry Grp | 2 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.761 | 1 | 0.696 | 0.872 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.633 | 6.415 | 7.52 | 7.019 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 541 | 573 | 483 | 519 |
| Service Time | 4.718 | 4.427 | 5.22 | 4.719 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.763 | 1.515 | 0.689 | 0.861 |
| HCM Control Delay | 28 | 63.2 | 25.7 | 40.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | D | F | D | E |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 6.7 | 14.5 | 5.3 | 9.5 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 24.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR |  | WBL | WBT | WBR |  | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 106 | 0 | 30 |  | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 13 | 452 | 5 | 5 | 874 | 92 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Stop | Stop | Stop |  | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None |  | - | - | None |  | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | 1 | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - |  | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 |  | 92 | 92 | 92 |  | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 115 | 0 | 33 |  | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 14 | 491 | 5 | 5 | 950 | 100 |
| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  |  |  | Minor1 |  |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1536 | 1536 | 1000 |  | 1549 | 1583 | 494 |  | 1050 | 0 | 0 | 497 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1011 | 1011 | - |  | 522 | 522 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 525 | 525 | - |  | 1027 | 1061 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 |  | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | 6.12 | 5.52 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 |  | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | $\sim 95$ | 116 | 295 |  | 93 | 109 | 575 |  | 663 | - | - | 1067 | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 289 | 317 | - |  | 538 | 531 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 536 | 529 | - |  | 283 | 300 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - | - |  | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | ~ 92 | 113 | 295 |  | 81 | 106 | 575 |  | 663 | - | - | 1067 | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | $\sim 92$ | 113 | - |  | 81 | 106 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 283 | 316 | - |  | 527 | 520 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 520 | 518 | - |  | 251 | 299 | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 286.9 |  |  |  | 11.3 |  |  |  | 0.3 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | F |  |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 663 | - | - | 108 | 575 | 1067 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.021 | - | - | 1.369 | 0.009 | 0.005 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.5 | - | - | 286.9 | 11.3 | 8.4 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | F | B | A | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 10.3 | 0 | 0 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Notes }}{\sim}$ V: Volume exceeds capacity $\quad \$$ Delay exceeds 300s $\quad+:$ Computation Not Defined $\quad *:$ All major volume in platoon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |



Intersection: 1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | R | L | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 330 | 335 | 232 | 171 | 136 | 147 | 216 | 129 | 291 | 267 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 179 | 183 | 109 | 51 | 81 | 99 | 74 | 60 | 157 | 136 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 285 | 299 | 184 | 130 | 150 | 149 | 158 | 111 | 257 | 230 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 983 | 983 |  |  |  |  | 395 | 395 | 459 | 459 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 400 | 400 | 125 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 6 | 1 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 322 | 402 | 409 | 175 | 179 | 39 | 161 | 174 | 392 | 396 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 134 | 213 | 213 | 155 | 148 | 4 | 95 | 122 | 149 | 163 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 270 | 351 | 357 | 183 | 189 | 21 | 162 | 183 | 333 | 338 |
| Link Distance ( ft ) |  | 1460 |  | 138 | 138 | 138 |  |  | 395 | 395 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 29 | 18 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 113 | 73 |  |  |  | 3 | 2 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 700 |  | 550 |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 7 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 19 | 22 |  |

Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B24 | B24 | B24 | SB | SB | SB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | R | L | T | T | R | T | T |  | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 87 | 83 | 169 | 522 | 451 | 81 | 221 | 230 | 34 | 169 | 183 | 28 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 26 | 31 | 40 | 182 | 104 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 1 | 120 | 128 | 1 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 66 | 67 | 117 | 432 | 326 | 57 | 104 | 130 | 35 | 200 | 206 | 20 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 633 |  |  | 1949 | 1949 |  | 368 | 368 | 368 | 138 | 138 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 10 | 12 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 |  | 97 | 119 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 200 | 150 |  |  | 250 |  |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 17 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 12 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 6 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B25 | B25 | SB | SB | SB | SB | B24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | R | L | T | L | T | T | R | T | T | L | T | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 207 | 220 | 1235 | 74 | 52 | 82 | 274 | 395 | 394 | 159 | 131 | 146 | 324 | 460 | 467 | 175 | 788 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 204 | 216 | 1010 | 31 | 15 | 33 | 111 | 219 | 225 | 14 | 12 | 16 | 196 | 356 | 410 | 157 | 249 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 223 | 234 | 1569 | 66 | 44 | 72 | 252 | 433 | 439 | 89 | 73 | 83 | 354 | 533 | 540 | 227 | 798 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1180 |  |  | 275 |  | 317 | 317 |  | 652 | 652 |  | 368 | 368 |  | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 52 |  |  |  |  | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 13 | 27 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 43 | 48 |  |  |  |  | 135 | 277 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 195 | 195 |  | 150 | 190 |  | 250 |  |  | 150 |  |  | 300 |  |  | 150 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 21 | 60 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 11 | 19 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 16 | 33 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 34 | 97 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 11 | 5 | 0 |  |  | 3 | 27 | 153 | 8 |  |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | B24 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 864 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 325 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 896 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B43 | B43 | SB | SB | SB | SB | B25 | B25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | T | TR | T | T | L | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 168 | 289 | 174 | 292 | 302 | 219 | 206 | 200 | 665 | 701 | 322 | 206 | 258 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 67 | 148 | 106 | 220 | 225 | 26 | 26 | 78 | 344 | 412 | 19 | 9 | 19 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 131 | 249 | 196 | 322 | 321 | 126 | 121 | 169 | 681 | 733 | 171 | 93 | 137 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 184 | 367 |  | 216 | 216 | 1592 | 1592 |  | 652 | 652 |  | 317 | 317 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  | 12 | 12 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 |  | 74 | 72 |  |  |  | 9 | 23 |  | 0 | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 150 |  |  |  |  | 175 |  |  | 500 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 7 | 19 |  |  |  | 0 | 18 | 11 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 41 | 15 |  |  |  | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | B43 | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | T | L | T | T | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 181 | 338 | 274 | 39 | 99 | 110 | 110 | 195 | 206 | 70 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 87 | 112 | 120 | 2 | 38 | 46 | 47 | 104 | 112 | 29 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 155 | 234 | 274 | 25 | 77 | 89 | 87 | 170 | 179 | 56 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1592 | 1592 |  | 216 |  | 2033 | 2033 |  | 451 | 451 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 275 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 250 |  | 500 |  |  | 275 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB | B68 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | R | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 142 | 154 | 537 | 217 | 190 | 201 | 148 | 85 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 111 | 98 | 206 | 117 | 43 | 138 | 67 | 7 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 159 | 198 | 472 | 188 | 116 | 203 | 125 | 47 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 658 | 1506 |  | 127 | 127 | 419 |
| Upstream BIk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 |  |  | 10 | 1 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 5 |  |  | 46 | 4 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 | 130 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 22 | 15 | 7 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 91 | 63 | 18 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |

Zone Summary
Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 1795

7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 14.7 | 18.3 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 14.7 |

9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.5 | 11.8 | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.8 |

## Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 343.9 |

9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 23.0 | 13.2 | 5.3 | 15.3 | 12.4 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh (s) | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 416.0 |

7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 69.0 | 27.4 | 13.6 | 16.8 | 16.1 |

9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 35.5 | 17.1 | 7.1 | 7.4 | 8.5 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 406.0 |

7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 12.5 | 19.0 | 10.0 | 19.3 | 16.1 |

9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 35.5 | 37.8 | 5.8 | 23.4 | 18.4 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.6 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 659.3 |

HCM 2010 TWSC
MITIG8 Exist plus Project AM Right Turn Only China Garden
7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 138 | 0 | 887 | 15 | 99 | 614 | 3 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None | - |  | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 1 | - | - | 200 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 | 89 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 0 | 997 | 17 | 111 | 690 | 3 |


| $\underline{\text { Major/Minor }}$ | Minor2 |  | Minor1 |  |  |  | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1919 | 1927 | 692 | 1919 |  | 1005 | 693 | 0 | 0 | 1013 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 914 | 914 | - | 1005 | 1005 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 1005 | 1013 | - | 914 | 916 | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 51 | 67 | 444 | 51 | 67 | 293 | 902 | - | - | 684 | - |  |
| Stage 1 |  | 352 | - | 291 | 319 | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 291 | 316 | - | 327 | 351 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 21 | 56 | 444 | 45 | 56 | 293 | 902 | - | - | 684 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 21 | 56 | - | 45 | 56 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 327 | 295 | - | 291 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 137 | 316 | - | 273 | 294 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.1 | 30.3 | 0 | 1.6 |
| HCM LOS | B | D |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBEBL_VIVBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 902 | - | -444 | 293 | 684 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | -0.003 | 0.5290 .163 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 0 | - | -13.1 | 30.3 | 11.3 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | B | D | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0 | 2.9 | 0.6 | - | - |

HCM 2010 TWSC
MITIG8 Exist plus Project PM Right Turn Only China Garden
7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT EBR |  | WBL WBT WBR |  |  | NBL NBT NBR |  |  | SBL SBT SBR |  |  |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 1 | 724 | 20 | 133 | 1058 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None | - |  | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 1 | - | - | 200 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 1 | 746 | 21 | 137 | 1091 | 0 |


| Maior/Minor <br> Conflicting Flow All | Minor2 |  |  | Minor 1 |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2124 | 2134 | 1091 | 2124 | 2124 | 757 | 1091 | 0 | 0 | 767 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1365 | 1365 | - | 759 | 759 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 759 | 769 | - | 1365 | 1365 | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 36 | 49 | 261 | 36 | 50 | 408 | 640 | - | - | 847 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 182 | 215 | - | 399 | 415 | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 399 | 411 | - | 182 | 215 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 18 | 41 | 261 | 31 | 42 | 408 | 640 | - | - | 847 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 18 | 41 | - | 31 | 42 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 182 | 180 | - | 398 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 222 | 410 | - | 151 | 180 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 18.9 | 20.6 | 0 | 1.1 |
| HCM LOS | C | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL_ | NBT | NBEBL_ntVBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 640 | - | - | 261 | 408 | 847 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.002 | - | -0.008 | 0.4420 .162 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.6 | - | -18.9 | 20.6 | 10.1 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | C | C | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0 | 2.2 | 0.6 | - | - |

7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 21.0 | 23.4 | 12.2 | 11.2 | 12.4 |

9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 17.2 | 6.1 | 7.8 | 7.6 | 8.6 |

12: SR 49 \& Pleasant Valley Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.0 | 16.7 | 19.4 | 19.2 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.8 |
| Total Del/Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 435.5 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IntersectionInt Delay, s/veh 4.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 142 | 376 | 369 | 36 | 52 | 105 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized |  | None | - | None | , | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 189 | 501 | 492 | 48 | 69 | 140 |


| Major/Minor | Maior1 |  | Maior2 |  | Minor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 540 | 0 | - | 0 | 1396 | 516 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 516 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 880 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1028 | - | - | - | 156 | 559 |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 599 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 406 | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1028 | - | - | - | 116 | 559 |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 228 | - |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 599 | - |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 302 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 2.5 | 0 | 25.8 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | D |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT WBRSBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1028 | - | - | -377 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.184 | - | - | -0.555 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.3 | 0 | - | -25.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.7 | - | - | - |
| D |  |  |  |  |

[^15]7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 16.1 | 20.4 | 6.1 | 10.5 | 10.1 |

9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 34.6 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 15.1 | 14.3 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 1622.0 |

## 7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 20.9 | 23.0 | 17.2 | 11.2 | 14.9 |

8: Missouri Flat Road \& Industrial Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 38.9 | 23.2 | 8.9 | 17.5 |

9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 16.9 | 9.1 | 11.7 | 8.5 | 10.9 |

12: SR 49 \& Pleasant Valley Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.6 | 17.9 | 20.6 | 20.2 |
| Total Zone Performance |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

AM Peak | SimTraffic Report |
| :---: |
| Page 1 |

| Intersection |
| :--- |
| Int Delay, s/veh 5.1 |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol, veh/h | 145 | 382 | 369 | 36 | 52 | 107 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - None | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 | 75 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 193 | 509 | 492 | 48 | 69 | 143 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Major/Minor | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | 540 | 0 | - | 0 | Minor2 |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 512 | 516 |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 896 | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | - | 152 | 3.318 |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1028 | - | - | - | 599 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 399 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 112 | 559 |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - | 223 | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1028 | - | - | - | 599 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 294 | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 2.6 | 0 | 26.5 |
| HCM LOS |  |  | D |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBRSBLn1 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1028 | - | - | -374 |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.188 | - | - | -0.567 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.3 | 0 | - | -26.5 |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - | D |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.7 | - | - | - | 3.4 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL |  | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 5 | 764 | 103 | 69 | 640 | 2 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None | - |  | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 1 | - | - | 190 |  | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 5 | 830 | 112 | 75 | 696 | 2 |



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.3 | 16.5 | 0.1 | 1 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBEBL_VIVBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 898 | - | -441 | 343 | 728 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.006 | - | -0.012 | 0.0860 .103 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9 | - | -13.3 | 16.5 | 10.5 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | B | C | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | - | - |

[^16]
## 7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 16.1 | 20.8 | 8.6 | 11.7 | 11.6 |

8: Missouri Flat Road \& Industrial Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 49.9 | 6.1 | 12.6 | 13.4 |

9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 35.4 | 6.0 | 6.2 | 15.5 | 14.4 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 0.2 |
| Total $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1783.0 |

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 25.3 | 11.7 | 14.0 | 16.4 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 36.5 | 17.7 | 23.8 | 25.1 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 50.5 | 16.1 | 7.2 | 12.7 |

## 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.6 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 86.9 | 11.9 | 26.3 | 24.3 | 35.8 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 31.3 | 41.8 | 23.4 | 31.8 | 29.1 |

6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 18.7 | 5.4 | 11.4 | 12.7 |

10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.2 | 3.0 | 1.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 89.1 | 16.9 | 15.1 | 37.2 |

## Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 389.5 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT EBR |  | WBL WBT WBR |  |  | NBL NBT NBR |  |  | SBL SBT SBR |  |  |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 5 | 680 | 9 | 13 | 912 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None | - |  | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 1 | - | - | 190 | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 5 | 739 | 10 | 14 | 991 | 0 |


| $\underline{\text { Major/Minor }}$ | Minor2 |  | Minor1 |  |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1775 | 1780 | 991 | 1775 | 1775 | 744 | 991 | 0 | 0 | 749 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1020 | 1020 | - | 755 | 755 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 755 | 760 | - | 1020 | 1020 | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 64 | 82 | 299 | 64 | 83 | 415 | 698 | - | - | 860 | - |  |
| Stage 1 |  | 314 | - | 401 | 417 | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 401 | 414 | - | 285 | 314 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 36 | 80 | 299 | 62 | 81 | 415 | 698 | - | - | 860 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 36 | 80 | - | 62 | 81 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 283 | 309 | - | 398 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 225 | 411 | - | 276 | 309 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 17.2 | 20.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| HCM LOS | C | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBEBL_VIVBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 698 | - | -299 | 415 | 860 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.008 | - | -0.015 | 0.4350 .016 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.2 | - | -17.2 | 20.2 | 9.3 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | C | C | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0 | 2.1 | 0.1 | - | - |

## Intersection: 7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 30 | 183 | 29 | 273 | 84 | 455 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 4 | 84 | 6 | 106 | 17 | 147 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 20 | 153 | 24 | 237 | 61 | 352 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 158 | 1431 |  | 558 |  | 451 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 1 |  | 190 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 8 | 11 |  | 4 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 54 | 1 | 1 |  |

## Intersection: 8: Missouri Flat Road \& Industrial Dr

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 214 | 29 | 225 | 428 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 100 | 9 | 59 | 180 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 181 | 29 | 162 | 363 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 527 |  | 796 | 558 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 12 | 4 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 73 | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 194 | 31 | 29 | 241 | 26 | 455 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 93 | 5 | 11 | 64 | 4 | 153 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 158 | 24 | 32 | 159 | 19 | 337 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2614 | 218 |  | 624 |  | 796 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 16 | 7 | 5 | 12 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 78 | 1 | 46 | 1 |

## Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 261

## Intersection: 1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | R | L | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 237 | 258 | 199 | 174 | 135 | 146 | 249 | 151 | 275 | 235 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 144 | 155 | 98 | 41 | 95 | 107 | 69 | 55 | 147 | 124 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 216 | 231 | 166 | 112 | 158 | 154 | 162 | 119 | 243 | 217 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 983 | 983 |  |  |  |  | 395 | 395 | 459 | 459 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 400 | 400 | 125 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 2 | 7 | 2 |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| irections Served | L | LTR | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 269 | 327 | 338 | 171 | 177 | 98 | 160 | 174 | 354 | 349 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 108 | 188 | 190 | 155 | 142 | 17 | 93 | 121 | 149 | 167 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 218 | 290 | 297 | 186 | 193 | 63 | 154 | 185 | 319 | 323 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1460 |  | 138 | 138 | 138 |  |  | 395 | 395 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 26 | 14 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 93 | 50 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 700 |  | 550 |  |  |  | 150 | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 2 | 7 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 14 | 24 |  |

Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B24 | B24 | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | R | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 38 | 144 | 113 | 165 | 369 | 241 | 26 | 144 | 176 | 162 | 184 | 136 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 64 | 29 | 54 | 159 | 68 | 1 | 6 | 7 | 125 | 133 | 13 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 25 | 124 | 72 | 133 | 317 | 176 | 27 | 82 | 91 | 193 | 192 | 80 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 633 |  |  | 1949 | 1949 |  | 368 | 368 | 138 | 138 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 12 | 15 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 113 | 135 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 | 150 |  |  | 250 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 |  |  |  | 15 | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  | 11 | 1 |  |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B25 | B25 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | R | L | T | L | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 207 | 220 | 853 | 79 | 59 | 88 | 253 | 382 | 384 | 160 | 73 | 79 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 187 | 200 | 377 | 32 | 14 | 27 | 64 | 185 | 193 | 18 | 3 | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 243 | 250 | 981 | 63 | 44 | 67 | 169 | 355 | 372 | 104 | 31 | 37 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1180 |  |  | 275 |  | 317 | 317 | 65 | 652 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 11 | 15 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 195 | 195 |  | 150 | 190 |  | 250 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 10 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 16 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 14 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB | B24 | B24 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 307 | 430 | 443 | 175 | 309 | 370 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 153 | 244 | 307 | 136 | 29 | 52 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 279 | 448 | 511 | 235 | 176 | 238 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 368 | 368 |  | 1949 | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 3 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 24 | 79 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 0 | 4 | 20 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 2 | 7 | 84 | 3 |  |  |

## Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

|  | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B43 | B43 | SB | SB | SB | SB | B25 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | LTR | LTR | L | T | TR | T | T | L | T | T | R | T |
| Directions Served | 138 | 265 | 174 | 290 | 289 | 96 | 105 | 196 | 569 | 627 | 168 | 109 |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 56 | 126 | 87 | 176 | 184 | 7 | 8 | 68 | 258 | 328 | 9 | 4 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 113 | 217 | 172 | 287 | 287 | 51 | 56 | 150 | 547 | 618 | 111 | 60 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 184 | 367 |  | 216 | 216 | 1592 | 1592 |  | 652 | 652 | 317 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 0 | 0 |  | 5 | 6 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  | 24 | 30 |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  | 150 |  |  |  |  | 175 |  |  | 500 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 4 | 12 |  |  |  | 0 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 18 | 9 |  |  |  | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

| Movement | B25 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 104 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 4 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 65 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 317 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | B43 | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| irections Served | T | T | R | T | L | T | T | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 160 | 308 | 264 | 7 | 68 | 84 | 88 | 178 | 197 | 78 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 72 | 85 | 86 | 0 | 28 | 38 | 39 | 94 | 101 | 30 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 133 | 185 | 230 | 5 | 60 | 75 | 75 | 150 | 162 | 62 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1592 | 1592 |  | 216 |  | 2033 | 2033 |  | 451 | 451 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 275 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 | 250 |  | 500 |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 0 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |

Intersection: 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB | B68 | B68 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 142 | 155 | 632 | 296 | 208 | 221 | 160 | 286 | 3 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 126 | 125 | 400 | 146 | 54 | 181 | 66 | 75 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 167 | 209 | 823 | 244 | 143 | 238 | 126 | 215 | 3 |
| Link Distance ( ft$)$ |  |  | 658 | 1506 |  | 127 | 127 | 419 | 419 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 14 |  |  | 31 | 1 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 | 130 | 89 |  |  | 143 | 3 | 0 |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) | 49 | 37 | 10 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 166 | 124 | 24 | 5 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

## Zone Summary

```
Zone wide Queuing Penalty: }140
```

7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 8.2 | 28.2 | 13.7 | 11.8 | 13.5 |

9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 18.5 | 9.6 | 7.5 | 7.1 | 8.3 |

12: SR 49 \& Pleasant Valley Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.2 | 0.1 | 3.2 | 1.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 36.0 | 21.2 | 33.6 | 29.9 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 268.4 |

7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 11.8 | 20.7 | 6.9 | 11.9 | 11.1 |

9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 33.9 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 14.3 | 13.4 |

12: SR 49 \& Pleasant Valley Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 1.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 46.9 | 34.2 | 46.5 | 42.0 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 2.0 |
| Total $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1133.3 |

## 7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 8.4 | 24.5 | 13.3 | 11.3 | 12.9 |

9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 18.0 | 9.6 | 9.3 | 7.6 | 9.5 |

12: SR 49 \& Pleasant Valley Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 32.1 | 21.7 | 23.2 | 25.2 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 252.7 |

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.8 | 20.6 | 11.8 | 18.9 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh (s) | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 25.4 | 16.4 | 13.1 | 17.5 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 45.3 | 20.4 | 5.0 | 13.9 |

## 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 40.7 | 21.3 | 29.4 | 29.3 | 30.2 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 28.7 | 39.0 | 17.4 | 28.9 | 22.8 |

6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 16.7 | 10.9 | 14.1 | 14.1 |

10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 20.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 6.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 112.7 | 17.6 | 8.7 | 45.1 |

## Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 6.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 529.7 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL |  | SBR |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 855 | 115 | 80 | 710 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - |  | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 1 | - | - | 190 | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 5 | 929 | 125 | 87 | 772 | 0 |


| Maior/Minor <br> Conflicting Flow All | Minor2 | Minor1 |  |  |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 772 | 1949 | 1949 | 992 | 772 | 0 | 0 | 1054 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 946946 | - | 1003 | 1003 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 10031065 | - | 946 | 946 | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.126 .52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.125 .52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.125 .52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.5184.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 4959 | 400 | 49 | 64 | 298 | 843 | - | - | 661 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 314340 | - | 292 | 320 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 292299 | - | 314 | 340 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 3951 | 400 | 43 | 55 | 298 | 843 | - | - | 661 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 3951 | - | 43 | 55 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 312295 | - | 290 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 259297 | - | 269 | 295 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 14.1 | 18.6 | 0 | 1.1 |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBEBL_VIVBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 843 | - | -400 | 298 | 661 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.006 | - | -0.014 | 0.1090 .132 | - | - |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.3 | - | -14.1 | 18.6 | 11.3 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | B | C | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | - | - |

[^17]1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 30.5 | 11.2 | 15.4 | 18.3 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 41.3 | 19.0 | 23.8 | 26.9 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 48.6 | 17.9 | 6.4 | 12.4 |

## 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 97.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 18.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 198.2 | 16.0 | 32.8 | 35.9 | 63.3 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 35.5 | 46.7 | 25.6 | 37.9 | 33.4 |

6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 21.9 | 6.9 | 14.1 | 15.7 |

## 7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 16.1 | 20.5 | 10.3 | 12.9 | 12.7 |

## 8: Missouri Flat Road \& Industrial Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 45.6 | 6.1 | 12.2 | 12.9 |

9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 35.1 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 15.7 | 14.6 |

10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 42.7 | 13.8 | 9.5 | 21.0 |

12: SR 49 \& Pleasant Valley Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 5.5 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 2.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 46.7 | 47.6 | 43.7 | 46.4 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 17.7 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 538.6 |

## Intersection: 1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps

| Movement | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LT | R | R | L | L | T | T | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 309 | 312 | 189 | 158 | 135 | 145 | 181 | 133 | 304 | 252 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 173 | 181 | 105 | 46 | 86 | 100 | 70 | 61 | 160 | 138 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 281 | 289 | 166 | 112 | 154 | 150 | 138 | 114 | 264 | 229 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 983 | 983 |  |  |  |  | 395 | 395 | 459 | 459 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 400 | 400 | 125 | 125 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Storage BIk Time (\%) |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | LTR | R | T | T | R | L | L | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 339 | 390 | 427 | 172 | 179 | 40 | 161 | 174 | 396 | 407 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 137 | 215 | 221 | 157 | 151 | 5 | 101 | 128 | 152 | 169 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 275 | 343 | 359 | 177 | 187 | 25 | 166 | 188 | 327 | 337 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 1460 |  | 138 | 138 | 138 |  |  | 395 | 395 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 29 | 20 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| Queuina Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 115 | 79 |  | 150 | 150 | 1 | 2 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 700 |  | 550 |  |  |  | 0 | 4 | 8 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 23 | 26 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive

|  |  | EB | EB | EB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B24 | B24 | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Directions Served | L | L | R | L | T | T | R | T | T | T | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 5 | 84 | 89 | 158 | 390 | 361 | 137 | 111 | 267 | 174 | 179 | 28 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 0 | 25 | 31 | 37 | 172 | 103 | 12 | 4 | 13 | 121 | 131 | 2 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 6 | 64 | 71 | 107 | 339 | 250 | 103 | 67 | 126 | 199 | 202 | 32 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 633 |  |  | 1949 | 1949 |  | 368 | 368 | 138 | 138 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 10 | 13 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 1 | 101 | 124 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 200 |  | 200 | 150 |  |  | 250 |  |  |  | 13 | 200 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  | 15 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 5 | 4 | 0 |  |  |  | 3 | 0 |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB | NB | B25 | B25 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | R | L | T | L | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 207 | 220 | 1225 | 84 | 59 | 94 | 273 | 394 | 397 | 175 | 156 | 150 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 204 | 218 | 1028 | 34 | 17 | 35 | 103 | 235 | 247 | 18 | 14 | 16 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 214 | 223 | 1498 | 72 | 49 | 78 | 232 | 421 | 441 | 102 | 77 | 84 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 1180 |  |  | 275 |  | 317 | 317 | 6 | 652 | 652 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 46 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 8 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 37 | 47 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 195 | 195 |  | 150 | 190 |  | 250 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 23 | 61 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 37 | 98 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 11 | 6 | 0 |  |  |

Intersection: 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road

| Movement | SB | SB | SB | SB | B24 | B24 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 324 | 456 | 467 | 175 | 875 | 948 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 202 | 364 | 404 | 154 | 273 | 345 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 356 | 538 | 543 | 230 | 839 | 925 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 368 | 368 |  | 1949 | 1949 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 14 | 28 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 138 | 282 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 300 |  |  | 150 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 | 16 | 34 | 2 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 5 | 28 | 157 | 13 |  |  |

## Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

|  | EB | WB | NB | NB | NB | B43 | B43 | SB | SB | SB | SB | B25 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement | LTR | LTR | L | T | TR | T | T | L | T | T | R | T |
| Directions Served | 150 | 282 | 174 | 298 | 293 | 155 | 171 | 199 | 665 | 704 | 372 | 108 |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 62 | 148 | 96 | 209 | 217 | 21 | 24 | 74 | 353 | 423 | 19 | 5 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 122 | 244 | 180 | 326 | 326 | 100 | 102 | 170 | 686 | 741 | 171 | 58 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 184 | 367 |  | 216 | 216 | 1592 | 1592 |  | 652 | 652 | 317 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) | 0 | 0 |  | 11 | 12 |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 0 |  | 68 | 70 |  |  |  | 4 | 17 | 0 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  | 150 |  |  |  |  | 175 |  | 1 | 500 | 1 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 7 | 18 |  |  |  | 1 | 16 | 11 | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 38 | 15 |  |  |  | 4 | 11 | 1 | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive

| Movement | B25 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 156 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 12 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 110 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 317 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | B43 | B43 | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| irections Served | T | T | R | T | T | L | T | T | L | L | R |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 178 | 389 | 275 | 2 | 19 | 94 | 106 | 93 | 206 | 217 | 67 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 88 | 111 | 132 | 0 | 1 | 39 | 47 | 48 | 110 | 121 | 29 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 151 | 245 | 281 | 2 | 9 | 74 | 89 | 84 | 180 | 189 | 57 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 1592 | 1592 |  | 216 | 216 |  | 2033 | 2033 |  | 451 | 451 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 250 |  |  | 500 |  |  | 275 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |

## Intersection: 7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 37 | 206 | 29 | 340 | 160 | 492 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 6 | 89 | 4 | 141 | 20 | 186 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 25 | 167 | 20 | 293 | 87 | 405 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 158 | 1431 |  | 558 |  | 451 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 1 |  | 190 |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 6 | 13 |  | 6 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 42 | 1 |  | 1 |

## Intersection: 8: Missouri Flat Road \& Industrial Dr

| Movement | EB | NB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LR | L | T | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 200 | 29 | 234 | 435 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 93 | 8 | 52 | 182 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 165 | 28 | 172 | 355 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 527 |  | 796 | 558 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 1 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 12 | 4 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 68 | 0 |  |

## Intersection: 9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | L | TR | L | TR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 161 | 33 | 29 | 198 | 29 | 508 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 87 | 3 | 10 | 59 | 6 | 188 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 141 | 18 | 29 | 149 | 25 | 391 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 2614 | 218 |  | 624 |  | 796 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  |  | 15 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 71 | 1 | 75 | 1 |

Intersection: 10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | SB | SB | B68 | B68 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | L | T | T | R | L | R | T | T |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 142 | 154 | 563 | 222 | 175 | 201 | 160 | 126 | 2 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 110 | 93 | 216 | 113 | 40 | 140 | 64 | 12 | 0 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 159 | 196 | 526 | 186 | 103 | 212 | 126 | 64 | 2 |
| Link Distance (ft) |  |  | 658 | 1506 |  | 127 | 127 | 419 | 419 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 2 |  |  | 11 | 1 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 10 |  |  | 50 | 4 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) | 130 | 130 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 22 | 14 | 7 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 88 | 58 | 18 | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 12: SR 49 \& Pleasant Valley Rd

| Movement | EB | WB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | L | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue (ft) | 798 | 104 | 679 | 431 |
| Average Queue (ft) | 409 | 100 | 345 | 198 |
| 95th Queue (ft) | 788 | 115 | 698 | 389 |
| Link Distance (ft) | 797 |  | 700 | 576 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 8 |  | 3 | 1 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  | 22 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (ft) |  | 80 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  | 52 | 2 |  |

## Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 2301

1: Missouri Flat Road \& WB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 29.2 | 11.3 | 15.7 | 18.0 |

2: Missouri Flat Road \& EB Ramps Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh (s) | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 35.2 | 19.3 | 23.0 | 25.0 |

3: Missouri Flat Road \& Mother Lode Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 46.9 | 19.1 | 5.9 | 12.8 |

## 4: Missouri Flat Road \& Forni Road Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 68.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 12.5 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 188.9 | 14.8 | 32.1 | 33.5 | 60.0 |

5: Missouri Flat Road \& Golden Center Drive Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.3 | 2.3 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 39.8 | 45.9 | 25.7 | 43.0 | 35.9 |

6: Missouri Flat Road \& Missouri Flat Rd/Diamond Springs Parkway Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 22.7 | 6.8 | 12.9 | 15.7 |

7: Missouri Flat Road \& China Garden Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied $\operatorname{Del} /$ Veh (s) | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 11.5 | 8.4 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 4.6 |

8: Missouri Flat Road \& Industrial Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 48.5 | 4.9 | 7.2 | 9.7 |

9: Missouri Flat Road \& Enterprise Dr Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 35.0 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 14.3 | 13.3 |

10: Pleasant Valley Rd \& Missouri Flat Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | SB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 2.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 46.5 | 14.5 | 10.1 | 22.6 |

12: SR 49 \& Pleasant Valley Rd Performance by approach

| Approach | EB | WB | NB | All |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 5.4 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 3.1 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 49.5 | 57.4 | 48.7 | 52.4 |

Total Zone Performance

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Denied Del/Veh (s) | 13.6 |
| Total Del/Veh (s) | 540.8 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 2.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT EBR |  | WBL WBT WBR |  |  | NBL | NBT NBR |  | SBL SBT SBR |  |  |
| Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 5 | 730 | 10 |  | 1031 | 0 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - |  | None | - |  | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | 1 | - | - | 190 | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 196 | 5 | 793 | 11 |  | 1121 | 0 |


| Maior/Minor <br> Conflicting Flow All | Minor2 |  |  | Minor1 19631963 |  | Major1 |  |  | Major2 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1963 | 1968 | 1121 |  |  | 799 | 1121 | 0 | 0 | 804 | 0 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 1153 | 1153 | - | 810 | 810 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 810 | 815 | - | 1153 | 1153 | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 7.12 | 6.52 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | 4.12 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | 6.12 | 5.52 | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 3.518 | 4.018 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | 2.218 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 47 | 63 | 251 | 47 | 63 | 386 | 623 | - | - | 820 | - |  |
| Stage 1 |  | 272 | - | 374 | 393 | - | - |  |  | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 374 | 391 | - | 240 | 272 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 23 | 61 | 251 | 45 |  | 386 | 623 | - | - | 820 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 23 | 61 | - | 45 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 238 | 267 | - | 371 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 183 | 388 | - | 230 | 267 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 19.7 | 23.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| HCM LOS | C | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBEBLnVVBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 623 | - | -251 | 386 | 820 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.009 | - | -0.022 | 0.507 | 0.02 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 10.8 | - | -19.7 | 23.5 | 9.5 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | - | - | C | C | A | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0.1 | 2.8 | 0.1 | - | - |

Figure 4C-3. Warrant 3, Peak Hour

*Note: $: 50$ ph applies as the tower threshold volume for a iminor-street approach with two or mote lanes and 100 vph applies as the lower threshold volume tor a minor-street approach with one lane.

Figure 4C-4. Warrant 3, Peak Hour (70\% Factor)
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Figure 4C-3. Warrant 3, Peak Hour

*Note: 150 ph applies ats the tower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 100 ph applies as the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with one lane.
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(COMMUNITY LESS THAN 10,000 POPULATION OR ABOVE 40 MPH ON MAJOR STREET)
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*Note: 100 ph applies the lower threshold volume for a minor-street approach with two or more lanes and 75 vph applies as the lower
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