

#21 BOS Recd 7/15/25
25-1104

DATE DISTRIBUTION

Item 21 - Please pull from Consent Calendar and deny

DATE

7/15/25

From Sue Taylor <suetaylor530@gmail.com>

Date Tue 7/15/2025 7:37 AM

To BOS-District I <bosone@edcgov.us>; BOS-District V <bosfive@edcgov.us>; BOS-District II <bostwo@edcgov.us>; BOS-District IV <bosfour@edcgov.us>; BOS-District III <bosthree@edcgov.us>; BOS-Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Item 21 is to continue in the JPA for the South East Connector. The contract you are agreeing to was amended in 2013 after I pointed out to Jack Sweeney that the agreement allowed 4 counties to use eminent domain against a single county. There is no mention in the item of this amendment.

The county needs to not sign this agreement. There is zero benefit for our County to be in the agreement. Rather there is the possibility of huge indebtedness into perpetuity.

This agreement was to facilitate the South East Connector. Our county, being outside of the Sacramento Region, could not, and never did, benefit from government contributions that were made for that roadway.

Therefore the El Dorado County Taxpayers, with our own county funds, provided the infrastructure necessary for our part of the connector. Our section has been completed. The interchange and the road.

The only thing left in this agreement that impacts our County is if the members decide to acquire a bond for the Folsom to Elk Grove section. If this is done, we are tied to that possible billion dollar plus debt into perpetuity and will no longer have the ability to withdraw from the agreement. Why are we spending \$55,000 on this agreement when there is no benefit, but only future indebtedness for something that will create more congestion in our county than anything beneficial?

Please once and for all remove our county from this future indebtedness.

3. **Term and Termination.** This Agreement shall be effective as of the date first above written. It shall remain in effect until the purpose stated in Section 1 of this Agreement is fully accomplished, or until terminated by the vote of a majority of the Members; provided, however, that this Agreement may not be terminated, and no Member may withdraw its membership, until (a) all bonds or other instruments of indebtedness issued by the Authority, if any, have been paid in full or provision has been made for payment in full and (b) all outstanding obligations and liabilities of the Authority have been paid in full or provision has been made for payment in full.

Thank you,
Sue Taylor

LATE DISTRIBUTION
DATE

Re: Item 21 - Please pull from Consent Calendar and deny

From Sue Taylor <suetaylor530@gmail.com>

Date Tue 7/15/2025 8:21 AM

To BOS-District I <bosone@edcgov.us>; BOS-District V <bosfive@edcgov.us>; BOS-District II <bostwo@edcgov.us>; BOS-District IV <bosfour@edcgov.us>; BOS-District III <bosthree@edcgov.us>; BOS-Clerk of the Board <edc.cob@edcgov.us>

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside your organization.

Report Suspicious

Addendum to my request:

If you are unable to make the decision to remove our County from this agreement, then I ask that since there is no benefit to our county and only a benefit to the JPA that by our participation, they have a better chance of acquiring grants, then ask that the agreement be amended for a \$0 amount contribution from El Dorado County and that the JPA modify the agreement that our county would not be obligated to any debt agreements made by the JPA.

Thank you,
Sue

On Tue, Jul 15, 2025 at 7:37 AM Sue Taylor <suetaylor530@gmail.com> wrote:

Item 21 is to continue in the JPA for the South East Connector. The contract you are agreeing to was amended in 2013 after I pointed out to Jack Sweeney that the agreement allowed 4 counties to use eminent domain against a single county. There is no mention in the item of this amendment.

The county needs to not sign this agreement. There is zero benefit for our County to be in the agreement. Rather there is the possibility of huge indebtedness into perpetuity.

This agreement was to facilitate the South East Connector. Our county, being outside of the Sacramento Region, could not, and never did, benefit from government contributions that were made for that roadway.

Therefore the El Dorado County Taxpayers, with our own county funds, provided the infrastructure necessary for our part of the connector. Our section has been completed. The interchange and the road.

The only thing left in this agreement that impacts our County is if the members decide to acquire a bond for the Folsom to Elk Grove section. If this is done, we are tied to that possible billion dollar plus debt into perpetuity and will no longer have the ability to withdraw from the agreement. Why are we spending \$55,000 on this agreement when there is no benefit, but only future indebtedness for something that will create more congestion in our county than anything beneficial?