



EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

vhr's

1 message

rika rich <tahoemessage@sbcglobal.net>
To: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Sat, Nov 2, 2019 at 5:52 PM

11/2/2019

Board of Supervisors:
County bos meeting 11/5/19-item 44 Vacation Rentals

Dear Supervisors:

I am writing this letter hoping that you might finally recognize my neighborhoods cc&r's. These neighborhood rules were put in place to keep our neighborhood residential. Presently I have a mini hotel across the street that:

1. lights up the entire portion of my street with excessive lighting. (i get to keep my shades drawn)
2. has excessive occupancy every time its rented
3. has inadequate parking
4. has excessive noise all day before 10pm (i get to keep my window closed)

This is not a definition of a residential property!

Also please enforce a 500 foot distance requirement for residential neighborhoods that don't have cc&r's.

Thank you

michael rich
[2231 columbine trail](#)
[south lake tahoe ca 96150](#)



EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

11-5-19 Item 44 Vacation Rentals.

3 messages

Tim Coolbaugh <timsresort@att.net>
To: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Sun, Nov 3, 2019 at 2:45 PM

To the Board of Supervisors,

As I'm sure you are aware, the number of VHR's in the Tahoe Basin has increased significantly in the past year since the Board last addressed the VHR issue. At that time our group, Residents for Tahoe requested a moratorium on new VHR permits until the issue of clustering could be addressed. Since that didn't happen, there are now several hundred more VHR's crowding our residential neighborhoods. One can easily look at the EDC website map to see the over-concentration in several areas. Remember, it only takes one near you to make life miserable. Therefore I would once again suggest that the issue of clustering be dealt with to provide relief to these neighborhoods. As for the solutions put forth by the CAO office, only a distance radius of 500 feet between VHR's in any direction makes any sense. The other proposed solutions could leave dangerous over-concentration in some areas, which will provide no relief. Another issue is the complete lack of recognition of the various subdivisions CCR's. My CCR's (and many others) state that they run with the land, get renewed every 10 years, and disallow any form of boarding or lodging, as well as any nuisance to the neighborhood. The County, through its VHR permitting program is interfering with our CCR's, and could be open to legal action to cease this activity. Therefore, I suggest the County stop issuing VHR permits in the areas already deemed not suitable by the CCR's.

Thank you,

Tim Coolbaugh

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>
To: Tim Coolbaugh <timsresort@att.net>

Mon, Nov 4, 2019 at 9:02 AM

Thank you. Appropriate public comment provided for upcoming agenda items will be added to the corresponding file.

Office of the Clerk of the Board
El Dorado County
330 Fair Lane, Placerville, CA 95667
530-621-5390

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s), except as otherwise permitted. Unauthorized interception, review, use, or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, or authorized to receive for the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication. Thank you for your consideration.

[Quoted text hidden]

EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>
To: The BOSONE <bosone@edcgov.us>, The BOSTWO <bostwo@edcgov.us>, The BOSTHREE <bosthree@edcgov.us>, The BOSFOUR <bosfour@edcgov.us>, The BOSFIVE <bosfive@edcgov.us>, Tiffany Schmid <tiffany.schmid@edcgov.us>

Mon, Nov 4, 2019 at 9:04 AM

FYI, #44, VHR 19-1521

Office of the Clerk of the Board
El Dorado County
330 Fair Lane, Placerville, CA 95667
530-621-5390

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s), except as otherwise permitted. Unauthorized interception, review, use, or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, or authorized to receive for the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication. Thank you for your consideration.

[Quoted text hidden]



EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

County BOS meeting, 11-5-19, Item 44, Vacation Rentals

1 message

garf4fun@aol.com <garf4fun@aol.com>
To: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Sun, Nov 3, 2019 at 8:21 PM

El Dorado County Board of Supervisors,

I am writing in reference to the County BOS meeting, 11-5-19 - item - 44 - Vacation Rentals.

I am strongly in support of having recognition of our CC&R's and anti-clustering to protect against neighborhood saturation with VHR's. Additionally, requiring a 500 foot distance between VHR's that do not have CC&R's would be appreciated by many residents.

The negative issues resulting from so many VHR's are only getting worse. I realize the financial benefit that El Dorado County receives through TOT is huge, thus making it extremely challenging to change anything that could result in less money for the county. However, it is vital that you recognize and act upon the importance of the CC&R's that currently exist for many residents.

Please show your respect for the El Dorado County residents that you represent, and follow through with acting to protect our neighborhoods from these VHR issues.

Sincerely,
Ardel Garofalos



EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Vacation Rentals Ordinance

1 message

John <jvaski@sbcglobal.net>
To: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Mon, Nov 4, 2019 at 8:09 AM

Dear Members of the Board,

It is well past time you addressed serious restrictions on Vacation rentals in our residential neighborhoods.

Many of us have been living surrounded by these nuisances for over a decade now and we want you to take action.

(1) I have recommended a 500 ft raduis restriction many times. Many other cities around the country have already adpoted this method for breaking up clustering and ultimately creating a cap.

It is now time for you to act and adopt this restriction.

(2) Our Ordinance has no teeth in it for Law Enforcement to write citations for VHR Owners who continually receive nuisance compaints.

Residents need to know that when a VHR is continually a nuisance - that property will be permanently restricted from obtaining a permit after three strikes.

(3) The County needs to amend the Ordinance for vehicle and parking limitations, and for VHR renters parking on dirt. TRPA regulations require vehicle parking on legitimate paved driveways that meet the allowable coverage for the property. Many of these VHR's have renters parking on dirt, in front of neighbor's properties, or all over the front yards of these VHR rental properties.

(4) The County needs to adopt the restriction that all VHR's and Air B&B's be managed by a local owner or company only, and each new group of guests be physicallymet and let into the property at arrival for a head count.

All too often the original renters show up and invite sometimes double the occupancy limitations later in the night for partites. When this happens the surrounding residents suffer the consequences.

Our group Residents for Tahoe has continually made serious efforts to have your Board adopt reasonable restrictions for the safety and property enjoyment for full time residential owners.

It is time to set aside the focus on the TOT revenue and show some consideration for Tahoe's full time residents who have lost enjoyment and peaceful living on their properties.

Thank You for listening.

John Adamski