



EDC COB <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

---

## Letter to BOS, Re Item 35, 2/1/17 Agenda

1 message

---

**Maryann** <maargyres@comcast.net>

Sat, Feb 4, 2017 at 6:59 PM

To: John Hidahl <bosone@edcgov.us>, Shiva Frentzen <bostwo@edcgov.us>, Brian Veerkamp <bosthree@edcgov.us>, Michael Ranalli <bosfour@edcgov.us>, Sue Novasel <bosfive@edcgov.us>, Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>  
Cc: Donald Ashton <don.ashton@edcgov.us>, Roger Trout <roger.trout@edcgov.us>, Michael Ciccozzi <michael.ciccozzi@edcgov.us>

To Members of the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors,

Please find attached a letter from the Agriculture, Business and Communities – El Dorado (ABC-ED), signed by Robert A. Smart, Jr., its president, regarding your Agenda for February 7, 2017, Item 35.

We thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Maryann Argyres  
ABC-ED Secretary

---

 **ABC-ED letter.pdf**  
45K

February 4, 2017

Members of the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors

Shiva Frentzen, Chair, District II

John Hidahl, District I

Brian Veerkamp, District III

Michael Ranalli, District IV

Sue Novasel, District V

Clerk of the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors

330 Fair Lane, Placerville, CA 95667

Re: February 7, 2017 Meeting, Agenda Item 35

Dear Members of the El Dorado County Board of Supervisors,

You have or will soon receive the Intervenor's Response to the Measure E Lawsuit. We highly recommend that you continue the scheduled discussion from February 7, 2017 (Agenda item 35) to February 21, 2017 or later, in order to provide yourselves and the public time to consider the proper content of the County's response. And if needed, we recommend you ask the court and the plaintiff for an extension of time to submit the County's response.

We applaud your direction to staff as follows: (8-30-16 Item 32 #3) "Move the implementation of the voter approved Measure E Initiative forward as written and as it was before the voters". For these last five months, the County has attempted to make Measure E workable without success. While the Board may be told that it must defend against the lawsuit, your oath of office is to the Constitution of the United States and of California. Supporting an initiative that is not implementable defies the General Plan that is compliant with and required by State Law, and is unconstitutional specifically due to nexus issues.

Should Measure E survive the court challenge, we will continue to lose economic development projects that would otherwise be creating roadway capacity for their projects and for homes to be built on existing parcels. And if new development is not constructing and improving our county road system, thereby increasing capacity, how much longer can we keep adding individual homes before the roads are overly impacted and we are forced to shut down building permits? This alone should be enough to stop any consideration for the implementation of Measure E!

Measure E is even now chasing away economic development projects AND is causing the loss of employees who want to see smart and well planned growth.

Please continue your deliberation of this matter to February 21, 2017 or a later date.

Sincerely,



Robert A. Smart, Jr.  
President

Cc: Don Ashton, Chief Administrative Officer, El Dorado County  
Michael J. Ciccozzi, County Counsel, El Dorado County  
Roger Trout, Director of Development Services, El Dorado County