

2/10/2015

Edcgov.us Mail - Fwd: Skyline Drive cell phone tower

PC 2-26-15  
2 pages

Charlene Tim <charlene.tim@edcgov.us>



---

## Fwd: Skyline Drive cell phone tower

---

**Planning Unknown** <planning@edcgov.us>  
To: Charlene Tim <charlene.tim@edcgov.us>

Mon, Feb 9, 2015 at 2:33 PM

Please see public comment email.

Thanks, Debbie

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **penlyslt** <penlyslt@aol.com>  
Date: Mon, Feb 9, 2015 at 2:08 PM  
Subject: Skyline Drive cell phone tower  
To: planning@edcgov.us

NOTICE: This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential information, and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed.

Any retransmission, dissemination or other use of the information by persons other than the intended recipient or entity is prohibited.

If you receive this e-mail in error please contact the sender by return e-mail and delete the material from your system.

Thank you.

-----



**Protest Wireless Tower.docx**

12K

Penelope Lynne  
1661 Skyline Drive  
South Lake Tahoe CA 96150  
November 18, 2014

County of El Dorado Planning Services  
2850 Fairlane Court  
Placerville, California 95667

To Whom It May Concern,

I am writing in regards to a letter I received about the Special Use Permit **S14-0009/Cell Tower Skyline Drive** requesting yet another hearing from the El Dorado Planning Commission in order to erect a second cell phone tower next to an already existing one. I again want to go on record as a resident of this area who protests the proposed structure of a wireless 113-foot structure with accompanying antennas, equipment shelter, related ground equipment, HCAV units, sound barrier walls and wood fence, on the property identified by Assessor's Parcel Number 081-102-01. In spite of the proposed changes offered by Shore 2 Shore Wireless as the representatives for AT&T, their proposal still includes the aforementioned cell phone tower.

There is ongoing research about the threat to human safety and health from such structures. Since the arguments pro and con are abundant, I cannot understand why our residential neighborhood should allow such a risk to be present where we are living. I also am concerned that these structures are known to lower property values. I also cannot understand why if cell phone towers are not allowed too close to a school, why one is being considered in a neighborhood with many small children living all around the proposed site. Living in this mountainous area as we do, I trust there are other less-populated sites that can be used instead of Skyline Drive.

Thank you for the opportunity to again protest this event. Because I missed the last hearing due to a health problem, I hope to attend the public hearing on February 26, 2015, and appreciate the opportunity to protest in person.

Sincerely,

Penelope Lynne