



The Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act

El Dorado: 2009 Progress Report for Community Alliance to Reduce Truancy (CART)

In the area below, please enter program expenditures for each identified line item from each funding source incurred during Fiscal Year 2008 - 2009

ALLOCATED FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2008 - 2009		\$504,575		
PROGRAM EXPENDITURES FOR 2008 - 2009	State Funds	Interest	Non-JJCPA Funds	
Salaries and Benefits: (Help)	\$ 248,410	\$ 4,872	Total Non-JJCPA Funds: \$ 403,902	
Services and Supplies: (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0		
Professional Services: (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0		
Community-Based Organizations: (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0		
Fixed Assets: (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0		
Administrative Overhead (Maximum = 0.5% of State Funds): (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0		
Other: (Help)	\$ 0	\$ 0		
Fund Totals:	\$248,410	\$4,872		
Program Total for 2008 - 2009 Fiscal Year:	\$657,184			

Enter the number of juveniles who participated in the program during the fiscal year 2008 - 2009. Provide separate counts for those completed the program, those who were 'in progress' as the end of the fiscal year (i.e., still 'enrolled' in the program), and those who did not complete the program (i.e., failed to completed the program and are no longer 'enrolled' in the program).

NUMBER OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS	
Completed Program:	282
In Progress:	185
Did Not Complete Program:	33
Total:	500.00

Enter the approximate average length of time spent in the program by **all program participants** during the fiscal year.

AVERAGE LENGTH OF TIME	
Average Length of Time In Program:	227.0 Days
PER PARTICIPANT EXPENDITURES	
Funds Expended Per Program Participant:	\$1,314.00

Juvenile Participant Outcome Measures

Outcome:	Arrest Rate (All Arrests)	Completion of Probation Rate	Incarceration Rate
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Percentage	A Percentage	A Percentage
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	N/A
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	While in Program
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	308	177	157
Results For Program Participants:	22 %	53 %	14 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	180	85	180
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	152	112	111
Results for Reference Group:	27 %	57 %	19.8 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	0

Outcome:	Rate of Completion of Restitution	Rate of Completion of Court-Ordered Community Service	Probation Violation Rate
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Percentage	A Percentage	A Percentage
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	N/A
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	While in Program
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	63	146	177
Results For Program Participants:	41 %	65.8 %	29 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	42	75	85
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	61	105	112
Results for Reference Group:	54 %	70.5 %	28 %
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	0

Outcome:	Education - Academic Achievement (Grade Point Average)	Education - Attendance - Other - Percent of Class Periods with Unexcused Absences	
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Mean or Average	A Percentage	
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	144	151	
Results For Program Participants:	2.03 Mean/Avg.	7.3 %	
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	85	85	
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	103	108	
Results for Reference Group:	2.25 Mean/Avg.	6.5 %	
Estimated Number of Additional Current Year Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	

Progress Report Comments - Outcomes: Provide any additional information that further explains or is important to the interpretation of the results reported in the progress report, including program implementation issues, pending additional program results, supplemental evaluation findings (process evaluations, case studies, cost-benefit analysis, etc.), the results of statistical significance testing, program changes emanating from the evaluation results, etc.

The number of all minors with an arrest is five percentage (5%) points less in the current year, twenty-two percent (22%) compared to twenty-seven percent (27%) in the previous year. Thus the goal of no change is exceeded for all minors.

There is a four percent (4%) difference between 2007-08, and 2008-09, for minors completing probation. The difference is not statistically significant; therefore, the goal of no change has been met.

There was a five point eight percent (5.8%) drop in minors receiving a custody commitment while on CART supervision in 2008-09. The goal of no change between the years is exceeded.

The percentage of minors who paid their restitution was lower this year as compared to the previous year; thus the goal of no change between the years is not met. However, given the economic downturn of 2008-09 it is surmised that fewer minors have paid all of their restitution, as a result of youth employment being more difficult to obtain, and the rise of goods and services have caused many families to be tighter with their finances.

The CART minors with a community service obligation this year completed it at a slower rate (-4.7%) than those compared to the previous year. However, as this is a small percentage drop the difference is not significant; therefore, the goal of no change is met.

In the current year the rate of minors having at least one probation violation arrest is only one percentage point different than the previous year. The difference is not significantly different; therefore, the goal of no change between the years has been met.

The current year minor's starting the program have a Mean GPA 0.26 lower than the previous year's students. The true difference is achievement. The current year minors start at a lower GPA and achieve a 0.27 point improvement. The previous year group started higher GPA, 2.02, however, they achieved an average 0.23 improvement. This second view shows the Current year has a stronger performance while starting at a lower point. This leads us to conclude that although there is a decrease in GPA year to year, the poorer starting performance and larger improvement accomplishes a Goal Met rating for GPA.

This program year, the CART minors have a higher percent of unexcused absences. The .8 percentage point increase during this year is not statistically significant; therefore, the goal of no change between the years is met.

The major goals of the CART program to raise academic attainment, reduce recidivism and successfully move minors off supervision are all showing positive gains. Problems with attendance are being addressed and should improve in the coming years. Data recording procedures and new focus areas, such as ancillary services, are helping CART's management to fine tune the program. The CART program should continue to prosper and grow entering the 2009-2010 academic year.

