

Public Comment #20 BOS RCVD 11/3/2025

From: [Trudy Kilian](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors](#)
Subject: [BOS Board Item 25-1727 Proposition 50](#)
Date: Sunday, November 2, 2023 3:59:06 PM

This Message is From an Untrusted Sender
You have not previously corresponded with this sender

[Report Suspicious](#)

Correction, this is for 25-1727

Trudy C. Kilian
Cell: 530-919-0244

----- Forwarded message -----
From: Trudy Kilian trudyplacerville@gmail.com ·
Date: Sun, Nov 2, 2023, 2:13 PM
Subject: Board item 25-1727 Proposition 50
To: [edc.ohb.edcgov.us](#) ·

On October 17, 2025, I submitted a comment on an item 25-1727 before it appeared at the BOS on 10/21/25. I received assurances from the Clerk of the Board and Lori Partin that my comments had been received read. (See Footnote 1). However, there is no attachment on the BOS agenda for this item on 10/21/25. Then, the item was continued to 11/4/25. While it appears that several of the comments made by the public via email letters were attached to that continued item, mine was not.

I respectfully submit that my comments be included as an attachment for this item that is appearing on November 4, 2025. See below:

Board Agenda Item 41, October 21, 2025
Inbox
Trash

Trudy Kilian <trudyplacerville@gmail.com>

Fri, Oct 17, 11:32 AM

to edc.ohb

I have lived in Placerville since 1969 and I am writing to request that the Board of Supervisors (BOS) remain nonpartisan and not support item 41 proposed by Supervisor Tumbo.

Supervisor Tumbo recommending the Board adopt and authorize the Chair to sign Resolution 136-2025 opposing Proposition 50.

(1) This resolution presents a partisan (e.g. Republican) point of view.
(2) As referenced in the Board, Committee & Commission Handbook section referenced below, the BOS is supposed to represent the entire county, not just one political party.

This resolution discriminates against the many individuals and organizations in El Dorado County that are in favor of Proposition 50.

B. Members shall not grant any special consideration, treatment, or advantage to any person beyond that which is available to every other person in similar circumstance. No person shall be favored or discriminated against with respect to the business of the board, committee, or commission because of family or social relationships, sex, race, religion, national origin, ethnicity, marital status, age, physical disability, mental disability, medical condition, political opinion, or political affiliation. (Page 6 of 23, El Dorado County Board, Committee and Commission Reference Guide "Board, Committee & Commission Handbook" Last revised 3/8/2023

[Viewed on 10/17/25 at <https://www.edocounty.ca.gov/boards-and-commissions/edocounty-boards-and-commissions/bos-clean-vision-commission-board-handbook-revised.pdf>]

(4) There appears to be non-factual and/or politically based information in the proposed Resolution.

Respectfully submitted, Trudy C Kilian, 2821 Northridge Drive, Placerville, CA 95667

Trudy C. Kilian
Cell: 530-919-0244

Footnote 1

[BOS Clerk of the Board](#)

Hi. Your comment will be forwarded to the Supervisors and attached to the item. Thank you. Kyra Scharffenberg El Dorado County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Fri, Oct 17, 12:13 PM

BOS-District IV <bosfour@edcgov.us>

Mon, Oct 20, 9:01 AM (13 days ago)

to me

■

Thank you for your input, your email has been received by Supervisor Partin.

Shelley Wiley
Assistant to Supervisor Lori Partin
District IV Board of Supervisors
County of El Dorado
Phone: (530) 621-8513
[Sign Up for El Dorado County Email Updates](#)

From: BOS Clerk of the Board <edc.ohb@edcgov.us>
Sent: Friday, October 17, 2025 12:13 PM
To: BOS-District I <bosone@edcgov.us>; BOS-District II <bostwo@edcgov.us>; BOS-District IV <bosfour@edcgov.us>; BOS-District V <bosfive@edcgov.us>
Subject: Re: Board Agenda Item 41, October 21, 2025

FYI - 41

Thank you.

Kyra Scharffenberg
El Dorado County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
330 Foothills Building 3
Placerville, CA 95667
(530) 621-8513

Public Comment #20
BOS RCVD 11/3/2025

From: Kirsten Vincent
To: [BOS-District I](#); [BOS-Clerk of the Board](#); [BOS-District II](#); [BOS-District III](#); [BOS-District IV](#); [Brooke Laine](#)
Subject: Item 20, File # 25-1727
Date: Sunday, November 2, 2025 10:29:22 PM

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Good evening,

I am writing to urge you to not pass your resolution opposing Proposition 50. Your constituents trust you to be a nonpartisan governing body, and this proposition is clearly a partisan issue. If you pass this proposition, you are picking sides, and it will erode our trust in your ability to govern fairly. In addition, the State is clearly asking voters for their individual opinions; not other governing bodies. Your opinion as a governing body is not appropriate to share. At best, you potentially alienate nearly half your constituents. At worst, you contribute to further division and strife within your community. Please respect the will and integrity of your constituents and our democracy.

Thank you,
Kirsten Vincent
Placerville, 95667

Public Comment #20
BOS RCVD 11/3/2025

From: [Sue Taylor](#)
To: [BOS-Clerk of the Board](#)
Subject: Re: 11-4-23 Agenda Item #20. Legistar #25-1727 Proposition 50 (Date Corrected)
Date: Monday, November 3, 2025 2:32:55 AM

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Dear Supervisors,

For the sake of our rural county I ask that you support this resolution regarding Proposition 50. The Proposition being proposed is not about Texas or the United States, it is about California urban cities having dominance over rural counties. Our county is already underrepresented in Congress and this gerrymandering is intended to leave us without any representation. Having a representative, merely based on the numbers of voters in Sacramento with city values would leave our rural county without a voice. Our county is already suffering under the States unfair mandates, regulations, and overreach of forced policies that do not align with our way of life.

People rally that this is about retaining Democracy. Democracy is mob rule. Democracy is not mentioned anywhere in our Constitution. We are a Constitutional Republic, meaning representation for all, based on the rule of law. In drafting proposition 50 the State bypassed the legal process for adoption. Proposition 50 is nothing more than an excuse for Governor Newsom, who has done nothing but caused great harm to our State, to have more power and control of our rural jurisdictions.

As representatives of our rural county I ask that you do the brave thing and vote yes to support the proposed resolution and therefore protect our county against mob rule.

Respectfully,
Sue Taylor

Public Comment #20
BOS RCVD 11/3/2025

From: [Diane Primicerio](#)
To: [BOS-Clerk of the Board](#)
Subject: .legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=14898723&GUID=DEC08966-78FF-47CB-AE43-918A5B62C1CF In the subject line put: Re: 11-4-23 Agenda Item #20. Legistar #25-1727 Proposition 50
Date: Monday, November 3, 2025 7:50:13 AM

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

[Report Suspicious](#)

It is with apprehension that I address you regarding Proposition 50 and its potential disproportionate impact on our county. Our rural community needs a voice.

My biggest concern is that Proposition 50, as currently drafted, risks the existing differences between urban areas and our less densely populated regions.

We implore you to undertake an equitable assessment of Proposition 50's localized impact and
vote to support the proposed resolution. Please support to Vote No on Proposition 50.

Sincerely,
Diane Primicerio

#20 Public comment
BOS read 11/3/25



Re: 11-4-23 Agenda Item #20. Legistar #25-1727 Proposition 50

From Erika Tveter <ErikaTveter@protonmail.com>

Date Mon 11/3/2025 11:29 AM

To BOS-Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Hello —

For the sake of our rural county I ask that you support this resolution regarding Proposition 50. The Proposition being proposed is not about Texas or the United States, it is about California urban cities having dominance over rural counties.

Our county is already underrepresented in Congress and this gerrymandering is intended to leave us without any representation. Having a representative, merely based on the numbers of voters in Sacramento with city values would leave our rural county without a voice. Our county is already suffering under the States unfair mandates, regulations, and overreach of forced policies that do not align with our way of life.

People rally that this is about retaining Democracy. Democracy is mob rule. Democracy is not mentioned anywhere in our Constitution. We are a Constitutional Republic, meaning representation for all, based on the rule of law. In drafting proposition 50 the State bypassed the legal process for adoption. Proposition 50 is nothing more than an excuse for Governor Newsom, who has done nothing but caused great harm to our State, to have more power and control of our rural jurisdictions. As representatives of our rural county I ask that you do the brave thing and vote yes to support the proposed resolution and therefore protect our county against mob rule.

-Erica Tveter



Outlook

Re:11-4-23 agenda Item #20 Legistar #25-1725 Propition 50

From Robin Ritchison <robinritchison@gmail.com>

Date Mon 11/3/2025 1:26 PM

To BOS-Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Dear Supervisors,

For the sake of our rural county I ask that you support this resolution regarding Proposition 50. The Proposition being proposed is not about Texas or the United States, it is about California urban cities having dominance over rural counties.

Our county is already underrepresented in Congress and this gerrymandering is intended to leave us without any representation. Having a representative, merely based on the numbers of voters in Sacramento with city values would leave our rural county without a voice. Our county is already suffering under the States unfair mandates, regulations, and overreach of forced policies that do not align with our way of life.

People rally that this is about retaining Democracy. Democracy is mob rule. Democracy is not mentioned anywhere in our Constitution. We are a Constitutional Republic, meaning representation for all, based on the rule of law. In drafting proposition 50 the State bypassed the legal process for adoption. Proposition 50 is nothing more than an excuse for Governor Newsom, who has done nothing but caused great harm to our State, to have more power and control of our rural jurisdictions. As representatives of our rural county I ask that you do the brave thing and vote yes to support the proposed resolution and therefore protect our county against mob rule.

Respectfully,
Robin Ritchison



Outlook

BOS meeting, November 4 2025, Item 20, File # 25-1727 - Prop 50 resolution

From KC McKay <kct@juno.com>

Date Mon 11/3/2025 3:26 PM

To BOS-Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

This Message Is From an Untrusted Sender

You have not previously corresponded with this sender.

[Report Suspicious](#)

Dear Clerk,

Please add my comment on the referenced Agenda item for the November 4, 2025 meeting of the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors:

"I am writing today, AGAIN, in reference to the proposed Resolution regarding Proposition 50 on California's November 4, 2025 special election.

Not only is it highly inappropriate for the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors to take ANY position on a ballot initiative, it's ludicrous to do so on election day when most voters have either already voted or at least made up their minds. To repeat the comment I made prior to the October 21 meeting where this resolution was first proposed, each member of the BOS is free to express his or her individual opinions on anything thanks to this nation's first amendment right of Free Speech, but not as a non-partisan entity.

Resolution 136-2025 as inappropriate for this body's consideration. Please reject/remove/whatever it takes for it to be gone. I am surprised that credible counsel would have approved this in the first place."

- A Concerned Resident of El Dorado County District 4