

INRMP

#48

BOS 11-18-08

I cannot support the staff item as submitted! It does not embody the motion made on July 10, 2007 item 37 file 06-1471; Nor does it embody the motion of July 22, 2008 nor September 23, 2008. Further we are making significant cuts to staff and should reflect those in work being requested of the staff and proposed consultants. We also must be certain that PAWTAC and ISAC play a more significant part in the INRMP effort. Also we are working with Fish and Wildlife Service and Fish and Game department to resolve the endangered species issues. For these reasons it seems we should take this matter in steps. Therefore I offer the following motion:

Refer this matter back to PAWTAC and ISAC to work with staff to create and RFP to review the need for connectivity including, consideration of the IBC consistent with General Plan policy 7.4.2.9. The committees need to help staff select identifiers and indicator species that would define the need or lack of need for connectivity. After such committee help, the staff is directed to return to the Board with an RFP by or on February 24, 2009

Submitted by Jack Sweeney Supervisor District 3

Submitted by Supervisor
Sweeney
at Board Hearing of 11/18/08