

Public Comment #4

County of El Dorado Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

Bos RCUD. 8-20-21

## 8/24/21 BOS Consent Agenda #4 - Please post via Legistar/GovDelivery

1 message

Melody Lane <melody.lane@reagan.com>

Fri, Aug 20, 2021 at 3:51 PM

To: edc.cob@edcgov.us

Cc: Donald Ashton <don.ashton@edcgov.us>, joseph.carruesco@edcgov.us, lori.parlin@edcgov.us, wendy.thomas@edcgov.us, george.turnboo@edcgov.us, john.hidahl@edcgov.us, sue.novasel@edcgov.us, bostwo@edcgov.us

Please ensure the entirety of this correspondence is timely posted to the 8/24/21 BOS Consent Agenda Item #4 via Legistar/GovDelivery objecting to the step increase for COB Kim Dawson.

Melody Lane

Founder - Compass2Truth

Brown Act Preamble: "The people, in delegating authority, do not give their public servants the right to decide what is good for the people to know and what is not good for them to know. The people do not yield their sovereignty to the bodies that serve them. The people insist on remaining informed to retain control over the legislative bodies they have created."



8-24-21 BOS Consent Item 4 - Dawson Step Increase.doc



## Compass2Truth

## Citizens for Constitutional Liberty

P.O. Box 598 Coloma, CA 95613

August 20, 2021

To: Board of Supervisors, Districts #1, #2, #3, #4 & #5

CC: CAO Don Ashton

HR Director Joseph Carruesco

RE: 8/24/21 BOS Agenda Item #4 – Kim Dawson Step Increase

I am writing to object to Item #4 on the August 24, 2021 Board of Supervisors agenda where the CAO is recommending the BOS approve a salary step increase for Clerk of the Board Kim Dawson to \$8,238.53/month.

The BOS has been apprised that Ms. Dawson has violated the Brown Act Rights of the Public on multiple occasions, and in so doing she has violated her oaths of office:

"The Oath of Office is a quid pro quo contract in which clerks, officials, or officers of the government pledge to perform (Support and uphold the United States and State Constitutions) in return for substance (wages, perks, benefits). Proponents are subjected to the penalties and remedies for Breach of Contract, conspiracy under Title 28 U.S.C., Title 18 Sections 241 and 242, treason under the Constitution at Article 3, Section 3., and intrinsic fraud..."

You were also made aware that Ms. Dawson has colluded with county staff to deprive me of FOIA/Public Record Act requests for information. In particular, the most recent PRA # P003410-072821 that was initially made 7/8/21 and was due no later than 7/21/21. Therefore, pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I again asked to obtain the following documents via email:

- 1. All correspondence between county officials and Lori and Sam Parlin pertaining to the above Kniesel's Auto Body noise violations, harassment, and retaliation for the period between **January 1, 2017** through the present date of this PRA.
- 2. Documentation indicating whether this specific noise issue was resolved with or without the expense of the Parlin's hiring an acoustical consultant. If it has not yet been resolved, please so state immediately.
- 3. All policies, resolutions, and ordinances with reference to the county's newest requirement for citizens to obtain an "acoustical noise consultant" to mitigate excessive noise issues and other SUP violations.

Although the County of El Dorado claims to be "dedicated and responsive to our community", Ms. Dawson fraudulently forwarded 31 pdf documents on Friday August 13<sup>th</sup> and on Monday August 16<sup>th</sup> that were **UNRESPONSIVE** to PRA003410-072821. The documents she forwarded are <u>all duplicates of my own</u> <u>emails</u> indicating the county's flagrant mockery of citizen's rights to access the FOIA/California Public Record Act requests for information.

Consequently I demanded that Kim Dawson, **immediately** identify the specific person who gave her the directive to convey the aforementioned emails—**Tiffany Schmid**, **Lori Parlin**, **CAO Don Ashton**, **or County Counsel**. Ms. Dawson failed to respond to my inquiry as required by law, thus she demonstrated that the county has something to hide. See *U.S. v. Tweel*, "Silence can only be equated with fraud where there is a legal or moral duty to speak or where an inquiry left unanswered would be intentionally misleading."

The First Amendment guarantees the Right of free speech and the Right to petition government for **redress of grievances**, which, the oath taker, pursuant to her oath, is mandated to uphold. If she fails this requirement, then, she has violated two provisions of the First Amendment, the Public Trust and perjured her oath. By not responding, the oath taker denies the Citizen remedy, thus, denies the Citizen constitutional due process of law, as stated within the Bill of Rights. Ms. Dawson deliberately violated these First Amendment guarantees with the full knowledge and blessing of the CAO, BOS, and county counsel, thereby making you all complicit and liable.

Your knowledge of Ms. Dawson's wrongdoing and your failure to take remedial action violates numerous constitutionally secured rights and due process, including, but not limited to, my First Amendment right to petition government for redress of grievances. The BOS would be remiss in their fiduciary responsibilities to reward Ms. Dawson with a step increase for violating the public trust and her oaths. At the very least Ms. Dawson should be reprimanded and sternly disciplined.

Sincerely,

**Melody Lane** 

Founder - Compass2Truth