



The Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act

El Dorado: 2010 Progress Report for Community Alliance to Reduce Truancy (CART)

In the area below, please enter program expenditures for each identified line item from each funding source incurred during Fiscal Year 2009 - 2010

ALLOCATED FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2009 - 2010		\$454,118	
PROGRAM EXPENDITURES FOR 2009 - 2010	State Funds	Interest	Non-JJCPA Funds
Salaries and Benefits: (Help)	\$ 396,145	\$ 995	Total Non-JJCPA Funds: \$ 250,049
Services and Supplies: (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0	
Professional Services: (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0	
Community-Based Organizations: (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0	
Fixed Assets: (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0	
Administrative Overhead (Maximum = 0.5% of State Funds): (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0	
Other: (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0	
Fund Totals:	\$396,145	\$995	\$250,049
Program Total for 2009 - 2010 Fiscal Year:	\$647,189		

Enter the number of juveniles who participated in the program during the fiscal year 2009 - 2010. Provide separate counts for those completed the program, those who were 'in progress' as the end of the fiscal year (i.e., still 'enrolled' in the program), and those who did not complete the program (i.e., failed to completed the program and are no longer 'enrolled' in the program).

NUMBER OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS	
Completed Program:	279
In Progress:	141
Did Not Complete Program:	33
Total:	453.00

Enter the approximate average length of time spent in the program by **all program participants** during the fiscal year.

AVERAGE LENGTH OF TIME	
Average Length of Time In Program:	214.0 Days <input type="button" value="v"/>
PER PARTICIPANT EXPENDITURES	
Funds Expended Per Program Participant:	\$1,429.00

Juvenile Participant Outcome Measures

Outcome:	Arrest Rate (All Arrests)	Completion of Probation Rate	Incarceration Rate
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Percentage	A Percentage	A Percentage
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	N/A
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	While in Program
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	294	166	293
Results For Program Participants:	21.8 %	60.8 %	8.2 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	0
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	308	177	293
Results for Reference Group:	21.8 %	53.1 %	7.5 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	0

Outcome:	Rate of Completion of Restitution	Rate of Completion of Court-Ordered Community Service	Probation Violation Rate
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Percentage	A Percentage	A Percentage
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	N/A
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	While in Program
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	51	145	177
Results For Program Participants:	47.1 %	62.1 %	28.8 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	0
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	63	146	177
Results for Reference Group:	41.3 %	65.8 %	29.4 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	0

Outcome:	Education - Academic Achievement (Grade Point Average)	Education - Attendance - Other - Percent of Class Periods with Unexcused Absences	
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Mean or Average	A Percentage	
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	145	165	
Results For Program Participants:	2.04 Mean/Avg.	5.2 %	
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	144	151	
Results for Reference Group:	2.03 Mean/Avg.	7.3 %	
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	

Progress Report Comments - Outcomes: Provide any additional information that further explains or is important to the interpretation of the results reported in the progress report, including program implementation issues, pending additional program results, supplemental evaluation findings (process evaluations, case studies, cost-benefit analysis, etc.), the results of statistical significance testing, program changes emanating from the evaluation results, etc.

The number of all minors with an arrest is exactly the same in the current year, twenty-two (22%) compared to the previous year. Thus, the goal of no change is met for all minors.

There is only one percentage (1%) point difference between this year and last year for minors completing probation. The difference is not significantly different. Thus the goal of no change between the years is met.

There was an increase of point seven percentage (.07%) points in this year's commitment rate compared to last year. The difference is not statistically significant; therefore, the goal of no change between the years is met.

The percentage of minors who paid their restitution was six percentage (6.0%) points higher this year compared to the previous year; thus, the goal has been exceeded.

The CART minors who have a community service obligation this year completed their community service at a slightly lower rate, sixty-two point one percent (62.1%) compared to last year's group of sixty-five point eight percent (65.8)%. This is three point seven percentage (3.7%) points less than the previous year. As this is not statistically significant, the goal of no change is met.

In the current year the rate of minors having at least one probation violation arrest is half a percentage (.05 %) point lower than the previous year. Thus the goal of no change is met.

There is no difference in Grade Point Average (GPA) at the end of the program between last year and this year. Thus the goal of no change is met for GPA.

This program year, the CART minors have a lower percent of unexcused absences, five point two percent (5.2%) vs. seven point three percent (7.3%) for last year. This difference is statistically significant; therefore, the goal of change between the years is exceeded.

This program year, all areas of the goals measured in the CART program were met or exceeded. These results indicate that the CART program's goals of meeting or surpassing the outcomes of last year was equally or exceedingly successful. The major goals of the CART program to raise academic attainment, reduce recidivism, and successfully move minors off supervision are all showing positive gains. There will be a continued focus on improving the CART program in all areas to provide excellence in service to the youth, their families and the community of El Dorado County.