



The Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act

El Dorado: 2016 Progress Report for Community Alliance to Reduce Truancy (CART)

In the area below, please enter program expenditures for each identified line item from each funding source incurred during Fiscal Year 2015 - 2016

BUDGETED JJCPA FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015 - 2016	\$621,236		
ACTUAL PROGRAM EXPENDITURES FOR 2015 - 2016	JJCPA Funds	Interest	Non-JJCPA Funds
Salaries and Benefits: (Help)	\$552,657	\$0	Total Non-JJCPA Funds: \$404,694
Services and Supplies: (Help)	\$6,637	\$0	
Professional Services: (Help)	\$31,377	\$973	
Community-Based Organizations: (Help)	\$0	\$0	
Fixed Assets: (Help)	\$0	\$0	
Administrative Overhead (Maximum = 0.5% of State Funds): (Help)	\$2,762	\$0	
Other: (Help)	\$0	\$0	
Fund Totals:	\$593,433	\$973	
Program Total for 2015 - 2016 Fiscal Year:	\$999,100		

Enter the number of juveniles who participated in the program during the fiscal year 2015 - 2016. Provide separate counts for those completed the program, those who were 'in progress' as the end of the fiscal year (i.e., still 'enrolled' in the program), and those who did not complete the program (i.e., failed to completed the program and are no longer 'enrolled' in the program).

NUMBER OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS	
Completed Program:	143
In Progress:	108
Did Not Complete Program:	11
Total:	262.00

Enter the approximate average length of time spent in the program by all program participants during the fiscal year.

AVERAGE LENGTH OF TIME	
Average Length of Time In Program:	182.00 Days
PER PARTICIPANT EXPENDITURES	
Funds Expended Per Program Participant:	\$3,813.00

Calculate

Progress Report Comments - Fiscal: Provide any additional information that further explains or is important to the interpretation of the expenditures identified in the progress report. Also, if a modification was approved during the reporting period, the details of the modification should be provided in this section.

The \$621,236 was an estimated amount that was "hard-coded" into this progress report, however, El Dorado County had deposits totaling \$592,212.95 in FY 15/16. The additional amounts expended in the program come from funds that were not expended in the prior year. El Dorado County Probation uses the First In, First Out

* See page 5

Progress Report Instructions: For each outcome listed in the table, report the program results in the boxes provided. REPORT NUMBERS ONLY. DO NOT INCLUDE ANY SYMBOLS, CHARACTERS OR WORDS WITH THE NUMBERS ("%," "pct.," "percent," etc.). Inclusion of anything other than numbers will result in the entry being "zeroed out."

PRIOR TO COMPLETING THE TABLE, [CLICK HERE](#) FOR SPECIFIC, IMPORTANT GUIDANCE ON PROVIDING ALL REQUIRED OUTCOME INFORMATION.

Juvenile Participant Outcome Measures

Outcome:	Arrest Rate (All Arrests)	Completion of Probation Rate	Incarceration Rate
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Percentage	A Percentage	A Percentage
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	N/A
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	While in Program
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	154	66	154
Results For Program Participants:	18.8 %	63.8 %	11.7 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	108	48	108
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	207	76	207
Results for Reference Group:	20.8 %	73.7 %	12.1 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	0

Outcome:	Rate of Completion of Restitution	Rate of Completion of Court-Ordered Community Service	Probation Violation Rate
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Percentage	A Percentage	A Percentage
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	N/A
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	While in Program
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	27	54	15-109662A 2 of 5

Results For Program Participants:	81.5 %	77.8 %	27.3 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	22	100	50
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	18	58	76
Results for Reference Group:	55.6 %	75.9 %	23.7 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	0

Outcome:	Education - Academic Achievement (Grade Point Average)	Education - Attendance - Other - Percent of Class Periods with Unexcused Absences	
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Mean or Average	A Percentage	
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	60	60	
Results For Program Participants:	2.2 Mean/Avg.	10.1 %	
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	48	48	
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	64	58	
Results for Reference Group:	1.9 Mean/Avg.	5.7 %	
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	

Progress Report Comments - Outcomes: Provide any additional information that further explains or is important to the interpretation of the results reported in the progress report, including program implementation issues, pending additional program results, supplemental evaluation findings (process evaluations, case studies, cost-benefit analysis, etc.), the results of statistical significance testing, program changes emanating from the evaluation results, etc.

OUTCOME MEASURES COMMENTS:

The year to year goal for the Outcome Measures of the CART Program is a statistical result of "no change" from the previous year. When there is a statistically significant difference between the results from the previous year, the goal is "exceeded" or "not

AA See page 5

Save as In Progress

Submit to BOC as Complete

[Return to Program Selection Screen](#)

A

PROGRESS REPORT COMMENTS-FISCAL:

The \$621,236 was an estimated amount that was "hard-coded" into this progress report, however, El Dorado County had deposits totaling \$592,212.95 in FY 15/16. The additional amounts expended in the program come from funds that were not expended in the prior year. El Dorado County Probation uses the First In, First Out (FIFO) methodology, and submits a quarterly reconciliation of the Special Revenue Fund to the Local Auditor's office. The \$404,694 expended came out of the County General Fund, for costs that were determined to be not claimable.

AA

PROGRESS REPORT COMMENTS-OUTCOMES:

The year to year goal for the Outcome Measures of the CART Program is a statistical result of "no change" from the previous year. When there is a statistically significant difference between the results from the previous year, the goal is "exceeded" or "not met" depending on whether it is an increase or decrease from the previous year. "Statistically significant" is measured in this program as an increase or decrease of five or more percentage points (+/- 5%).

For 2015-2016, six of eight Outcome Measures were either met or exceeded in the categories of Arrest Rate (all arrests), Incarceration Rate, Probation Violation Rate, Completion of Community Service Rate, Education-Academic Achievement, and Rate of Completion of Restitution. There were two Outcome Measure categories that were not met; Completion of Probation Rate, and Education Attendance. Completion of Probation Rate is measured by whether or not the youth is on probation supervision at the time they exited the CART program. As a youth can exit the program and remain on probation supervision for a number of reasons not related to recidivism (e.g., transfer to a non-CART school, graduation from school, move out of county, etc.), it is difficult to determine the cause for this change and its relationship to the success of the program. Education Attendance is measured as an average percentage of unexcused absences. The rate of increase in unexcused absences for the 2015-2016 school year was 4.4% (5.7%-10.1%). While this rate of increase is statistically significant, it does not appear to be an excessive or unusual, as a review of program outcomes over the last five (5) years shows a variation in this category from a low of 5.3% to a high of 14.9%. However, any increase in this category is concerning and will be addressed by a review of current practices to determine what improvements can be made. In contrast, although unexcused absences were up, the Grade Point Average (GPA) of program participants went from a starting average of a 1.9 GPA, increasing to a 2.2 GPA at the end of their program. An additional highlight for this program year is the Rate of Completion of Restitution was 81.5%.

See next page for Amended comments

AMENDED PROGRESS REPORT COMMENTS-OUTCOMES:

The year to year goal for the Outcome Measures of the CART Program is a statistical result of “no change” from the previous year. When there is a statistically significant difference between the results from the previous year, the goal is “exceeded” or “not met” depending on whether it is an increase or decrease from the previous year. “Statistically significant” is measured in this program as an increase or decrease of five or more percentage points (+/- 5%).

For 2015-2016, seven of eight Outcome Measures were either met or exceeded in the categories of Arrest Rate (all arrests), Incarceration Rate, Probation Violation Rate, Completion of Community Service Rate, Education-Academic Achievement, Education Attendance, and Rate of Completion of Restitution. There was one Outcome Measure category that was not met; Completion of Probation Rate. Completion of Probation Rate is measured by whether or not the youth is on probation supervision at the time they exited the CART program. As a youth can exit the program and remain on probation supervision for a number of reasons not related to recidivism (e.g., transfer to a non-CART school, graduation from school, move out of county, etc.), it is difficult to determine the cause for this change and its relationship to the success of the program. However, a statistically significant increase in any category is concerning and will be addressed by a review of current practices to determine what improvements can be made. A point of note in this year’s program was the Grade Point Average (GPA) of program participants went from a starting average of a 1.9 GPA, increasing to a 2.2 GPA at the end of their program. An additional highlight for this program year is the Rate of Completion of Restitution was 81.5%.