| | filled with respect to Public Resources Code Section 21081.6. MONITORING | | | | MONITORING | | MONITORING | | VERIFICATION | |
|--|--|---|---|-----------|------------|--|------------|--|--------------|--|
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity ⁴ | Signature | Date | | | | | |
| Naturally Occurring Asbestos is | Mitigation Measure AQ-1 Asbestos | – prior to issuance of any permits for | El Dorado | | | | | | | |
| present on the project site according | Dust Mitigation Plan | clearing, grading, or building, and | County | | | | | | | |
| to the El Dorado County Naturally | An application shall be made to County | - implemented when more than 20 cubic | Planning and | | | | | | | |
| Occurring Asbestos Review Map. | AQMD to review the Asbestos Dust | yards of earth will be moved. | Building | | | | | | | |
| Therefore, any future development | Mitigation plan. Developer/ applicant | | Department | | | | | | | |
| would be required to have an | shall obtain an approved plan from | | and El Dorado | | | | | | | |
| Asbestos Dust mitigation plan | County AQMD prior to issuance of any | | County | | | | | | | |
| prepared, submitted, approved by | permits for clearing, grading, or building. | | AQMD | | | | | | | |
| County AQMD | | | | | | | | | | |
| – prior to issuance of any permits for | | | | | | | | | | |
| clearing, grading, or building | | | | | | | | | | |
| - and implemented when more than | | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 cubic yards of earth will be moved. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Review of the California Natural | Mitigation Measure BIO-1 Special-Status | Within 14 days prior to land clearing, | El Dorado | | | | | | | |
| Diversity Database (CNDDB) | Plants Special-status plant surveys conducted | grading, or other construction | County | | | | | | | |
| demonstrates the project site is | throughout the Study Area in 2021 were | activities | Planning and | | | | | | | |
| located within a sensitive natural | negative within the proposed impact | | Building | | | | | | | |
| community of the County. A Biological | area, but given enough time, plants may | | Department | | | | | | | |
| Resources Analysis were prepared for | become established in areas where | | | | | | | | | |
| the project by Madrone Ecological | suitable habitat exists. Therefore, if land | | | | | | | | | |
| Consulting in June 2021. Special- | clearing, grading, or other construction | | | | | | | | | |
| status plant surveys conducted at that | activities do not commence on each | | | | | | | | | |
| time were negative within the parcel | respective parcel prior to the spring of | | | | | | | | | |
| area but given enough time, plants | 2023, another round of special-status | | | | | | | | | |
| may become established in the areas | plant surveys shall be conducted during | | | | | | | | | |
| where suitable habitat exists. | the appropriate blooming period in areas | | | | | | | | | |
| | proposed for impact prior to initiation of | | | | | | | | | |

| | I | MONITORING | | VERIFICATION | |
|-------------------|---|---|---|--------------|-----|
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity ⁴ | Signature | Dat |
| | grading or commencement of other | | | | |
| | construction activities. Similarly, if land | | | | |
| | clearing, grading or other construction | | | | |
| | activities do not commence on. each | | | | |
| | respective parcel prior to the spring in | | | | |
| | which construction is expected to start, | | | | |
| | another round of special-status plant | | | | |
| | surveys shall be conducted during the | | | | |
| | appropriate blooming period in areas | | | | |
| | proposed for impact prior to initiation of | | | | |
| | grading or other commencement of | | | | |
| | construction activities. Developer/ | | | | |
| | applicant shall maintain documentation | | | | |
| | of surveys conducted and shall produce | | | | |
| | them to the County upon request. If no | | | | |
| | special-status plant species are found, | | | | |
| | no further mitigation would be required. If | | | | |
| | special-status plants are found and will | | | | |
| | be impacted, mitigation for those impacts | | | | |
| | will be determined by a qualified | | | | |
| | botanist/biologist. Specific mitigation | | | | |
| | measures will be determined based on | | | | |
| | the plant species impacted, physical | | | | |
| | conditions at the impact site, and | | | | |
| | conditions at the proposed mitigation | | | | |
| | site. | | | | |
| | Options for mitigating annual plants | | | | |
| | could include: | | | | |

| | MONITORING | | | VERIFICATION | |
|-------------------|---|---|---|--------------|-----|
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity ⁴ | Signature | Dat |
| | Avoidance | | | | |
| | Seed collection and planting at the | | | | |
| | mitigation site | | | | |
| | Collection of seed-bearing soil, to be | | | | |
| | spread at the mitigation site | | | | |
| | Options for perennial plants could | | | | |
| | include: | | | | |
| | Avoidance | | | | |
| | Transplantation of plant to the | | | | |
| | mitigation site | | | | |
| | Propagation using cuttings, to be | | | | |
| | planted at the mitigation site | | | | |
| | Seed collection and planting at the | | | | |
| | mitigation site | | | | |
| | If plants listed under CESA are located | | | | |
| | within the project Phase 1 boundary that | | | | |
| | cannot be avoided, the | | | | |
| | developer/ applicant shall coordinate | | | | |
| | with CDFW for issuance an Incidental | | | | |
| | Take Permit (ITP). | | | | |
| | Mitigation Measure BIO-2 Pre-Construction Nesting Bird Surveys | Within 14 days prior to the initiation of | El Dorado | | |
| | If ground disturbance (e.g., land clearing, | ground disturbance or other construction | County | | |
| | grading) or other construction activities | activities within the proposed | Planning and | | |
| | are proposed during the bird nesting | construction area and all accessible | Building | | |
| | season (February 1 – August 31), a | areas within 500-feet of the construction | Department | | |
| | focused survey for nesting raptors | area. | | | |
| | (including Cooper's hawk) and migratory | | | | |
| | bird nests shall be conducted by a | | | | |

| | | MONITORING | | VERIFICATION | |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--------------|----|
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity ⁴ | Signature | Da |
| | qualified biologist within 14 days prior to | | | | |
| | the initiation of construction activities in | | | | |
| | order to identify active nests. This survey | | | | |
| | shall be conducted within the proposed | | | | |
| | construction area and all accessible | | | | |
| | areas within 500 feet of the construction | | | | |
| | area. If active raptor nests are found, no | | | | |
| | construction activities shall take place | | | | |
| | within 500 feet of the nest until the young | | | | |
| | have fledged. If active songbird nests are | | | | |
| | found, a 100-foot no disturbance buffer | | | | |
| | will be established. These no-disturbance | | | | |
| | buffers may be reduced based on a | | | | |
| | determination by a qualified biologist. | | | | |
| | The perimeter of the protected area shall | | | | |
| | be indicated by bright orange temporary | | | | |
| | fencing. No construction activities or | | | | |
| | personnel shall enter the protected area, | | | | |
| | except with approval of a qualified | | | | |
| | biologist. If tree removal is necessary, | | | | |
| | trees containing nests, or burrows that | | | | |
| | must be removed as a result of project | | | | |
| | implementation shall be removed during | | | | |
| | the nonbreeding season (late September | | | | |
| | to March). If no active nests are found | | | | |
| | during the focused survey, no further | | | | |
| | mitigation will be required. Developer/ | | | | |
| | applicant shall maintain documentation | | | | |

| • • | | MONITORING | | VERIFICATION | |
|-------------------|---|--|---|--------------|-----|
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity ⁴ | Signature | Dat |
| | of surveys conducted and shall produce | | | | |
| | them to the County upon request. | | | | |
| | Mitigation Measure BIO-3 Pre-Construction Roosting Bat Surveys | Within 14 days prior to any tree or building removal. | El Dorado County | | |
| | Pre-construction roosting bat surveys | | Planning and | | |
| | shall be conducted by a qualified | | | | |
| | biologist within 14 days prior to any tree | | Building | | |
| | or building removal. If pre-construction | | Department | | |
| | surveys indicate that no roosts of | | | | |
| | special-status bats are present, or that | | | | |
| | roosts are inactive or potential habitat is | | | | |
| | unoccupied, no further mitigation is | | | | |
| | required. If roosting bats are found, | | | | |
| | exclusion shall be conducted as | | | | |
| | recommended by a qualified biologist. | | | | |
| | Methods may include acoustic | | | | |
| | monitoring, evening emergence surveys, | | | | |
| | and the utilization of two-step tree | | | | |
| | removal supervised by a qualified | | | | |
| | biologist. Two-step tree removal involves | | | | |
| | removal of all branches that do not | | | | |
| | provide roosting habitat on the first day, | | | | |
| | and the next day cutting down the | | | | |
| | remaining portion of the tree. Building | | | | |
| | exclusion methods may include such | | | | |
| | techniques as installation of passive one- | | | | |
| | way doors, or the installation of netting | | | | |
| | when the bats are not present to prevent | | | | |
| | their reoccupation. Once the bats have | | | | |

| - · | | MONITORING | VERIFICATION | | |
|-------------------|---|---|---|-----------|-----|
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity ⁴ | Signature | Dat |
| | been excluded, tree or building removal | | | | |
| | may occur. Developer/ applicant shall | | | | |
| | maintain documentation of surveys | | | | |
| | conducted and shall produce them to the | | | | |
| | County upon request. | | | | |
| | Mitigation Measure BIO-4: Pre- Construction Wildlife Surveys | Within 48 hours prior to initiation of any | El Dorado | | |
| | Prior to any ground-disturbing or | ground-disturbing or vegetation-removal | County | | |
| | vegetation-removal activities within | activities within annual grasslands. | Planning and | | |
| | annual grasslands, an American badger | | Building | | |
| | and coast horned lizard survey shall be | | Department | | |
| | conducted within the grasslands within | | | | |
| | 48 hours prior to initiation of said | | | | |
| | activities. If no American badgers or their | | | | |
| | burrows or Coast horned lizards are | | | | |
| | found, no further mitigation is necessary. | | | | |
| | If a coast horned lizard is observed within | | | | |
| | the proposed impact area, a qualified | | | | |
| | biologist shall relocate the individual to | | | | |
| | suitable habitat outside of the proposed | | | | |
| | impact area prior to construction. If an | | | | |
| | American badger burrow is observed | | | | |
| | within the proposed impact area, no | | | | |
| | construction shall occur within 200 feet | | | | |
| | of the burrow until the badger is no | | | | |
| | longer occupying the burrow. Developer/ | | | | |
| | applicant shall maintain documentation | | | | |
| | of surveys conducted and shall produce | | | | |
| | them to the County upon request. | | | | |

| - · | MONITORING | | | VERIFICATION | | | |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--------------|------|--|--|
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity ⁴ | Signature | Date | | |
| | Mitigation Measure BIO-5: Worker | Prior to any ground-disturbing or | El Dorado | | | | |
| | Environmental Awareness Training Prior to any ground-disturbing or | vegetation-removal activities | County | | | | |
| | vegetation-removal activities, a Worker | | Planning and | | | | |
| | Environmental Awareness Training | | Building | | | | |
| | (WEAT) shall be prepared and | | Department | | | | |
| | administered to the construction crews. | | | | | | |
| | The WEAT will include the following: | | | | | | |
| | discussion of the state and federal | | | | | | |
| | Endangered Species Act, the Clean Water | | | | | | |
| | Act, the Project's permits and CEQA | | | | | | |
| | documentation, and associated mitigation | | | | | | |
| | measures; consequences and penalties | | | | | | |
| | for violation or noncompliance with these | | | | | | |
| | laws and regulations; identification of | | | | | | |
| | special-status wildlife, location of any | | | | | | |
| | avoided Waters of the U.S; hazardous | | | | | | |
| | substance spill prevention and | | | | | | |
| | containment measures; and the contact | | | | | | |
| | person in the event of the discovery of a | | | | | | |
| | special-status wildlife species. The | | | | | | |
| | WEAT will also discuss the different | | | | | | |
| | habitats used by the species' different | | | | | | |
| | life stages and the annual timing of these | | | | | | |
| | life stages. A handout summarizing the | | | | | | |
| | WEAT information shall be provided to | | | | | | |
| | workers to keep on-site for future | | | | | | |
| | reference. Upon completion of the WEAT | | | | | | |
| | training, workers will sign a form stating | | | | | | |

| | | MONITORING | | VERIFICATION | TION | |
|--|--|---|---|--------------|------|--|
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity ⁴ | Signature | Date | |
| | that they attended the training, | | | | | |
| | understand the information presented | | | | | |
| | and will comply with the regulations | | | | | |
| | discussed. Workers will be shown | | | | | |
| | designated "avoidance areas" during the | | | | | |
| | WEAT training; worker access should be | | | | | |
| | restricted to outside of those areas to | | | | | |
| | minimize the potential for inadvertent | | | | | |
| | environmental impacts. Fencing and | | | | | |
| | signage around the boundary of | | | | | |
| | avoidance areas may be helpful. | | | | | |
| The Biological Resources Analysis prepared for the project states there | Mitigation Measure BIO-6: Aquatic | Prior to initiation of any land clearing, | El Dorado | | | |
| | Resources The developer/ applicant shall procure a | grading, or construction activities | County | | | |
| are riparian and seasonal wetland | verification or jurisdictional determination | | Planning | | | |
| nabitat located on the project site. | from the USACE of the aquatic resources | | Services | | | |
| The intermittent Green Spring Creek | mapped within the Study Area. If impacts | | | | | |
| provides suitable habitat for western | to any of the verified aquatic resources | | | | | |
| oond turtles and marginally suitable | are proposed due to proposed | | | | | |
| nabitat for California red-legged frog | development activities, prior to issuance | | | | | |
| vhen water is present. There is no | of any clearing, grading, or building | | | | | |
| construction proposed as part of this | permits: | | | | | |
| project. However, it is assumed that | 1. If fill will be placed into Waters of the | | | | | |
| uture development of the site would | United States, developer/ applicant shall | | | | | |
| occur. Additionally, the Biological | apply for a Section 404 permit from the | | | | | |
| Resources Analysis requires a 100- | USACE. Waters of the U.S. that will be | | | | | |
| oot setback from this intermittent | impacted shall be replaced or | | | | | |
| creek. | rehabilitated on a "no-net-loss" basis. | | | | | |
| | Habitat restoration, rehabilitation, and/or | | | | | |

| | | MONITORING | | VERIFICATION | |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--------------|------|
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity⁴ | Signature | Date |
| | replacement shall be at a location and by | | | | |
| | methods acceptable to the USACE. | | | | |
| | 2. The developer/applicant shall apply for | | | | |
| | a Section 401 water quality certification | | | | |
| | from the RWQCB for impacts to aquatic | | | | |
| | features for which a Section 404 permit | | | | |
| | will be obtained and adhere to the | | | | |
| | certification conditions. If any proposed | | | | |
| | development proposes to impact aquatic | | | | |
| | features that are not Waters of the U.S. | | | | |
| | but are waters of the state, Waste | | | | |
| | Discharge Requirements will be obtained | | | | |
| | from the RWQCB. | | | | |
| | 3. The developer/applicant shall apply for | | | | |
| | a Section 1600 Lake or Streambed | | | | |
| | Alteration Agreement from CDFW if any | | | | |
| | proposed development features may | | | | |
| | result in impacts to Green Spring Creek. | | | | |
| | Mitigation Measure BIO-7: California Red- | If work is proposed within 100 feet of | El Dorado | | |
| | Legged Frog and Western Pond Turtle Pre- Construction Surveys | Green Springs Creek, within 48-hours | County | | |
| | If land clearing, grading, or other | prior to initiation of grading, building, or | Planning | | |
| | construction activities are proposed | other construction activities. | Services | | |
| | within 100 feet of Green Springs Creek, a | | | | |
| | California red-legged frog and western | | | | |
| | pond turtle survey shall be conducted | | | | |
| | within that area and within 100 feet of | | | | |
| | that area, within 48 hours prior to | | | | |
| | construction. If no California red-legged | | | | |

| | | MONITORING | | VERIFICATION | |
|-------------------|---|---|---|--------------|----|
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity ⁴ | Signature | Da |
| | frogs or western pond turtles or their | | | | |
| | nests are found, no further mitigation is | | | | |
| | necessary. If a western pond turtle is | | | | |
| | observed within the proposed impact | | | | |
| | area, a qualified biologist shall relocate | | | | |
| | the individual to suitable habitat outside | | | | |
| | of the proposed impact area prior to | | | | |
| | construction. If a western pond turtle | | | | |
| | nest is observed within the proposed | | | | |
| | impact area, the nest shall be fenced off | | | | |
| | and avoided until the eggs hatch. A | | | | |
| | qualified biologist shall monitor to ensure | | | | |
| | that hatchlings do not disperse into the | | | | |
| | construction area. Relocation of | | | | |
| | hatchlings will occur as stipulated above, | | | | |
| | if necessary. If any California red-legged | | | | |
| | frogs are detected, the project proponent | | | | |
| | shall implement measures to avoid | | | | |
| | impacts to individual frogs during project | | | | |
| | implementation. CDFW may be consulted | | | | |
| | regarding these measures; however, their | | | | |
| | engagement is not mandatory, and in the | | | | |
| | absence of a regulatory action on their | | | | |
| | part, such as a Streambed Alteration | | | | |
| | Agreement, staffing limitations may | | | | |
| | preclude their involvement in the | | | | |
| | development or review of avoidance | | | | |
| | measures. Developer/applicant shall | | | | |

| | | MONITORING | | VERIFICATION | |
|---|---|---|---|--------------|------|
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity ⁴ | Signature | Date |
| | maintain documentation of surveys | | | | |
| | conducted and shall produce them to the | | | | |
| | County upon request. | | | | |
| Shallow water was found in all three | A pre-treatment "alternative" septic | Prior to issuance of any building permits | El Dorado | | |
| test pits conducted on Parcel Two in | system shall be required for any future | requiring wastewater treatment/ disposal | County | | |
| 2002 at a depth between 5 and 7 feet. | septic systems installed on Parcel Two in | on Parcel Two. | Planning | | |
| El Dorado County sewage ordinances | order to reduce the required separation | | Services | | |
| require a minimum separation of 5 | of 5 feet to 2 feet between the bottom of | | | | |
| feet between the bottom of the leach | the leach field and the highest level of | | | | |
| field trenches and the highest level of | seasonal groundwater. | | | | |
| seasonal groundwater. El Dorado | | | | | |
| County Environmental Management | | | | | |
| identified a pre-treatment "alternative | | | | | |
| " septic system that would be required | | | | | |
| for future development of Parcel Two | | | | | |
| in order to reduce the required | | | | | |
| separation of 5 feet to 2 feet between | | | | | |
| the bottom of the leach field and the | | | | | |
| highest level of seasonal groundwater. | | | | | |
| The site was previously used as a | Mitigation Measure HAZ-1 | Prior to recording the parcel map. | El Dorado | | |
| berry farm and the developer/ | Prior to recording the parcel map, | | County | | |
| applicant will need to test for the | developer/ applicant shall provide third- | | Planning | | |
| presence of pesticides and herbicides | party testing results from a qualified | | Services | | |
| | professional showing the soil onsite does | | | | |
| | not contain hazardous levels of | | | | |
| | pesticides, herbicides, or other | | | | |
| | substances that would result in unsafe | | | | |
| | conditions for grading or construction | | | | |
| | activities, or for any allowed or | | | | |

| | | MONITORING | | VERIFICATION | |
|---|---|--|---|--------------|------|
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity ⁴ | Signature | Date |
| | permittable uses on the site. Discovery of | | | | |
| | hazardous levels of pesticides, | | | | |
| | herbicides, or other substances on the | | | | |
| | site that require mitigation/ remediation | | | | |
| | could result in the need for subsequent | | | | |
| | CEQA analysis. | | | | |
| Following a review of the project area, no historical resource, historic | Mitigation Measure: TCR-1: If any suspected TCR are discovered | Upon discovery of any Tribal Cultural Resource(s) | El Dorado County | | |
| property, unique archaeological | during ground disturbing construction | | Coroner's | | |
| esource, or tribal place was identified | activities, all work shall cease within 100 | | Office | | |
| on the project site, and no further | feet of the find, or an agreed upon | | | | |
| rchaeological work was | distance based on the project area and | | | | |
| ecommended. | nature of the find. A Tribal | | | | |
| | Representative from a California Native | | | | |
| | American tribe that is traditionally and | | | | |
| | culturally affiliated with a geographic | | | | |
| | area shall be immediately notified and | | | | |
| | shall determine if the find is a TCR (PRC | | | | |
| | § 21074). The Tribal Representative will | | | | |
| | make recommendations for further | | | | |
| | evaluation and treatment as necessary. | | | | |
| | When avoidance is infeasible, | | | | |
| | preservation in place is the preferred | | | | |
| | option for mitigation of TCRs under CEQA | | | | |
| | and the Wilton Rancheria and United | | | | |
| | Auburn Indian Community of the Auburn | | | | |
| | Rancheria (UAIC) protocols, and every | | | | |
| | effort shall be made to preserve the | | | | |
| | resources in place, including through | | | | |

| ing requirements have bee | 1 | MONITORING | | VERIFICATION | |
|---------------------------|--|---|---|--------------|----|
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity ⁴ | Signature | Da |
| | project redesign, if feasible. Culturally | | | | |
| | appropriate treatment may be, but is not | | | | |
| | limited to, processing materials for | | | | |
| | reburial, minimizing handling of cultural | | | | |
| | objects, leaving objects in place within | | | | |
| | the landscape, or returning objects to a | | | | |
| | location within the project area where | | | | |
| | they will not be subject to future impacts. | | | | |
| | Permanent curation of TCRs will not take | | | | |
| | place unless approved in writing by the | | | | |
| | Wilton Rancheria, UAIC or by the | | | | |
| | California Native American Tribe that is | | | | |
| | traditionally and culturally affiliated with | | | | |
| | the project area. The contractor shall | | | | |
| | implement any measures deemed by the | | | | |
| | CEQA lead agency to be necessary and | | | | |
| | feasible to preserve in place, avoid, or | | | | |
| | minimize impacts to the resource, | | | | |
| | including, but not limited to, facilitating | | | | |
| | the appropriate tribal treatment of the | | | | |
| | find, as necessary. Treatment that | | | | |
| | preserves or restores the cultural | | | | |
| | character and integrity of a TCR may | | | | |
| | include Tribal Monitoring, culturally | | | | |
| | appropriate recovery of cultural objects, | | | | |
| | and reburial of cultural objects or cultural | | | | |
| | soil. Work at the discovery location | | | | |
| | cannot resume until all necessary | | | | |

| | | MONITORING | | VERIFICATION | |
|--|---|---|---|--------------|------|
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity ⁴ | Signature | Date |
| | investigation and evaluation of the | | | | |
| | discovery under the requirements of the | | | | |
| | CEQA, including AB52, have been | | | | |
| | satisfied. | | | | |
| The developer/ applicant would be required to provide proof that the well provides adequate potable water supply to County Environmental Management and proof that the well provides adequate emergency water | Mitigation Measure UTIL 1: | Prior to recording the parcel map | El Dorado | | |
| | The developer/ applicant shall provide | | County. | | |
| | proof that the single existing well | | | | |
| | provides adequate potable water supply | | | | |
| | to County Environmental Management | | | | |
| | and proof that the well provides adequate | | | | |
| supply to Rescue Fire Protection | emergency water supply to Rescue Fire | | | | |
| District. | Protection District for both parcels; | | | | |
| | OR | | | | |
| | The developer/ applicant shall provide | | | | |
| | proof of adequate potable water supply | | | | |
| | and source to County Environmental | | | | |
| | Management and proof of adequate | | | | |
| | emergency water supply and source to | | | | |
| | Rescue Fire Protection District for each | | | | |
| | individual parcel. Timing/Implementation: | | | | |
| | Prior to recording the parcel map | | | | |
| | Enforcement/Monitoring: | | | | |
| The developer/ applicant would be | Mitigation Measure UTIL 2: If the single | Prior to recording the parcel map | El Dorado | | |
| required to provide proof that the well | well located on proposed Parcel Two is | | County. | | |
| provides adequate potable water | determined to provide adequate potable | | | | |
| supply to County Environmental | and emergency water supply for both | | | | |
| Management and proof that the well | parcels, and the developer/ applicant | | | | |
| provides adequate emergency water | chooses to not install second well on | | | | |
| | Parcel One, then at the time of | | | | |

| The environmental mitigation measures listed in column two below have been incorporated into the approval for GPA21-0001, Z21-0001, P21-0002 in order to mitigate identified environmental impacts. A completed and signed chart will indicate that each mitigation requirement has been complied with, and that County and state monitoring requirements have been fulfilled with respect to Public Resources Code Section 21081.6. | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--------------|------|--|--|--|
| | MONITORING | | | VERIFICATION | | | | |
| IDENTIFIED IMPACT | RELATED MITIGATION MEASURES | Method of Verification and Timing Requirements | Monitoring and Verification Entity ⁴ | Signature | Date | | | |
| supply to Rescue Fire Protection | recordation of the parcel map, developer/ | | | | | | | |
| District. | applicant shall record an easement | | | | | | | |
| | identifying rights to the water source for | | | | | | | |
| | the parcel on which the well is not | | | | | | | |
| | located. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |