

Findings

1.0 CEQA FINDINGS

- 1.1 Pursuant to CEQA Section 15162(b), it has been determined that no subsequent mitigated negative declaration is required because there is no substantial evidence that the conditions described in Section 15162(a) have occurred, including substantial changes to the project which would require major revisions to the previous IS/MND due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects. Additionally, no substantial changes occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project has been undertaken which would require major revisions of the previous IS/MND due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects; or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or new information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time of the previous IS/MND was adopted, shows the project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous IS/MND.
- 1.2 Pursuant to CEQA Section 15164(b), it has been determined that there is no substantial evidence requiring an addendum to the adopted IS/MND because no minor technical changes or additions are necessary and none of the conditions described in Section 15162 calling for the preparation of a subsequent IS/MND have occurred.
- 1.3 The documents and other materials which constitute the record of proceedings upon which this decision is based are in the custody of the Planning and Building Department at 2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, California 95667.

2.0 TENTATIVE SUBDIVISION MAP TIME EXTENSION FINDINGS

- 2.1 **The request for extension of the approved tentative subdivision map complies with County Subdivision Ordinance Section 120.74.030.A.**

Section 120.74.030.A allows the subdivider to request up to six (6) one-year extensions from the expiration date of an approved or conditionally approved tentative map, as allowed by Government Code Sections 66452.6(e) and 66463.5, by timely written application to the Planning Services, Planning and Building Department. The subdivider may request more than one (1) time extension at a time, up to the maximum allowed by this subsection or a development agreement applicable to the map for which the extension request is filed, but in no event shall the total time extension requested exceed six (6) years. Each application shall be filed before the approved or conditionally approved tentative map expires and shall state the reasons for requesting the extension.

Rationale: The applicant is requesting six (6) one-year time extensions and appropriate processing fees were timely filed on August 10, 2022, prior to the expiration date of the Tentative Subdivision Map of September 20,

2022. The six (6) on-year time extension request complies with Section 120.74.030.B.

Per the applicant, the time extension request would allow the applicant the time needed to complete the outstanding Conditions of Approval (COAs) required in order to submit an application for Final Map approval.

2.2 The request for extension of the approved tentative subdivision map complies with County Subdivision Ordinance Section 120.74.030.B.

Section 120.74.030.B. requires that the Planning and Building Department review the request and submit the application for the extension, together with a report, to the approving authority for approval, conditional approval, or denial. In approving, conditionally approving, or denying the request for extension, the approving authority shall make findings supporting its decision.

Rationale: The Planning Division has reviewed the time extension request for Tentative Subdivision Map TM-E22-0006/Piedmont Oak Estates, along with all submitted materials, and has submitted this staff report with recommendations for approval based on the Findings provided in compliance with Section 120.74.030.B.