Case files EG15-5698 and EG15-5793 - American River Conservancy

Sara El-Ami... Thank you now let's go: Thu 7/9/201... 24 KB sleazyneas... You have been registered on sleazyneasy.com Thu 7/9/201... 8 KB Ray H., OF... Welcome 2 Sorry I put the wrong date in the first one The 7/9/201... 15 KB FireWire fr... Top Five Overnight Stories - News from TheBlaze.com Thu 7/9/201... 65 KB Thu 7/9/201... 8 KB vikiporn.co... You have been registered on vikiporn.com Thu 7/9/201... 15 KB Ray H., OF ... Welcome Karen Hunter Thank you for signing the 'Remove the Confederate Flag From... Thu 7/9/201... 15 KB Hillary for ... Welcome aboard, and thank you. Now take the next step: Thu 7/9/201... 20 KB Hillary for ... Welcome aboard, and thank you. Now take the next step: Thu 7/9/201... 20 KB Thu 7/9/201... 18 KB Hillary for ... Welcome to Team Hillary! Thu 7/9/201... 74 KB Change org You have a message about Jazz Brice's petition American R., American River Conservancy Has Received Your Information Thu 7/9/201 ... 8 KB mail@gdrd... New Account Confirmation Thu 7/9/201... 8 KB NewsWith... Without Parental Consent Thu 7/9/201 61 KE

- Date: Yesterday

Make Beath [CLNews] Moderation Wed 7/8/2015 20 KB W



ARC Director Alan Ehrgott trespassing on private property



ARC member Dawn Infurna Bean - Trespassing 4:30 AM





2007 Arson Fire - Mt. Murphy Phase II Acquisition: A public lands acquisition project managed by the American River Conservancy protecting native fisheries, upland habitat, and the scenic backdrop of Sutter's Mill and the Marshall Gold State Park — Another project funded by Proposition 50 through the California Resources Agency to improve River Parkways. Arnold Schwarzenegger, Governor & Mike Chrisman, Secretary of Resources.

CALIFORNIA BROWN ACT

PREAMBLE:

"The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know. The people do not yield their sovereignty to the bodies that serve them. The people insist on remaining informed to retain control over the legislative bodies they have created."

CHAPTER V.

RIGHTS OF THE PUBLIC

\$54954.3 Public's right to testify at meetings. (c) The legislative body of a local agency shall not prohibit public criticism of the policies, procedures, programs, or services of the agency, or of the acts or omissions of the legislative body. Nothing in this subdivision shall confer any privilege or protection for expression beyond that otherwise provided by law. Care must be given to avoid violating the speech rights of speakers by suppressing opinions relevant to the business of the body.

As such, members of the public have broad constitutional rights to comment on any subject relating to the business of the governmental body. Any attempt to restrict the content of such speech must be narrowly tailored to effectuate a compelling state interest. Specifically, the courts found that policies that prohibited members of the public from criticizing school district employees were unconstitutional. (Leventhal v. Vista Unified School Dist. (1997) 973 F. Supp. 951; Baca v. Moreno Valley Unified School Dist. (1996) 936 F. Supp. 719.) These decisions found that prohibiting critical comments was a form of viewpoint discrimination and that such a prohibition promoted discussion artificially geared toward praising (and maintaining) the status quo, thereby foreclosing meaningful public dialog.

Where a member of the public raises an issue which has not yet come before the legislative body, the item <u>may be briefly discussed</u> but no action may be taken at that <u>meeting</u>. The purpose of the discussion is to permit a member of the public to raise an issue or problem with the legislative body or to permit the legislative body to provide information to the public, provide direction to its staff, or schedule the matter for a future meeting. (§ 54954.2(a).)