

RMAC is and has been out of control literally for decades.

There are no “volunteer” committee members on RMAC. As mandated by the RMP, all RMAC representatives are appointed by the BOS and are required by their Principle Agent Oaths of Office to abide by the same LORS as every other public official, committee or commission member. It is a position of public trust that has been consistently used and abused literally for decades.

RMAC members have been operating “ultra vires” = without authority and outside the law.

Minutes from 7/25/17 Special RMAC meeting held in MGDP are in error;

No county representative present;

Nate Rangel appointed Karen Mulvaney as his personal “scribe”

Adam Anderson violated Brown Act and Roberts Rules of Order – Mob Rule

Examples > 8/10/17 PC

Nate Rangel commented during 8/10/17 Planning Commission Item #5 – RMP Update: RMAC is “my committee.”

Serial Meetings

Planning Commissioner James Williams comments made on Debating EDC Politics about Larry Weitzman 7/31/17 Mtn. Democrat article “hit piece” made before Nate Rangel’s article was published 8/9/17. Nate influencing the Planning Commission prior to his own “hit piece” published? (Cite examples)

Please enter these documents into the public record:

1) 8/10/17 Planning Commission comments

2) 8/9/17 James Williams email

3) *Brown Act Rights of the Public*

Whoever controls the water controls the people. RMAC is, and always has been out of control for decades. They've always used their positions as a bully pulpit. That's why I brought in law enforcement years ago after river residents were threatened for voicing their concerns. Noah Rucker, Vickie Sanders, Roger Trout & RMAC reps have a penchant for falsifying RMP data and other public documents. The following examples from materials entered into the record are all based on truth, fact, evidence & valid law:

Adam Anderson – RMAC business rep Villa Florentina B&B

1. Blatantly LIED @ 9/14/15 RMAC SUP Meeting (accused me of profanity)
2. 3/22/17 Planning meeting for Villa Florentina SUP revocation - falsely targeted my private residence on his PP presentation for two 'competing noise' events.
3. 7/25/17 Special RMAC meeting in MGDGP accused me of creating a disturbance.

Tom Laske
Nate Rangel
Adam Anderson

CSP rep Bill Deitchman was NOT present for the 9/14/15 meeting, but he had approved those minutes. During a subsequent meeting with CSP personnel Bill claimed "*County Counsel told us we don't have to be present.*" WRONG! Mike Ciccozzi is notorious for giving bad counsel.

May 26, 2016 Special Meeting requested by Nate Rangel scheduled to be held at 6:00 PM in the Marshall Gold Discovery Park Museum. The only topic of this special meeting was the RMP Update. By 6:30 there were only three people in the room, including myself and one other member of the public. It was apparent there was no quorum. As soon as I left the building, the meeting commenced, but the minutes and audio later disappeared from Legistar.

Here's another example from a Planning Commissioner:

"I don't really need to explain to you what I did...I don't need to justify myself to you. You get what I give you!...I suggest you make a complaint to the BOS & have me removed. That would break my heart!...There isn't a 3 strikes policy! I know there's no such policy!...There is nothing in the Brown Act that says you can talk 3 or 5 minutes. One of the unique things about being a Chairman is you don't get to tell me what I can do!...Sounds like you are threatening to take me to court...County Council was right there. I assure you, that if I was in violation of the Brown Act he would have said something."

During the 7/25/17 Special RMAC meeting held in the MGDGP Museum Nate Rangel erroneously claimed RMAC "didn't have to respond" to my questions. That too is

FALSE. Note this KEY excerpt from the Ranalli Affidavit also applies to all public officials, including Committee Reps & Commissioner's Principle Agent Oaths of Office:

The First Amendment guarantees the Right of free speech and the Right to petition government for **redress of grievances**, which, the oath taker, pursuant to his oath, is mandated to uphold. If he fails this requirement, then, he has violated two provisions of the First Amendment, the Public Trust and perjured his oath. By not responding and/or not rebutting, the oath taker denies the Citizen remedy, thus, denies the Citizen constitutional due process of law, as stated within the Bill of Rights. There is no legitimate argument to support the claim that oath takers are not required to respond to correspondence or other public inquiries, which, in this case, act as petitions for redress of grievances, stating complaints, charges and claims made against them by Citizens injured by their actions. All American Citizens, can expect, and have the Right and duty to demand that government officers uphold their oaths to the Constitution(s) and abide by all constitutionally imposed mandates of their oaths.

The minutes for the 7/25th Special RMAC meeting held in the Marshall Gold Discovery Park Museum for the RMP Update contain numerous errors and falsifications. There was no county representative present to maintain order over the mob, and Nate Rangel acting in tandem with Adam Anderson, failed dismally to abide by the Brown Act and Roberts Rules of Order. Karen Mulvaney acted as Mr. Rangel's personally appointed "scribe" during the meeting which was authorized by Parks & Rec Manager, Vickie Sanders. The audio is impossible to understand, let alone transcribe, and the majority of the speakers never identified themselves. Therefore approval of the RMAC minutes, any recommendations, or actions taken by the committee/commissioners is "ultra vires" and in violation of your Principle Agent Oaths of Office.

Note Noah Rucker's comments in Ranalli affidavit obtained via PRA, "River Trust Fund is flat broke." It's a fact that the mgt. of RMP turned over to BLM & CSP - a done deal.

Last, the June 22, 2017 PC workshop/hearing (?) Rangel was permitted to speak and dialog for 16 minutes about the \$20M rafting business. You'll recall his effusive comments re: RMAC formation & Bernard Carlson "good and respected friend." NOT!

Bernard Carlson recent comments after Taxpayers re: Rangel "haven't talked 4-5 years." Generally described Nate as a liberal subversive, and had nothing good to say about him.

Consider carefully the legal ramifications of your decisions about the RMP. Questions?

Melody Lane

From: Melody Lane <melody.lane@reagan.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 9, 2017 6:27 PM
To: 'James Williams'
Cc: john.hidahl@edcgov.us; sue.novasel@edcgov.us; brian.veerkamp@edcgov.us; shiva.frentzen@edcgov.us; barry.smith@parks.ca.gov; Vickie Sanders (vickie.sanders@edcgov.us); Roger Trout (roger.trout@edcgov.us); edc.cob@edcgov.us; Jim Mitrison (jim.mitrison@edcgov.us); 'Donald Ashton'; 'charlene.tim@edcgov.us'; jvegna@edcgov.us; brian.shinault@edcgov.us; gary.miller@edcgov.us; jeff.hansen@edcgov.us; Michael Ranalli; 'Debra Ercolini'; Bill Deitchman
Subject: RE: Please post to 8/10/17 Planning Commission Agenda Item #5 - RMP Update

Hello Mr. Williams,

Please read ALL the documents that I submitted into the record for this agenda item, based on *truth, fact, evidence and valid law*.

If you actually listened as you claimed to the audio of the 7/25 Special RMAC meeting held in the MGDG, then you heard Nate Rangel falsely remarked RMAC “didn’t have to respond” to my questions. FALSE! Note this KEY excerpt from the Ranalli Affidavit (also applies to Committee Reps/Commissioners):

The First Amendment guarantees the Right of free speech and the Right to petition government for **redress of grievances**, which, the oath taker, pursuant to his oath, is mandated to uphold. If he fails this requirement, then, he has violated two provisions of the First Amendment, the Public Trust and perjured his oath. By your own actions, pursuant to your oath, you have violated these First Amendment guarantees. By not responding and/or not rebutting, such as you have demonstrated, you, the oath taker denies the Citizen remedy, thus, denies the Citizen constitutional due process of law, as stated within the Bill of Rights. There is no legitimate argument to support the claim that oath takers, such as you, are not required to respond to correspondence or other public inquiries, which, in this case, act as petitions for redress of grievances, stating complaints, charges and claims made against them by Citizens injured by their actions. All American Citizens, can expect, and have the Right and duty to demand that you and other government officers uphold their oaths to the Constitution(s) and abide by all constitutionally imposed mandates of their oaths. This is an un-enumerated Right guaranteed in the Ninth Amendment, which I hereby claim and exercise.

Also, please be sure to pay particular attention to my inquiries regarding to your public posts on Debating EDC Politics. I see you replied on FB long before Nate Rangel’s “hit piece” was published in the Mtn. Democrat this afternoon. Are you in cahoots with Rangel? Or perhaps

with Sue Taylor & Lori Parlin who regularly pow-wow at Camp Lotus with Bill Center, Harry Mercado, and the rest of the River Mafia Mob? I find it odd you couldn't answer any of my questions below:

Melody Lane I was present for this RMAC meeting to witness the out-of-control River Mafia Mob violating the Brown Act once again under co-chair "Nate The Snake" Rangel (nickname given him in the early 1990s). Note Weitzman's very accurate depiction: "There was no County representative present at a very one-sided meeting that bordered on mob rule. While an official county advisory committee, their actions may have been beyond the law and their authority. It's called an "ultra vires act."

Like · Reply · 1 · August 7 at 2:34pm

James Williams Weitzman's letter is anything but "accurate".

Like · Reply · 2 · Yesterday at 7:55am


Melody Lane I didn't see you in the audience **James Williams**. So how would you know anything about accuracy? It was a mob. The RMAC minutes are totally inaccurate, composed by Karen Mulvaney a Bill Center/ARC shill.

Like · Reply · 1 · Yesterday at 10:25am

James Williams **Melody Lane** I didn't need to be at the RMAC meeting to know the accuracy of the river hit piece by Weitzman. All you have to know is the facts.

Like · Reply · 2 · Yesterday at 10:31am

Melody Lane **James Williams** You should know by now that everything I say/post/submit is based on truth, fact, evidence and valid law. Like I said, I didn't see you at this River Mafia Mob meeting held in the MGD Museum. The facts speak volumes as do your comments. Does Principle Agent Oath of Office mean anything to you?



Melody Lane ALL the BOS appointed River Management Advisory Committee representatives are in violation of their Principal Agent Oaths of Office and other unlawful actions. This applies as well to several of the Planning Commissioners. Note specifically the Gary Miller affidavit posted under "Files" on this page. Shiva Frentzen James Williams Don Ashton

Like · Reply · 1 · August 7 at 5:01pm



Melody Lane The minutes from the July 25th Special RMAC meeting held in the Marshall Gold Discovery Park Museum were just posted to the legistar calendar, and are totally FALSIFIED. The BOS, particularly Supervisor Ranalli, knows for a fact that RMAC reps, working in conjunction with county staff, have been falsifying River Management documents literally for decades, yet they continue to aid and abet their unlawful actions. That is a ripoff of all EDC taxpaying citizens.

Like · Reply · 1 · August 7 at 5:31pm



Melody Lane James Williams Take note. Where do you get your information about the "inaccuracy" of Weitzman's article??? From Sue Taylor Shiva Frentzen Don Ashton or Lori Parlin ?? Didn't see them at this illegitimate RMAC meeting either.

Like · Reply · 1 · Yesterday at 10:29am



James Williams Melody Lane I get my information from reading and reviewing the agenda materials. I prefer to get my information from the documents as apposed to word of mouth. I've also listened to several RMAC audio files. I'm listening to the audio from this meeting today as a matter of fact. For you to say the river hit piece was 'very accurate' is not truth.

Like · Reply · 1 · Yesterday at 12:58pm



Melody Lane James Williams You can't argue with truth, fact, evidence and valid law. Your public statement calling the Weitzman article a "hit piece", and accusing me of making an untruthful statement, is nothing more than your biased opinion making you unfit to hold public office. You weren't present for any RMAC meetings. And besides, you'll find the audios prove the TRUTH of my FACTUAL EVIDENCE submitted into the public record. Refer as well to the Ranalli, Shiva Frentzen and Gary Miller notarized documents posted as Files on this page AND to the Thursday Planning Commission Agenda Item #5 - RMP Update. So are you one of the River Mafia Mob shills, or just one of Ranalli's GOBs operating "ultra vires" under Ciccozzi's notoriously bad counsel?

Like · Reply · Yesterday at 3:49pm





James Williams Melody Lane as usual you fail to stick to the subject and the facts and want to personally attack me instead. I do not have time for games these are serious matters that need attention to detail and proper analysis. I recommend that you spend some time reviewing the documents and you will see the inaccuracy in public statements made for yourself.

Like · Reply · Yesterday at 5:03pm



Melody Lane James Williams No attacks; just the facts: As a public official, you have publicly made false accusations against me, but failed to specify exactly what you meant by "not truth." ALL the notarized documents, photos, audios, etc. I've submitted concerning the RMP are based on truth, fact, evidence and valid law. It is you James Williams, who has obfuscated and diverted the serious matters - aka Bureaucratic Shenanigans, for which you can be held personally liable for violating your authority & Principle Agent Oath of Office (ultra vires acts). Shiva Frentzen Lori Parlin Sue Taylor Don Ashton Fran DuChamp

Like · Reply · 5 hrs



Melody Lane

Founder – Compass2Truth

“A Deceived Man Does Not Know He Has Been Deceived Until He Discovers The Truth.” ~ Ron Davenport ~

From: James Williams [<mailto:james.williams@edcgov.us>]

Sent: Wednesday, August 9, 2017 3:31 PM

To: Melody Lane

Subject: Re: Please post to 8/10/17 Planning Commission Agenda Item #5 - RMP Update

Hi Melody,

Thank you for your submissions for the RMP update item. I will review the documents.

Thanks,
James Williams

On Wed, Aug 9, 2017 at 1:06 PM Melody Lane <melody.lane@reagan.com> wrote:

Please post to Legistar and the 8/10/17 Planning Commission Agenda Item #5 the attached notarized Affidavit mailed certified USPS today to Supervisor Michael Ranalli relevant to the River Management Plan.

Melody Lane

Founder – Compass2Truth

As history teaches us, if the people have little or no knowledge of the basics of government and their rights, those who wield governmental power inevitably wield it excessively. After all, a citizenry can only hold its government accountable if it knows when the government oversteps its bounds.

CALIFORNIA BROWN ACT

PREAMBLE:

"The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know. The people do not yield their sovereignty to the bodies that serve them. The people insist on remaining informed to retain control over the legislative bodies they have created."

CHAPTER V.

RIGHTS OF THE PUBLIC

§54954.3 Public's right to testify at meetings. (c) The legislative body of a local agency shall not prohibit public criticism of the policies, procedures, programs, or services of the agency, or of the acts or omissions of the legislative body. Nothing in this subdivision shall confer any privilege or protection for expression beyond that otherwise provided by law. Care must be given to avoid violating the speech rights of speakers by suppressing opinions relevant to the business of the body.

As such, members of the public have broad constitutional rights to comment on any subject relating to the business of the governmental body. Any attempt to restrict the content of such speech must be narrowly tailored to effectuate a compelling state interest. Specifically, the courts found that policies that prohibited members of the public from criticizing school district employees were unconstitutional. (*Leventhal v. Vista Unified School Dist.* (1997) 973 F. Supp. 951; *Baca v. Moreno Valley Unified School Dist.* (1996) 936 F. Supp. 719.) These decisions found that prohibiting critical comments was a form of viewpoint discrimination and that such a prohibition promoted discussion artificially geared toward praising (and maintaining) the status quo, thereby foreclosing meaningful public dialog.

Where a member of the public raises an issue which has not yet come before the legislative body, the item may be briefly discussed but no action may be taken at that meeting. The purpose of the discussion is to permit a member of the public to raise an issue or problem with the legislative body or to permit the legislative body to provide information to the public, provide direction to its staff, or schedule the matter for a future meeting. (§ 54954.2(a).)