

OPEN LETTER TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Date: May 12, 2011

From: Sam Koch

RE: Redistricting

I would like to propose that the best approach for redistricting is a principled based approach predicated on mutual interests of constituents. All of the maps prepared so far are predicated on only a population balance approach. While maintaining a balanced population between districts is an important consideration preserving the integrity of a district is also of very great importance.

Special consideration must be given the area in and around the Lake Tahoe Basin. Of the 58 counties in California only two (2) rise to the level of *international* concern and interest that El Dorado County and Placer County share in their roll in sharing, with others, responsibility for the care of Lake Tahoe and surrounding area. This environmentally sensitive area is protected by laws and regulations enacted by four (4) counties: El Dorado and Placer in California, and Douglas and Washoe in Nevada, two (2) states: California and Nevada, as well as the Federal Government. Any action by the Board of Supervisors that impacts this unique area in any way, such as changes to supervisory districts, demands special consideration and justifies deviating from population density requirements.

In addition, there are a number of other principles that should be observed to insure that residents within a district are represented in a manner that best serves their interests. This includes not splitting a community between districts unnecessarily.

I believe that the Board of Supervisors should direct the Surveyor's office to prepare a map (or maps) based on application of a principled approach. Perhaps the following list can serve as a starting point for consideration.

1. Keep the district that includes Lake Tahoe as tightly defined as possible so as to insure that the interests specific to that region are not diluted and the representative for that region is not distracted by competing interests. Population density should not be a major determining factor for this district. The balanced population approach can easily be applied and achieved in the remaining four (4) districts.
2. Maintain the autonomy and integrity of individual communities to every extent possible. Do not unnecessarily divide any community between districts.

3. Avoid using US 50 as a district boundary.
4. Make every effort to insure that every district is comprised of both rural and residential areas. Avoid creating any "special interest" areas or districts with the exception of the Tahoe Basin.
5. Strive to achieve a layout of districts that leads easily to future adjustments to district boundaries, affords easy adjustment to achieve balanced population density, and minimizes disruption to existing representation and districts.

The current layout of supervisory districts serves as a good example of the worst possible way layout districts. The current configuration does not lend readily or easily to the redrawing of district boundaries resulting in considerable consternation in some supervisors and their constituents in the process. While vertically dividing the County into districts may initially appear to be disruptive and may not meet certain special interests, upon close examination it may well be the most reasonable approach. A vertical layout will ensure a greater balance of interests between districts, may prove to be less disruptive than other districting options presented so far, will result in a layout that simplifies all future redistricting efforts and lends to minimizing disruption to all supervisors and their constituents.

cc: Mike Applegate

Foothills Realty

3450 Palmer Drive, Suite 4-303, Cameron Park, CA 95682 Phone: (530) 677-8999



April 25, 2011

El Dorado County Board of Supervisors
330 Fair Lane, Building A
Placerville, CA 95667

Re: April 26, 2011 Agenda, Item 2, Redistricting

Dear Sirs and Madam,

I have reviewed the 5 Alternative redistricting maps and my first choice of maps is Alternative 3, and my second choice is Alternative 4.

I moved to Cameron Park in 1966 as a child, and now reside in Cameron Park with my husband and 3 sons. Additionally, my business office has been in Cameron Park continuously since 1985. For 33 years I have been in real estate sales, and since 1985 I have also done real estate development and consulting. Through my role in real estate and my work with land use matters, I have gained an appreciation for cohesive communities.

Since 2000, Cameron Park has been split into three Supervisorial Districts, they being District 1, 2 and 4. Developing land and projects, and through a separate endeavor creating and implementing a community vision, has been more difficult having to consult with 3 Supervisors on any given matter. Only map Alternative 3 places all of Cameron Park within one District and hence this map is my first choice. Map Alternative 4 splits Cameron Park into two Districts, one north of Highway 50 and the other south of Highway 50. While two Districts would be better than three, it would be greatly beneficial to have just one Supervisor looking at the whole community, its vision, and its commercial growth to take place north and south of Highway 50.

Map Alternatives 1 and 2 would keep Cameron Park in three separate Districts, and thus would not improve our situation. Another benefit for Map Alternative 3 is to provide an opportunity for each Supervisor to have representation in both rural and urban areas, and on each side of Highway 50 within any community. The down side to Map 3 is that the City of Placerville would be split to two Districts, but perhaps that element can be changed. While both Map Alternatives 4 and 5 would provide Cameron Park two Districts instead of three, I think that the Meyers area should be within the same District as the City of South Lake Tahoe, and I would rather have a split District boundary for Cameron Park that runs cleanly along Highway 50, thus I prefer Alternative 4 over Alternative 5.

I thank you for your consideration.

Kimberly Beal
Beal & Associates

~~kimberly.beal@beal.com~~

Cc: Rusty Dupray, Manager Cameron Park Community Services District
Kyle Smith, Dorado Land & Development

WHICH MAP IS THE BEST FOR RESIDENTS AND THEIR RIGHTS

m3

Alternative 3 is the map for me!! And should be for any other resident that wants to prevent gerrymandering, kingdom building, party clustering and campaign donor preferential districting! This will not be popular with the larger developers, some sitting supervisors and political pundits. It literally breaks the majority of those special interests up in favor of true and pure numbers in census blocks and allows the average county resident to be represented fairly and honestly by their choice of area of residence that will require sitting supervisors to learn all the problems and issues of the entire county and force them to make decisions based on the combined topographical and lifestyle populations of their districts, not by developer interests and donation pockets. No one map is defined to be used as of yet but the basics are there for final tweaking.

Alternative 3 is basically the map I had asked Dan Russell to produce as a curiosity for information 10 years ago as it provides several benefits to this county and ease of adjustment from each decade to the next along with other revealed benefits, not the least of which is the fair and equitable distribution of precincts throughout the county that afford the opportunity to "gerrymander" districts for kingdom building, party line clusters and voter donation blocks to be coveted by any sitting supervisor or prospective candidate for election. The adjustability will function to keep El Dorado Hills together as a community and as the population grows keep the built out sections in tact and add primarily to the southern areas towards Latrobe, and it allows for a good distribution requirements of the populations totals needed, i.e. 36,000 plus. Any other map allows for the politicalization of the counties populations.

- A. All Supervisors will have to understand the impacts of their decisions on North County through the populated and General Plan development directed Hwy 50 corridor and the South County development/agricultural issues and the District 5 change will finally require the Supervisor to be more cognizant of how to meld South Lake Tahoe into the entire county and make them a part of the whole instead of a distant step child.**
- B. The topographical, economic and future development of this county will all come in to play for every Supervisor, sitting and future and allow them to equally represent the whole county and work together for the good of all residents rather than political pundits and major developer interests.**
- C. The argument that this will alienate the community, cities, CSD's and Agricultural and topographical delineations are all the more reason to select this map. It will prevent special interests groups from prevailing over the average resident in the district.**
- D. The Alternative Map 3 will combine all communities with like interests into a district and that is one of the guidelines for defining the Census blocks into areas of similarity, i.e. Cameron Park/Shingle Springs/Rescue.**
- E. The biggest factor will be to force supervisor candidates for re-election and new candidates to learn about the entire county and they will have one long road trip to "glad hand" their prospective constituents.**

Will this force them to understand areas they have not had to understand previously? What do you think? When you hear a sitting Supervisor saying from the dais that they haven't been in that community or area for x years it behooves all of us to force the issue and request this board to do the right thing by the residents of this county and choose Alternative Map 3 for the good of everyone; not their developer and environmentally polarized friends and get off their political agendas and stop kingdom building and look out across this beautiful county and give us a break with honest and open decision making not backroom predisposed outcomes behind campaign rhetoric for ten more years!

Judith Mathat Placerville, CA 530-6-██████████

my

Cool-Pilot Hill Advisory Committee

Post Office Box 22
Pilot Hill, CA 95664

May 23, 2011

El Dorado County Board of Supervisors
330 Fair Lane
Placerville, CA 95667

Re: Redistricting

Dear Members of the Board,


The Cool-Pilot Hill Advisory Committee (CPHAC) has had an opportunity to review the five proposed redistricting maps.

We were somewhat surprised to see that in three of the alternatives (alternatives 3, 4 and 5), Highway 49 is used as a dividing line between the proposed supervisory Districts. Using Highway 49 as a dividing line will, **quite literally**, divide our two adjacent communities in half - an alternative that is completely unacceptable.

For well-over 25 years, our Committee has met on a monthly basis, providing input and feedback to our elected Supervisor. When local residents feel they aren't being heard, they come to us as a sounding board. Our two communities are within four miles of each other, and our children all go to the same elementary and middle school, which is located right between Cool and Pilot Hill. Splitting the District along Highway 49 is an ill-conceived, divisive (quite literally) approach to meeting the statutory redistricting directive.

By unanimous vote, the Cool-Pilot Hill Advisory Committee is asking that **only** alternative 1 or 2 be considered as a viable redistricting alternative. Alternatives 3, 4 and 5 must be rejected as they split our communities in two - something that will undo over two decades of cooperative effort between the residents of Cool and Pilot Hill.

Sincerely,



Larry Ring, Chairperson

cc: Mike Applegarth

M5



2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Richard Coffin

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Address: [Redacted]

POLLOCK PINES

Phone: [Redacted]

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?


Yes

No

E-mail: [Redacted]

Comment

None at this time.



m6

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: TOM MAHACH

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Address: ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~
POLLOCK PINES
95726

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes	No
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Phone: ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

E-mail: ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

Comment

WHAT WOULD THE COUNTY MAP LOOK LIKE
WITH "SEVEN" DISTRICTS AND HOW MUCH CLOSER
WOULD WE GET IN ATTEMPTING TO MAINTAIN COMMUNITY
IDENTITY AND MIND SET?
YES I KNOW THE CHARTER WOULD HAVE TO BE
CHANGED BUT THEN LOOK HOW EASY IT WAS TO WRITE
A GENERAL PLAN!

Please use reverse for additional comments



MA

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Kevin Hurtado

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

2

Address: [REDACTED]

POLLOCK PINES CA 95726

Phone: 930 [REDACTED]

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

E-mail: [REDACTED]

Comment

Redistricting needs to take into account centers of commerce & economic interest. Of particular concern to Pollock Pines is the Jenkinson Lake / Sky Park Reservoir region which is the economic lifeblood of the Pollock Pines community area. Having two supervisors who may be at odds due to other constituency needs could potentially lead to decisions adversely affecting that economic interest.

Please use reverse for additional comments



m8

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

TOM DEVILLE

Address:

[REDACTED]

POLLOCK PINES, CA 95726

Phone:

[REDACTED]

E-mail:

[REDACTED]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

2

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment

1. MUCH MORE EMPHASIS NEEDS TO BE PLACED ON KEEPING COMMUNITIES IN THE SAME DISTRICT

2. 4 OF 5 OF THE CURRENT ALTERNATIVES SPLIT UP POLLOCK PINES.

3. REMOVE CURRENT DISTRICT NUMBERS FROM LEGEND. USE A, B, C, D, E OR COLOR NAME.

4. THERE IS A NEED FOR AN AUXILIARY MAP THAT SHOWS WHAT IS BEING USED TO DESIGNATE "COMMUNITIES" - FOR EXAMPLE ZIP CODES.

5. LET THE DIFFERENCE IN POPULATION BALANCE FLOAT UPWARD TO 1% OR EVEN 3% IF NECESSARY.

Please use reverse for additional comments



2011 Redistricting Comment Form

M9

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: DAVE ALBEE

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

2

Address: [REDACTED]

Pallock Pines

Phone: 530- [REDACTED]

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

E-mail: [REDACTED]

Comment

I would like Pallock Pines (zip code 95726) to be kept as a whole to include Sly Park - Junkerson Lake

I also believe that community of interest should be kept intact rather than being split between supervisors

For example, dividing the district laterally (ie North to South) may be simple, but it randomly splits community of interests. Rural people need their representative & urban people need their

Please use reverse for additional comments



M10

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: JAN & BOB TODD

Address: [REDACTED]

Pollock Pines, CA. 95726

Phone: [REDACTED]

E-mail: [REDACTED]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

2

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment

Prefer alternative 3 + 5 - Priority is to keep
Pollock Pines whole - Issue is it occupies
both sides of highway 50 ^{on most} ~~example~~ - Go back to 1990's
districts? - Keep Sley Park Lake + Forbay
in same district - ^{Cedar Grove} Camino should stay
with PP area as well -

Please use reverse for additional comments



mil

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

Judi McCallum

Address:

~~Hammington Rd.~~
Covina CA 91709

Phone:

~~XXXXXXXX~~

E-mail:

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment

Ok, now that the Rubicon issue may be mostly solved — I like the 5 with District V north side and District II south, encompassing some of SLT — giving 2 seats back to the basin.



Please use reverse for additional comments

11-0268 3D 12 of 52



M12

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

Judi McCallum

Address:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
Carmio CA 95709

Phone:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

E-mail:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment


Since you dont offer paisley or poka dots, my choice is stripes — especially vertical stripes. They're more slimming.

My uneducated vote is for

#3

Please use reverse for additional comments

11-0268 3D 13 of 52



M16

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Grant Sears

Address: [REDACTED]

Placerville, CA

Phone: [REDACTED]

E-mail: [REDACTED]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?
 Yes No

Comment

Thank you for holding the meeting!



mi7

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

Ken Harper

Address:

[Redacted]
[Redacted]
Pollock Pines 95766

Phone:

[Redacted]

E-mail:

[Redacted]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

2

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes


No

Comment

Pollock Pines and So. Lake Tahoe have similar geography (heavily forested, hills, snow) They share similar concerns re: road clearance, fire hazards, recreation, and financial engines. The alternative 5 option seems to best meet concerns of residents in those areas. All other options ~~do not~~ set Pollock Pines off from a key economic engine; Tenkinson Lake, which could interfere with economic development of a critical area.

Please use reverse for additional comments

11-0268 3D 15 of 52



M18

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Ryan Donner

Address: [REDACTED]
Pollock Pines CA 95726

Phone: (530) [REDACTED]

E-mail: [REDACTED]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

2

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment

I'm worried that splitting up Pollock Pines is not in the best interest in the community. Having multiple supervisors for one population area is not conducive to getting certain things done in that particular area.

Splitting Jenkinson Lake from Pollock Pines economically is not productive either. The Pollock Pines area relies heavily on the lake for tourism. Having multiple supervisors does not help the whole of the community.

Please use reverse for additional comments

11-0268 3D 16 of 52



M19

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

Kathleen Newell

Address:

~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~
Shingle Springs, CA

Phone:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

E-mail:

knewell

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

2

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment


MAP #3 creates a unbalance of income demographics. As you progress east, I worry that districts become distanced from diversity, i.e. ethnicity, cultural identity, etc.

* Right NOW: I like that ^{district} 2 is inclusive of different communities — El Dorado Hills and Omo Ranch need to stay in touch. ✓

I would like to see Tahoe remain its own district... IT'S all the rest of us wrapped into one area.

Please use reverse for additional comments

11-0268 3D 17 of 52



MZO

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Thomas Schulz

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

2

Address: [Redacted]
Pollock Pines, CA 95726

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Phone: [Redacted]

Yes

No

E-mail: [Redacted]

Comment

An overlay or comparison map with topographic details of our county would clarify the unique impact of the mountain ridges, lakes, etc. that ^{uniqueness} might justify an exception to the strict compliance to population ~~size~~ numbers.

Please use reverse for additional comments



m21

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

JAMISA Fletcher

Address:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
Greenwood, CA 95635

Phone:

(530) ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

E-mail:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

4

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment

I don't like option #3. I Do like option 1 or 2. The divide needs to be one district.

Please use reverse for additional comments



M22

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

Karen Bartholomew

Address:

[Redacted]
Garden Valley, CA 95633

Phone:

(530) [Redacted]

E-mail:

[Redacted]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

4

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No


Comment

I like alternative #2; however I'd like to see it include the "Stumpy Meadows" lake. Please don't split our divide.

Personally I like the way district 4 is now - we're just 1014 under populated. I Do NOT like alternative 1, 3, 4 & 5 because it split our divide. IT would be my hope that we could stay the way it is now OR close to it.

Please use reverse for additional comments

11-0268 3D 20 of 52



m23

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: JUDY RYLAND

Address: [REDACTED]

GARDEN Valley
CA 95033

Phone: 530 [REDACTED]

E-mail: [REDACTED]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?
Yes No

Comment

I LIKE #2 WITH THE ADD ON OF STUMPY MEADOWS.

★ I DO NOT LIKE THE IDEA OF CHANGING THE SOUTH & MIDDLE FORK OF THE AMERICAN RIVER BOUNDARY FOR THE "DIVIDE".

I DO NOT THINK THAT LUMPING THE "DIVIDE" WITH ANY (CITY TYPE) COMMUNITY - i.e. EL DORADO HILLS OR CAMERON PARK WOULD BE GOOD FOR VOTING PURPOSES BECAUSE WE ARE VERY RURAL AND THEY ARE VERY CITY. JUST TRY TO IMAGINE EL DORADO HILLS VOTING FOR NO AGRICULTURE WHEN THAT IS HOW WE ON "DIVIDE" LIVE. JUST SOME THOUGHTS.

Please use reverse for additional comments
Judy Ryland
1140268 8D 21 of 52
5-10-2011



MZY

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Vincent Cal

Address: [Redacted]

Greenwood CA 95635

Phone: 50- [Redacted]

E-mail: [Redacted]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?


Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment

I do not like the idea of splitting the
Divide Alt #2



decided by Mar

m25

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

Sarah Ramsey

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Address:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Garden Valley

Phone:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

E-mail:

Comment

My definite preference (as a 30yr resident starting in Pollock Pines for a few years and here since 1981 or 2) we'd like to keep our district together - cohesive) what we'd like to do is get a supervisor that cares & listens to us.

We're hoping to keep close to our cohesive boundaries - it only makes sense.

Please use reverse for additional comments



M26

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

BRIAN BARTHOLOMEW

Address:

[REDACTED]
GARDEN VALLEY, CA. 95633

Phone:

(530) [REDACTED]

E-mail:

[REDACTED]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment


ALTERNATIVE # 2 BEST FITS WITHIN THE DIVIDE BEING INTACT AS THE COMMUNITY, BEING (FAMILY) NOT FEELING LIKE WE'RE UP FOR ADOPTION.

ALTERNATIVE # 3,4,5 REALLY SPLITS UP THE MOTHERLODE DIVIDE IN HALF, AND CREATES HARD WORKING ISSUES WITH DEVELOPMENTAL AGENCIES. OUR H2O IS STUMPY MEADOWS AND NEED TO CONTROL THAT IN DISTRICT 4.

MR. BRINER & SUPPORTING GROUP THANK YOU SO MUCH!

Please use reverse for additional comments

11-0268 3D 24 of 52



m27

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: PHILIP LIBERMAN

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

4

Address: ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
GARDEN VALLEY, CA
95633

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Phone: 530-~~XXXXXX~~

Yes

No

E-mail: _____

Comment

- ① NO ON ALT #3 - IT WILL INCREASE DOMINANCE OF URBAN SUPERVISORS IN A PREDOMINANTLY RURAL COUNTY
- ② DON'T DIVIDE THE GEORGETOWN DIVISION - KEEP IT TOGETHER
- ③ PUT ALL OF GPID (INCLUDING STUMPY MEADOW + EAST AREA) IN DISTRICT 4

Please use reverse for additional comments

11-0268 3D 25 of 52

M28



2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____

E-mail: _____

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

3

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment

A MAP THAT CREATES SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT THAT "STRIPE" THE COUNTY AND CREATE NORTH + SOUTH AREAS IN EVERY DISTRICT IS MOST DESIRABLE.

Please use reverse for additional comments



M29

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Elihu Yevelakimi

Address: ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
Placerville CA

Phone: 530-~~XXXXXXXX~~ 83267

E-mail: ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
.net

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?


Yes

No

Comment

I liked many 3 except for
Placerville being divided
Had luck!

Please use reverse for additional comments



M30

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: T Abraham

Address: [Redacted]

El Dorado Hills, CA 95762

Phone: [Redacted]

E-mail: [Redacted]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes No

Comment

The impact of redistricting on sitting supervisors should have no bearing on boundary decisions today. The issue is bigger than any supervisor, especially given that redistricting is set for 10 years + no current supervisor will sit for even half of that.

SLT + Placerville are cities with dedicated staff + resources that no other communities enjoy. I think the BOS should keep this in mind as boundaries are considered.

The time has come for the western portion of EDC (SS, CP, EOH) to have a more significant majority on the board and boundaries should support that the new

Please use reverse for additional comments



M31

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Bonnie McHane

Address: [Redacted]

GTN

Phone: [Redacted]

E-mail: [Redacted]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

4

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment


LIKE ALTERNATIVE I

keeps community of Dtn, PH,
Cool, Garden Valley, etc together

keeps Pollock Pines mainly intact

make sure to keep Stumpy Meadows
in District IV as it is the areas
water source

M32



2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

Greg Prada

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Biggs

Address:

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~
Cameron Park

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Phone:

(530) ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~

Yes

No

E-mail:

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

Comment

Like the people in EDH, most folks in CP would most benefit from the proposal worked up by Paul Ravelling (which he further has refined yesterday & today. EDH (42K) + CP (18K) are suburban areas with much in common.


Diamond Springs also likes Paul's proposal as it preserves a rural district, separate from Placerville.

Paul's ^{revised} proposal links Placerville & Pollock into kind of a "historical EDC" community of interest.

As for Tahoe including Grizzly Flat, there really is no viable alternative. The Basin can't be split & to ~~not~~ have equal population Grizzly Flat is needed, Ray Notting's preferences, not withstanding, under any of the options.

Please use reverse for additional comments

M33



2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

Shiva Fuentes

Address:

Phone:

E-mail:

shiva@calnet

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?


Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment

Please use reverse for additional comments



MUCE
From Pollock
Pines meeting
Richt

M34

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Jeanne Harper

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Address: ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
Pollock Pines 95746

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?
Yes No

Phone: (530) ~~XXXX~~

E-mail: ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

Comment

Taking Jenkinson Lake out of Pollock Pines
will have a significant economic impact on the
PP community. It's address is PP; its relationships
are w/in PP.

The livelihood of the people in any community
ought to be the primary consideration of this
process regardless of precedent.

RECEIVED
MAY 02 2011
AQMD

M35



2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Gretchen Eichar

Address: ~~XXXXXXXXXXXX~~
S. Lake Tahoe CA
96155

Phone: 530. XXXXXX

E-mail: ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes No

Comment

I prefer to keep the Tahoe Basin within one district. Without doing this there is potential for my district to be composed of interests that are too disparate to adequately get things done here. Also, would there be increased costs associated w/ 2 districts split between the Tahoe Basin + West Slope? I like the look of Alternative 3 & think there is potential for this one to work.

Please use reverse for additional comments



m36

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Karen House

Address: [Redacted]

S. Lake Tahoe

Phone: 530. [Redacted]

E-mail: [Redacted]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

5

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment

I thought Norma's point of the Federal case of the unique impact on roads should be considered

Choosing an alternative that would not put Lake Tahoe or portions w/ the same district is preferable

I hope there is a way to get more of our community to take interest than represented by turnout tonight?!

M37



2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Don Nicodemus

Address: [Handwritten address]

Phone: (530) [Handwritten number]

E-mail: [Handwritten email address]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?


Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?
Yes No

Comment

Prefer Alternative 3 because it keeps communities together. There seemed to be no real "Public Comment" sections of this meeting - comment seemed to be limited to question. It is possible to keep the towns of EDC (with the exception of El Dorado Hills) together in their own districts so let's do it. Keep the towns whole.

Please use reverse for additional comments

M38



2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Barbara Smiley

Address: [Redacted]
Cameron Park

Phone: [Redacted]

E-mail: [Redacted]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?
Yes No

On the website,

Comment

Have a pdf of the county with different screens for each map. Put in main roads & identify them. Include population figures of within city boundaries

Alternative 3 seems to ~~have~~ make the most sense with like populations being grouped.

Please use reverse for additional comments



M39

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

NORM ROWETT

Address:

[REDACTED]
EDH, 95762

Phone:

916 - [REDACTED]

E-mail:

[REDACTED]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment

PLEASE show Populations Data on
THE PROPOSED MAPS.

13th
WES
78
EDH
7/11/11

Please use reverse for additional comments



MYD

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Kevin Stankiewicz

Address: [Redacted]

El Dorado Hills

Phone: ([Redacted]) cell
+ ([Redacted]) home

E-mail: [Redacted]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes No


Comment

I like how Alternative 1 and 2 keep the "core" area of El Dorado Hills together.

I do not like how Alternatives 3, 4 and 5 ~~cut~~ split eastern El Dorado Hills from the "core" of El Dorado Hills. ↳ (Bass Lake Rd area)

I would like to see ^{two} ~~one~~ new Alternatives based on Alternative 1 and 2 that keep district 1 "core" El Dorado Hills together, and ~~adjust~~ reorganize the two districts east of El Dorado Hills (#1) into one "suburban" district that keeps Cameron Park, Shingle Springs, Letrobe, Rescue and the "left out" areas of El Dorado Hills together. The remaining area would be a "rural" district.

Please use reverse for additional comments



M41

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

Pat Friedman

Address:

[Handwritten address]

Phone:

916-*[Handwritten phone number]*

E-mail:

[Handwritten email address]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

1

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment

Please use reverse for additional comments

M42



2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: _____

Address: _____

Phone: _____

E-mail: _____

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?


Yes

No

Comment

— form needs mailing address + phone #

Please use reverse for additional comments



M43

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: Ray Baugh

Address: [scribble]
EDH 95762

Phone: 916 [scribble]

E-mail: [scribble]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No


Comment

Maps 1 & 2 look best at this point.

Good job on map.

Please use reverse for additional comments

m44



2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

FOUR SEASONS CIVIC LEAGUE -

Name: JOHN RASLEAR

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

2

Address: [Redacted]

EL DORADO HILL

Phone: 916 [Redacted]

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes	No
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

E-mail: [Redacted]
[Redacted]

Comment

PLEASE SEE PAUL REUKLINS
MAP THAT IS A ADAPTATION
OF ALT #3.

Please use reverse for additional comments



M45

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

SHARON DURST

Address:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Phone:

530-XXXXXX

E-mail:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes


No

Comment

I want alternative (2)

Please use reverse for additional comments

11-0268 3D 43 of 52



2011 Redistricting Comment Form

M46

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: SARON BARTHOLOMEW

Address: [REDACTED]

GARDEN VALLEY, CA. 95633

Phone: (530) [REDACTED]

E-mail: N/A

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?
Yes No

Comment

ALTERNATIVE # 2 IN GENERAL WITH THE EXCEPTION OF BOUNDARIES FOR CAMERON PARK GOING CITY WITH PLACERVILLE AND DISTRICT 4 PICKING UP POLLACK PINES (NORTH AREA).

THANK YOU FOR PRESENTATION.



2011 Redistricting Comment Form

M47

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: GARY BARTHOLOMEW

Address: [REDACTED]
GARDEN VALLEY, CA. 95633

Phone: (530) [REDACTED]


E-mail: [REDACTED]

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?
Yes No

Comment

GREAT CHARTS & EXPLANATIONS OF PROCESS
ALTERNATIVE 2 WITH MESSAGING LOOKS GREAT TO
ME.



M48

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

Karen Bartholomew

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

4

Address:

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

Garden Valley Ct

Phone:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

E-mail:

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment

Alternative #2 would best fit our divide & rural community. I'd like to see a little less on the ~~strong~~ Springs Common Park & add some to include the Rural Stump Meadow Lake area.

Please use reverse for additional comments



M49

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

Brian Bartholomew

Address:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
Garden Valley, CA 95633

Phone:

E-mail:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

Comment

Alternative 2 best fits our divide with the "exception" of Cameron Park. I'd like to pick up more people from Cold Springs, Kelsey, or Pollock Pines if possible & add the "Stumpy Meadows Lake area."

Please use reverse for additional comments



M50

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

JAMISA FLETCHER

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

4

Address:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
Greenwood, CA 95635

Phone:

(530) ~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

E-mail:

~~XXXXXXXXXX~~
~~XXXXXXXXXX~~

Comment

I would like to see some variation of Map #2 Atta. I do not want to see the Divide area split into more than one district. We (the divide) are one area the same with situations the same. And not the same as El Dorado, Camson Park, or even Placerville.


Please use reverse for additional comments

11-0268 3D 48 of 52

M51

2011 Redistricting Comment Form

10P2



Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name:

GERALD KALLAN

Which supervisory district do you currently live in?

2

Address:

[Redacted]

EL DORADO HILLS, CA 95762

Phone:

(916) [Redacted]

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?

Yes

No

E-mail:

[Redacted]


Comment

1) PLEASE GIVE SOME CONSIDERATION TO THE ATTACHED REVISED MAP FROM PAUL RAUVELLING.

2) HAVE GIS STAFF SHOW MORE LAYERED INFORMATION (BESIDES MAJOR ARTERIAL, ALSO LESSEK MAJOR ROADS WOULD HELP IN IDENTIFYING PROPOSED BOUNDARIES.)

Please use reverse for additional comments

MS1
20F2



Supervisor Ray Nothing
El Dorado County Gov Cent

330 Fair Lane
Placerville, CA 95667



2011 Redistricting Comment Form

M52

Redistricting comments are part of the public record. Please do not disclose any information you wish to remain confidential.

Name: AUDREY KEEBLER

Which supervisorial district do you currently live in?

Address: [REDACTED]
DORADO (mail)

Phone: EL DORADO, CA 95623
530- [REDACTED]

Would you like to be kept up to date via e-mail alerts about redistricting information?
Yes No

E-mail: [REDACTED]

Comment

After careful consideration of the five maps currently under consideration I support MAP 2 with adjustments

I suggest the following adjustments:

- ① • Move the suggested Map 2 line east and north to encompass Grizzly flat and all of Pollock Pines
- ② • To adjust for the increased population resulting from ① above, move the Cameron Park line south of 50 to include Cameron Park in District 4
- ③ • Allow the Tahoe Basin to have few citizens to represent. While it is technically correct to try to bring populations within a small percentage, in this case it doesn't make sense. Why punish everybody

Please use reverse for additional comments

52M

because of the letter of the law? Let's go now
with the spirit.

Recent article in the Nat. Democrat also
inspires me to say "~~Double~~ doubling of
supervisory representation in ~~the~~ county".

It already looks like mushrooms on hillsides
down there. Why encourage more rural
degradation by doubling their vote?

Somebody's got to (literally) draw the line
somewhere.

Please feel free to contact me if you wish/
need further input.

Alfred
~~_____~~