



Fw: Redevelopment (LOSS OF PROPERTY RIGHTS) Approval coming before the Board of Supervisors ! 7-26-11 - 11:00 a.m.

The BOSTWO to: Cynthia C Johnson

07/22/2011 12:50 PM

Sent by: **Kitty J. Miller**

Cc: Suzanne Allen de Sanchez

Monday meeting

Thank you,

Ray Nutting, Chairman
El Dorado County Board of Supervisors
530) 621-5651

----- Forwarded by Kitty J. Miller/PV/EDC on 07/22/2011 12:49 PM -----

RE: Redevelopment (LOSS OF PROPERTY RIGHTS) Approval coming before the Board of Supervisors ! 7-26-11 - 11:00 a.m.

Dr. Richard Boylan to: sue-taylor

07/22/2011 12:08 PM

From: "Dr. Richard Boylan" <drboylan@sbcglobal.net>

To: <sue-taylor@comcast.net>

Sue,

Redevelopment agencies are essentially a large welfare program for developers and speculators, who either own property in the affected area, or plan to make money building the redevelopment construction which the Redevelopment Agency designates to be done.

Redevelopment agencies drain money from the general fund, be it City of Placerville, or the County. Not what we need in these hard times.

Stealing tax money from citizens who paid it for services and then hand it to big developers and speculators is ridiculous, harmful, and gross malfeasance in office on the part of Councilmen and Supervisors.

Rich

Richard Boylan, Ph.D., LLC
drboylan@sbcglobal.net
www.drboylan.com
Diamond Springs, CA 95619 USA

From: sue-taylor@comcast.net [mailto:sue-taylor@comcast.net]

Sent: Friday, July 22, 2011 11:47 AM

To: Sue Taylor

Subject: Redevelopment (LOSS OF PROPERTY RIGHTS) Approval coming before the Board of Supervisors! 7-26-11 - 11:00 a.m.

The approval for a Redevelopment Plan in the City of Placerville is coming to the Board of Supervisors for approval on Tuesday, July 26, at 11:00 a.m. This affects all property taxpayers in El Dorado County! And will be another layer of government without much oversight over the citizens of Placerville. Please attend, 330 Fairlane, Building A, Placerville, CA.

On March 11, 2011 The Taxpayers Association had a discussion on Redevelopment and the City of Placerville. Mayor Machado attended the meeting and made the statement, "Tahoe's redevelopment agency has not taken any money from their General Fund". Below is an article from Lake Tahoe News stating something different. I've linked the article.

"Although Von Klug praised the City Councils at the time for their vision and willingness to go into debt \$100 million for Heavenly Village, she failed to mention how the parking garage has never made a penny and drains more than \$50,000 a year from city coffers, and that on her watch the Redevelopment Agency took \$7.2 million from the general fund to pay for the Heavenly Village project. The general fund pays for roads, bike trails, infrastructure, those sorts of things the city is lacking."

<http://www.laketahoenews.net/2010/09/south-shore-redevelopment-yesterday-today-tomorrow/>

The reason the County Board of Supervisors are voting this City plan is because County properties are being included in the City's Plan. Jack Sweeney and Ron Briggs can not vote on this item because the amount of property they own within the Redevelopment Plan is a conflict of interest. Ray Nutting, Norma Santiago and John Knight will be the 3 voting Supervisors. I talked to Ray on July 20th and asked him his position. He said he has been told that the City will not use eminent domain and he believes that, even though the use of eminent domain is a key part of the plan - (he should read it).

Funds were diverted from the County when the City of South Lake Tahoe (SLT) created the SLT redevelopment plan.

Below is another Lake Tahoe News article which explains how the City is still receiving funds from El Dorado County, even though the developer has not paid his property tax.

"South Lake Tahoe continues to receive its share of property tax on the land from El Dorado County because of the Teeter law even though Lane isn't paying his tax bill. With the assessed value more than what it was before construction started, the city is making more on the property now in property taxes compared to when businesses were open near Stateline."

<http://www.laketahoenews.net/2010/05/potential-investor-backs-out-of-convention-center-project/>

And most recently a citizen has filed a report on Tahoe's Redevelopment agency with the Grand Jury:

<http://www.tahoedailytribune.com/article/20110718/NEWS/110719890>

No virus found in this message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 10.0.1390 / Virus Database: 1518/3781 - Release Date: 07/22/11