

# Dudek

## AGREEMENT FOR SERVICES #425-S1411

### EXHIBIT A

#### Scope of Work

#### BASE SCOPE OF WORK

##### **TASK A – PROJECT MANAGEMENT**

###### **Task A-1: General Project Management and Project Updates**

This task includes budget for Project meetings with team members, coordination, telephone/email communications, and general Project management and administration. Additionally, over the course of the entire Project, Consultant shall prepare monthly Project status updates in accordance with ARTICLE VI, Progress Reports, briefly outlining Project tasks completed during the past thirty (30) day period and tasks expected to be completed during the next thirty (30) days. Consultant shall submit monthly reports to County who will present the information to County's Board of Supervisors (Board). This task includes preparation of the monthly reports and communication with County and assumes preparation of fifteen (15) to seventeen (17) monthly reports. Consultant's attendance at monthly Board meetings to discuss the Project status is not expected and is not included in this Project task.

Deliverables:

- Email summaries of each meeting's decisions and discussions
- Up to seventeen (17) monthly progress reports

##### **TASK B – GENERAL PLAN BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES POLICIES UPDATE**

###### **Task B-1: Background**

###### **Task Schedule: 1–2 weeks to complete task**

Consultant shall review and compile documents relevant to County Biological Resource Policies, including the General Plan EIR and background studies, and the subsequent lawsuit in 1998. Consultant shall review the General Plan EIR analysis of how application of the biological resources policies would mitigate impacts from General Plan implementation. Consultant shall document the methods in which County sought to implement the policies and define key challenges that County faced in interpreting and applying the policies consistently, predictably, and fairly. During the review process, Consultant shall identify and summarize key issues along the historical Biological Resource Policies development timeline so that the process and need for the current update effort can be clearly understood and communicated. Consultant shall provide County with a draft memorandum identifying key historical issues, a list of all documents reviewed, and a digital copy (PDF) of all documents reviewed, where possible. Consultant shall incorporate comments received from County and shall prepare a final Key Historical Issues memorandum and submit this to County along with a final list of all documents reviewed and a digital copy (PDF) of each, where possible.

Deliverables:

- Draft and final Key Historical Issues memorandum
- Final list of all documents reviewed electronic
- Digital copy (PDF) of final list of all documents reviewed

## **Task B-2: Preliminary Options Memo**

### **Task Schedule: 1–2 weeks to complete task**

Starting from a clear understanding of County's history in developing and implementing the existing Biological Resources and Oak Woodland policies, Consultant shall prepare a Preliminary Options memorandum that outlines the broad policy approaches available to County and an outline of Consultant's proposed work program and schedule, including the public outreach process. A key topic in this discussion will be the differences between policies that require resource conservation, and associated monitoring and management of the conserved areas, compared to policies that provide a mitigation program for resources that are impacted through General Plan implementation. The memorandum shall discuss the varying levels of conservation efforts that may be included in a mitigation-based policy approach. Specific examples of County's existing policy language and policy language from other nearby and/or similar jurisdictions (with similar land use patterns and resources) shall be provided to develop this discussion. For each of the broad policy approaches, Consultant shall present a discussion of how the approach could address impacts from General Plan implementation as well as how it could be practically implemented in County's development review process, and shall discuss various cost considerations associated with each.

Consultant's memo shall also address the concept of self-implementing policies, the level of detail needed to attain this goal, and examples of policy language that can provide this. Self-implementing policies are those that define a process, integrated with County's existing development review procedures, which apply a clear and consistent set of terms and conditions to a site-specific resource inventory and proposed site plan in order to identify and address potential impacts to biological resources. Consultant shall work with County to develop policies that define County's biological resources and establish clear standards that can be applied consistently throughout General Plan implementation to minimize and compensate for impacts to those resources. Once the terms and conditions for resource management are established by the General Plan and any other planning documents, County staff could apply the applicable standards based on site-specific resource inventories and proposed site plans and improvements.

The memo shall outline specific resource issues addressed in County's existing policies and options for updating County's approach to these resources in a way that allows County's land use and economic development goals to guide General Plan implementation while recognizing and appropriately addressing County's goals for resource management.

Consultant shall submit this memo to County for review and meet with County to discuss the memo content; revisions to the memo; and content, format, and goals of Board Meetings No. 1 and No.2, which are listed in Task B-3, below. Following the meeting with County, Consultant shall finalize the Preliminary Options memo and submit it to County for inclusion in the Board Hearing Packet.

#### **Deliverables:**

- Preliminary Options memorandum

## **Task B-3: Board Meetings No. 1 and No. 2**

### **Task Schedule: 3 weeks to complete task**

**Board Meeting No. 1** – Using the Key Historical Issues and Preliminary Options memorandums as a foundation, Consultant shall present a history of the issues, an overview of the anticipated process to update the General Plan policies, and a clear description of the options for the direction that the policy update may take. Consultant envisions this as a half-day educational workshop for the Board with adequate time for questions and interaction. Consultant shall summarize past decisions and actions that have shaped the current reality, with a focus on bringing the Board up to speed on the current issues with the policies, the requirements County must meet as a result of the lawsuit, County's options for resolution of the issues, and how those options would influence implementation of the General Plan and achievement of County's

economic development goals. The educational workshop shall also include a summary of relevant approaches and policies from surrounding counties, and shall describe the anticipated policy update process and schedule.

The goal of this meeting will be to make sure that all Board members have a common understanding of the history of the Biological Resource and Oak Woodland policies and their implementation, the state and adequacy of existing studies, and the upcoming critical path decisions that need to be made (including the timeline for making these decisions). County should also agree that the options on the table generally reflect Board goals.

Consultant shall revise the Board memo as necessary to reflect changes or clarifications discussed at the meeting. If such revisions are necessary, Consultant shall make the required changes as soon as possible, distribute to County for review, finalize the revisions, and submit the memo to County for distribution to the Board for their consideration in preparation for Board Meeting No.2. Consultant shall plan and shall execute this meeting in conjunction with County.

**Board Meeting No. 2** – Approximately two (2) weeks after Board Meeting No. 1, Consultant shall facilitate a second discussion of the issues with the Board. The goal of this meeting is to reach consensus regarding direction on the policy approach options that will be presented to the general public. Although this is scoped as a half-day meeting, the intention is to work with the Board to reach consensus in a few hours. Consultant shall present the approach to stakeholder outreach and presentation and the Board will approve at this meeting.

**Policy Options Memo** – Consultant’s staff shall prepare a new Policy Options memorandum based on Board direction. This memo shall be used to inform the Board of the content of the public outreach sessions. The Policy Options memorandum shall present a more detailed discussion of County’s specific options for each policy being updated, again with the goal of developing policies that are clear, easily understood, and self-implementing.

For each of the policies being updated, Consultant shall present the existing text of the policy, summarize key problems in implementing the policy as currently written, outline several options for updating the policy within the context of the policy-approach direction provided by the Board, and outline the pros and cons of each option. The pros and cons will consider issues such as ease of interpretation and consistent application of the policy to development projects, how the policy would influence General Plan implementation in County, ease of application from the perspective of County staff and decision makers as well as from the perspective of Project applicants, and the degree to which the policy will meet County’s goals for resource management. Consultant shall also identify other policies that may require other revisions to be consistent with the updates to the six (6) primary policies addressed in this Base Scope of Work.

This Policy Options memorandum shall be submitted to County for review and comment prior to presenting the information to the public as listed in Task B-4, below.

Deliverables:

- Up to two (2) presentations to the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors.
- Updated Policy Options memorandum

#### **Task B-4: Public Outreach**

**Task Schedule: 3 weeks to complete task**

**Public Noticing** – Consultant shall discuss standard noticing practices for County and shall prepare a program for public noticing for this effort. Based on strategies previously confirmed with County and in the scope of work for Board Meeting No. 2, Consultant shall ensure that all relevant stakeholder groups are noticed and given the opportunity to provide input into the process. This may require targeted outreach

calls in addition to standard noticing practices. This scope of work includes placing meeting notices in three (3) newspapers (e.g., the *Mountain Democrat*, *Folsom Telegraph*, and *The Sacramento Bee*) and up to five (5) online sources. Consultant shall draft the announcement for the public outreach workshop(s) for County approval.

**Public Outreach Facilitation** – Following Board Meeting No. 2, Consultant shall work with staff to determine the optimal strategy and forum for the public outreach workshop(s). Consultant shall work with County to determine the optimal methods (e.g., one presenter, information stations, combination of both, or one or more workshops) for presenting the relevant information, number of public meetings to hold, and overall outreach program, and Consultant shall prepare the materials to support the selected program. For budgeting purposes, this scope of work assumes that there will be two (2) public outreach workshops and the production of up to ten (10) informational boards and up to ten (10) pages of handouts.

Consultant shall document the public's feedback so that information is clear and available for Task C - EIR. The public's feedback will provide information for the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) process in Task C. The scope of work assumes that members of the public will be given one (1) week after the public outreach workshop(s) to submit comments in writing. Consultant shall record the public comments by collecting comments recorded during the meeting (flip charts or white boards). Consultant shall also provide and facilitate public sign-in and the collection of written comments, if required.

**Final Policy Options Memo** – Consultant shall summarize the public outreach findings and revise the Policy Options memorandum as needed. The final Policy Options memorandum shall be submitted to County for review and shall be included in the Board hearing packet for Board Meeting No. 3, included in Task B-5, below.

Deliverables:

- Public noticing draft announcement
- Public input documentation
- Up to two (2) Public Outreach workshops with handouts
- Final Policy Options memorandum

### **Task B-5: Board Meetings No. 3 and No. 4**

**Task Schedule: 3 weeks to complete task**

**Board Meeting No. 3** – Consultant shall present the public outreach feedback and updated policy options to the Board following the public outreach meeting(s). If necessary, Consultant shall interview key individuals to clarify and/or elaborate on information presented during the public outreach process. This scope of work assumes four (4) thirty (30) minute telephone interviews.

**Task Schedule: 3 weeks to complete task**

**Board Meeting No. 4** – Consultant shall attend the Board meeting to receive final direction on development of draft policy language.

Deliverables:

- Two (2) presentations
- Policy Options memorandum

## **Task B-6: Draft Policy Language**

### **Task Schedule: 4 weeks to complete task**

Following direction from the Board, Consultant shall prepare full Draft Biological Policy language with revisions, which may include preparation of a Draft Oak Woodland Management Plan (OWMP), including draft regulations and standards related to oak trees and oak woodlands. Portions of the draft language may be discussed throughout the preceding tasks; however, this task allows for revisions to all affected policies.

Based on direction from the Board, as well as a comprehensive review of the issues that have arisen during the Integrated Natural Resources Management Plan (INRMP) and OWMP processes, Consultant shall revise and streamline County's biological and oak resource policies, focusing on policies 7.4.2.8, 7.4.2.9, 7.4.4.4, 7.4.4.5, 7.4.5.1, and 7.4.5.2. The updated policies shall be self-implementing, meaning that they will define a process, integrated with County's existing development review procedures, that applies a clear and consistent set of terms and conditions to a site-specific resource inventory and proposed site plan in order to identify and address potential impacts to biological resources.

Potential options for policy 7.4.2.8 include retaining the INRMP program, streamlining the program to various degrees, and/or eliminating the program. Retained elements of policy 7.4.2.8 may include some combination of the habitat inventory, wildlife movement corridor and road undercrossing guidelines, mitigation assistance, and/or habitat acquisition, management, monitoring, and funding. Options for policy 7.4.2.9 include keeping the Important Biological Corridor (IBC) overlay provisions as they are, streamlining them to various degrees, and/or eliminating the IBC overlay. Retained elements of policy 7.4.2.9 may include requirements that projects not conflict with the IBC overlay; establishment of standards for minimum parcel size, contiguous areas, and minimum corridor widths; and/or restrictions on barriers to wildlife movement.

Potential options for oak woodland-related policies (Policies 7.4.4.4, 7.4.4.5, 7.4.5.1, and 7.4.5.2) include language revisions to ensure consistency between policies, consolidation of two (2) or more policies, development of a two-tiered approach to oak tree/woodland mitigation, and/or completion of an updated OWMP. An updated OWMP would utilize all applicable data and information in County's original OWMP and would be streamlined and focused on updated policy language, and shall include necessary background information. The OWMP would also consider current state law regulating impacts to oak woodlands (California Public Resources Code [PRC] Section 21083.4). The content of oak woodland-related policy language will be based on Board direction (Task B-5).

Consultant shall address mitigation options for projects impacting oak woodlands or oak trees in the oak woodland-related policies. Oak tree or woodland mitigation options shall be clear and consistent across all policies and shall consider the requirements of California PRC Section 21083.4. Direction from the Board will dictate oak woodland-related mitigation approaches, which may include woodland or tree preservation, tree planting, degraded woodland enhancement, and/or fee payment. Oak woodland-related mitigation shall be clear and implementable, and conflicting or otherwise unclear language in the existing policies shall be revised or updated.

Following completion of the Draft Biological Policies and OWMP (if prepared), a complete digital copy (PDF) of the each, as applicable, shall be provided to County. These drafts shall be used for the Project description for the EIR for the Project (Task C).

#### **Deliverables:**

- Draft Biological Policies and Oak Woodland Management Plan (if prepared) in PDF

## **Task B-7: Final Policy Language and Final Oak Woodland Management Plan**

### **Task Schedule: 2 weeks following completion of Final EIR**

Following completion of the Final EIR, Consultant shall finalize the Biological Resources Policy language (and OWMP, if applicable). Consultant shall incorporate all modifications identified during the EIR process. A complete digital copy (PDF) of the final Biological Resources Policy language (and OWMP, if applicable) shall be provided to County upon completion.

Deliverables:

- Final Biological Resources policy language
- Final Oak Woodland Management Plan, if applicable

## **TASK C – EIR**

### **Task Schedule: 34–42 weeks to complete task**

#### **Task C-1: Initial Study and Scoping**

Consultant shall prepare a detailed Initial Study to analyze potential impacts and focus out of the EIR further discussion of issues and impacts clearly demonstrated to be less than significant. It is likely that the Initial Study would focus the EIR on issues of biology, land use, and visual impacts; however, Consultant's determination shall be made upon review of the analyses presented in the Initial Study. Consultant shall fully analyze agricultural/forestry issues in these sections. Consultant shall prepare a Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the Draft EIR and submit an Administrative Draft Initial Study and Administrative Draft NOP for County's review. Once approved by County, Consultant shall provide one (1) reproducible copy of the final NOP with the final Initial Study attached and one (1) electronic copy of the NOP and Initial Study. Consultant shall also distribute fifteen (15) copies of the NOP with the Final Initial Study attached to the California State Office of Planning and Research Clearinghouse.

During the thirty (30) day NOP public review period, Consultant shall plan, facilitate, and attend one (1) public outreach workshop. This workshop shall solicit the public's input on the content of the EIR. Consultant shall prepare materials for this workshop, including a fact sheet providing a summary of the material presented at the public outreach workshop(s), final direction from the Board, and listing key environmental issues to be addressed in the CEQA document.

Deliverables:

- One (1) public outreach workshop, including materials and fact sheet
- One (1) reproducible copy and one (1) electronic copy of the final NOP with the Initial Study attached
- Fifteen (15) copies of the NOP with the Initial Study attached

#### **Task C-2: Administrative Draft EIR**

Consultant shall prepare the Administrative Draft EIR (ADEIR) from the information, criteria, and analyses developed in the above tasks. The ADEIR shall be prepared in accordance with current CEQA Guidelines, case law, and El Dorado County's standards and procedures. The following presents the proposed outline of the EIR, as well as strategic considerations related to the preparation and presentation of individual sections.

#### **Introduction**

Consultant shall present in the Introduction the objectives of the proposed Biological Resources Policies and OWMP (if applicable). These objectives shall be used to frame and define reasonable and feasible alternatives. In this section, Consultant shall describe the relationship of the planning process to that of the environmental review process and the planning and scoping processes through which the proposed General Plan updates and the EIR scope were developed. The Introduction shall also describe the role of

the EIR in the overall planning process, the procedural steps by which the EIR will be processed, and the programmatic nature of the environmental analysis.

### **Summary**

In the Summary, Consultant shall include a summary table and narrative that describes the proposed Biological Resources Policies and OWMP, their significant environmental effects, the mitigation measures that would reduce or avoid those significant effects, the residual levels of impact significance after mitigation, and the principal areas of public controversy.

### **Project Description**

County's objectives for the Project and the proposed Biological Resources Policies and OWMP shall be described in text, tabular, and graphic forms. The public will be referred to the EIR Introduction for information concerning the background, processing, and scheduling of the planning and environmental process.

### **Alternatives**

As required by CEQA Guidelines, in the Alternatives section included in the EIR, Consultant shall address a reasonable range of feasible alternatives that meet most of the Project objectives and that reduce or avoid one (1) or more significant effects of the proposed policy update. Consultant shall meet with County to formulate up to three (3) Project alternatives for analysis. Upon completion of this task, Consultant shall provide a matrix comparison of impacts and identify the environmentally superior alternative.

The narrative of each alternative shall consist of three (3) subsections:

- Description of alternative
- Distinctive environmental characteristics of alternative, which shall identify the major differences between the impacts of the proposed Project and those of the alternative
- Status of alternative, which shall indicate the status of each alternative and its relative environmental advantages and/or disadvantages

The Alternatives chapter included in the EIR shall also identify any alternatives considered but rejected as infeasible, and the reasons for finding them infeasible.

### **Environmental Setting, Impact, and Mitigation**

To facilitate report continuity and minimize redundancy in each environmental topic, Consultant shall present setting, impact, and mitigation in one (1) unified section of the EIR. As required by the CEQA Guidelines, the setting shall describe the environment in the study area as it exists at the time of the NOP. The setting will be presented from local, subregional, and/or regional perspectives, as appropriate to each environmental topic. As described above, this scope of work assumes the EIR shall be focused primarily on the environmental issues discussed below.

Consultant shall present the environmental effects of the Project under each topic of analysis. The effects of the Project shall be defined as changes from the Environmental Setting that are attributable to the Project. It is anticipated that many of the Biological Resources Policies and the OWMP will mitigate, at least to some extent, potential impacts. Consultant shall assign the same technical specialists to the Biological Resources Policies and OWMP development and the EIR to ensure the two (2) documents are closely coordinated and policies are effective at mitigating impacts. Consultant shall present the mitigation discussion by environmental topic, as required by CEQA Guidelines, and shall distinguish any measures proposed and accepted by County from any other measures identified in the EIR. Consultant shall identify any significant environmental effects due to the mitigation measures.

- **Land Use/Planning:** In the land use and planning section of the EIR, Consultant shall evaluate the buildout capacity of the existing General Plan land use designations in the context of development opportunities and constraints under the proposed Biological Resources Policies and OWMP. Consultant shall also consider whether development patterns allowed under the proposed policies and OWMP could result in land use conflicts or incompatibilities and shall evaluate the degree to which these development patterns will be consistent with regional land use plans. This section of the EIR will discuss the types of land uses existing in and planned for the community, including agricultural uses and forestry resources, and consider whether development under the proposed policies and OWMP could adversely affect agricultural activities and forestry resources in County.
- **Biological Resources:** In the biological resources section of the EIR, Consultant shall provide a description of the existing biological resources within the study area, and shall identify special-status plant or wildlife resources, special-status vegetation communities and/or riparian communities, and wildlife movement corridors that could be impacted by the proposed Biological Resources Policies and OWMP. It is anticipated that the proposed Biological Resources Policies and OWMP will primarily protect, and/or mitigate impacts to, existing biological resources within the study area.
- **Visual Resources:** In the visual resources section of the EIR, Consultant shall describe the visual character of the study area and visual impacts that may result from implementation of the proposed Biological Resources Policies and OWMP. Consultant shall identify view corridors in the study area and analyze whether development patterns under the proposed Biological Resource Policies and OWMP could result in adverse changes to public views, including views from short- and long-range vantage points.

#### **Statutory Sections**

The EIR shall contain the following additional EIR sections, as required by CEQA:

- Significant Environmental Effects of the Proposed Biological Resources Policies and OWMP (including significant unavoidable effects)
- Irreversible Environmental Changes
- Effects Found Not to Be Significant
- Distribution List, and Persons and Organizations Consulted

#### **Appendices**

Consultant shall prepare appendices, such as supporting technical studies, as appropriate. The Draft EIR shall be self-contained and fully comprehensible without reference to appendices.

Consultant shall submit five (5) bound copies and one (1) electronic file of the ADEIR to County for review. County will review the document and provide Consultant with one (1) consolidated set of comments.

Deliverables:

- Five (5) bound copies and one (1) electronic file of the ADEIR

#### **Task C-3: Screencheck Draft**

After County reviews the ADEIR, Consultant shall incorporate the necessary revisions into the document. Consultant and any other appropriate members of Consultant's team may meet with County to discuss the ADEIR. Consultant shall revise the ADEIR and produce a preliminary Draft EIR. Consultant shall make the necessary revisions to the ADEIR and shall submit one (1) copy of a Screencheck Draft EIR for approval by County.



Deliverables:

- One (1) copy of a Screencheck Draft EIR

#### **Task C-4: Public Draft**

Upon County approval of the Screencheck Draft EIR, Consultant shall prepare and submit twenty-five (25) bound copies and one (1) electronic file of the Draft EIR. Consultant shall also deliver fifteen (15) copies of the Draft EIR and Notice of Completion to the State Clearinghouse to start the forty-five (45) day review period.

Consultant shall assist County in preparing a Notice of Availability (NOA) to advertise the Draft EIR for public review. This scope of work assumes that Consultant, with County's assistance, shall compile a mailing list, distribute NOA to interested parties, and publish NOA in three (3) local newspapers.

Consultant shall also attend one (1) public outreach workshop to receive comments on the Draft EIR and shall provide a brief overview of the environmental process and findings of the Draft EIR for presentation at this meeting.

Deliverables:

- One (1) public outreach workshop
- Twenty-five (25) bound copies and one (1) electronic file of the Draft EIR
- Fifteen (15) copies of the Draft EIR and Notice of Completion to the State Clearinghouse

#### **Task C-5: Final EIR**

After close of the forty-five (45) day comment period, Consultant shall analyze public comments received by bracketing and numbering each individual comment within each comment letter and prepare responses to each comment. The public comments and responses shall be compiled into an Administrative Draft Final EIR for County review. After receipt of one (1) set of consolidated comments on the Administrative Draft Final EIR, Consultant shall prepare a Final EIR that shall include all comments received, responses to comments, and any changes to the text of the Draft EIR.

Consultant shall prepare the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP). The MMRP shall be prepared in a tabular form with each mitigation measure, timing, entity responsible for implementation, and method of compliance identified. A draft MMRP shall be provided with the Administrative Draft Final EIR.

Deliverables:

- Fifteen (15) hard copies and an electronic copy of the Administrative Draft Final EIR and Final EIR
- Fifteen (15) hard copies and an electronic copy of the draft MMRP Program

#### **Task C-6: Planning Commission Hearing and Board Approval**

Consultant shall attend and shall make a brief presentation during a Planning Commission hearing on the General Plan policies and EIR recommendation to the Board and Planning Commission. Consultant shall attend and make a brief presentation during the Board meeting to facilitate the approval process. It is assumed there will only be one (1) presentation at the Planning Commission and one (1) presentation to the Board. A PowerPoint presentation shall be prepared for these hearings if required by County's Contract Administrator. Consultant shall be available to answer any questions at the presentations, if requested by County's Contract Administrator. In addition, Consultant shall work with County to prepare the staff reports for the Planning Commission and Board presentations. If Consultant's attendance is required at more than two (2) hearings/Board meetings, County's Contract Administrator shall issue a written Task Order for the additional hearing/Board meetings.

Deliverables:

- One (1) presentation to the El Dorado County Planning Commission
- One (1) presentation to the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors
- Electronic staff reports for the Commission and Board hearings

## OPTIONAL TASK

### **B-6a: Update Priority Conservation Areas**

#### **Task Schedule: 4 weeks to complete task**

If final direction from the Board includes revising and updating the Priority Conservation Areas (PCAs), Consultant shall conduct a priority analysis to identify parcels in County that could be prioritized for oak woodland preservation. PCAs shall be identified as target areas for conservation easement acquisition (or other identified mechanisms), utilizing the funds derived from oak woodland mitigation fee payments identified in the OWMP or updated policy language. Consultant shall update the PCAs using a geographic information system (GIS)-based overlay analysis approach. Utilizing GIS raster overlay tools, Consultant shall classify conservation data sets and their values assigned a weight to reflect their importance. The approach and value weighting effort would be conducted in coordination with County and shall reflect the priorities of stakeholders. The following conservation data sets may be included in the overlay analysis:

- Existing PCA data
- Land ownership and land use data, including General Plan designations
- El Dorado County parcel data
- El Dorado County's Important Biological Corridor and Ecological Preserve data
- Oak woodland mapping data (CalVEG)
- U.S. Forest Service Forest Inventory and Analysis data (linked to CalVEG)
- California Department of Fish and Wildlife data (California Essential Habitat Connectivity Project)
- Zoning data
- Other data as necessary

Upon completion of the value weighting effort, Consultant shall add together the selected conservation values in a GIS calculator procedure to develop an overall priority conservation score across County. This resulting file shall include a range of values derived from an aggregation of conservation values analyzed. Higher numeric values would represent higher conservation value, while lower numeric values would represent lower conservation value. Consultant shall classify the conservation values in to low, medium, and high categories. The resulting conservation value file shall be an Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI) grid file, which shall be provided to County.

Deliverables:

- Electronic copy of ESRI grid file

## SUPPLEMENTAL TASKS

If County determines it is necessary to proceed with Supplemental Tasks to supplement or modify the Base Scope of Work or Optional Task B-6a for the Project, Supplemental Tasks in the form of Task Orders will be issued, pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement.