



RESOLUTION NO. _____

**OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE EL DORADO
COUNTY AIR QUALITY MANAGEMENT DISTRICT**

**RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE STATE IMPLEMENTATION PLAN
REVISION FOR THE 2008 AND 2015 8-HOUR OZONE NATIONAL AMBIENT
AIR QUALITY STANDARD FOR THE SACRAMENTO FEDERAL
NONATTAINMENT AREA – PROPOSED CONTINGENCY MEASURES AND
REASONED JUSTIFICATION**

WHEREAS, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“USEPA”) promulgated the 2008 National Ambient Air Quality Standard (“NAAQS”) for ozone with an 8-hour averaging time of 75 parts per billion and determined that attaining the 8-hour ozone standard is necessary in order to protect public health (Federal Register, Vol. 73, No. 60, March 27, ([73 FR 16436](#))); and

WHEREAS, the USEPA promulgated the 2015 National Ambient Air Quality Standard (“NAAQS”) for ozone with an 8-hour averaging time of 70 parts per billion and determined that attaining the 8-hour ozone standard is necessary in order to protect public health (Federal Register, Vol. 80, No. 206, October 26, ([80 FR 65292](#))); and

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Federal Nonattainment Area (“SFNA”) includes Sacramento and Yolo counties, the western portion of El Dorado and Placer counties, the southern portion of Sutter County, and the northeastern portion of Solano County (Federal Register, Vol. 77, No. 98, pages 30104-30105 (May 21, 2012)); and

WHEREAS, the SFNA air districts developed the Sacramento Regional 2008 National Ambient Air Quality Standards 8-Hour Ozone Attainment and Reasonable Further Progress Plan, which was approved by each air district’s Governing Board and submitted to USEPA on December 18, 2017; and

WHEREAS, on March 23, 2023, the USEPA published a draft guidance directing state and local agencies on how to address contingency measures in State Implementation Plans (SIPs) for ozone and particulate matter NAAQS ([88 FR 17571](#)); and

WHEREAS, on June 15, 2023, the USEPA disapproved the Sacramento Regional 2008 National Ambient Air Quality Standards 8-Hour Ozone Attainment and Reasonable Further Progress Plan, submitted in 2017, due to the proposal of inadequate contingency measures ([88 FR 39179](#)); and

WHEREAS, the SFNA air districts calculated the required One Year’s Worth (OYW) of progress reduction developed by USEPA and evaluated all control measures that could be considered as a contingency measure to satisfy the requirements of USEPA’s draft contingency measure guidance published in March 2023; and

WHEREAS, the SFNA air districts developed a draft Contingency Measure and Reasoned Justification SIP Revision (SIP Revision) that includes the determination of the SFNA's OYW of progress reductions for VOC and NO_x, the identification of VOC contingency measures committed by each SFNA air district, and infeasibility justification of NO_x contingency measures for the SFNA; and

WHEREAS, this draft SIP Revision concludes that the VOC contingency measures adopted by each SFNA air district will meet the required OYW of progress reduction for both the 2008 and 2015 and no NO_x control measure in SFNA can be identified as a contingency measure; and

WHEREAS, the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires that no project that may have significant adverse environmental impacts be adopted as originally proposed if feasible alternatives or mitigation measures are available to reduce or eliminate such impacts; and

WHEREAS, the SFNA air districts determined that the SIP Revision is exempt from CEQA under 14 California Code of Regulations section 15061(b)(3) (the general rule that CEQA only applies to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment) and under 14 California Code of Regulations section 15308 (actions taken by a regulatory agency to assure the maintenance, restoration, enhancement, or protection of the environment) and the Plan will not result in any potentially significant adverse effects on the environment; and

WHEREAS, staff published a notice of public hearing for the SIP Revision on November 8, 2024, inviting public comment and providing a 30-day period to submit written comments on the Plan; and

WHEREAS, staff of the El Dorado County Air Quality Management District, at 330 Fair Lane, Placerville, CA 95667 maintains the record of the proceedings upon which this decision is based; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors held a public hearing on December 10, 2024, and considered public comment on the proposed SIP Revision in accordance with Federal Clean Air Act (42 USC section 7410(1)) and 40 CFR 51.102; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED the Board of Directors of the El Dorado County Air Quality Management District adopts the State Implementation Plan Revision for the 2008 and 2015 8-hour Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard for the Sacramento Federal Nonattainment Area – Proposed Contingency Measures and Reasoned Justification.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the SIP Revision meets Federal Clean Air Act Section 110 requirements for state implementation plans (SIPs), and Sections 172, 176, 181, and 182 and 40 CFR Part 51 Subpart CC planning requirements for nonattainment areas.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that adoption of the SIP Revision is exempt from California Environmental Quality Act under 14 California Code of Regulations sections 15061(b)(3) and 15308.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Directors authorizes the Air Pollution Control Officer to make minor editorial and formatting changes to the SIP Revision prior to submittal to California Air Resources Board ("CARB").

BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED that the Board of Directors directs staff to forward Adoption of the State Implementation Plan Revision for the 2008 and 2015 8-hour Ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard for the Sacramento Federal Nonattainment Area – Proposed Contingency Measures and Reasoned Justification and all necessary supporting documents to CARB for submittal to USEPA as a revision to the California State Implementation Plan to satisfy the requirements of Clean Air Act Sections 172, 176, 181, and 182.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of El Dorado at a regular meeting of said Board, held the ____ day of _____, 20__, by the following vote of said Board:

Attest:
Kim Dawson
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Ayes:
Noes:
Absent:

By:

Deputy Clerk

Chair, Board of Supervisors