The Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act

Program Selection

El Dorado County Programs

Program Name	Progress Reports		
	October 15, 2005	October 15, 2006	October 15, 2007
Community Alliance to Reduce Truancy (CART)	**Approved**	**Approved**	**Submitted to BOC**

In addition to individual program outcomes and goals, some approved JJCPA plans include outcomes and goals for the county's overall Juvenile Justice Strategy. Complete this section if your approved plan includes such Strategic Outcomes.

Strategic Outcomes	Progress Reports		
	October 15, 2005	October 15, 2006	October 15, 2007
View Strategic Outcomes			

All counties are required to report results for the outcome of "Juvenile Arrest Rate per 100,000 Population. Provide all information requested with submission of each progress report.

Juvenile Arrest Rate Per 100,000	Progress Reports		
	October 15, 2005	October 15, 2006	October 15, 2007
Juvenile Arrest Rate Per 100,000 Information	**Approved**	**Approved**	**New**

Field Rep. Contact Information	Contact Phone	Contact Email Address
Aaron Long		**aaron.long@cdcr.ca.gov**

Log Out of JJCPA

The Juvenile Justice Crime Prevention Act

El Dorado: 2007 Progress Report for Community Alliance to Reduce Truancy (CART)

In the area below, please enter program expenditures for each identified line item from each funding source incurred during Fiscal Year 2006 - 2007

ALLOCATED FUNDS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2006 - 2007	$543,745		
PROGRAM EXPENDITURES FOR 2006 - 2007	State Funds	Interest	Non-JJCPA Funds
Salaries and Benefits: (Help)	$510,000	$9,351	Total Non-JJCPA Funds: $30,588
Services and Supplies: (Help)	$10,000	$0	
Professional Services: (Help)	$23,745	$9,000	
Community-Based Organizations: (Help)	$0	$0	
Fixed Assets: (Help)	$0	$0	
Administrative Overhead (Maximum = 0.5% of State Funds): (Help)	$0	$0	
Other: (Help)	$0	$0	
Fund Totals:	**$543,745**	**$18,351**	**$30,588**
Program Total for 2006 - 2007Fiscal Year:	**$592,684**		

Enter the number of juveniles who participated in the program during the fiscal year 2006 - 2007. Provide separate counts for those completed the program, those who were 'in progress' as the end of the fiscal year (i.e., still 'enrolled' in the program), and those who did not complete the program (i.e., failed to completed the program and are no longer 'enrolled' in the program).

NUMBER OF PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS	
Completed Program:	244
In Progress:	160
Did Not Complete Program:	40
Total:	**444.00**

Enter the approximate average length of time spent in the program by **all program participants** during the fiscal year.

AVERAGE LENGTH OF TIME	
Average Length of Time In Program:	177.00 Days
PER PARTICIPANT EXPENDITURES	
Funds Expended Per Program Participant:	**$1,335.00**

Progress Report Comments - Fiscal: Provide any additional information that further explains or is important to the interpretation of the expenditures identified in the progress report. Also, if a modification was approved during the reporting period, the details of the modification should be provided in this section.

Progress Report Instructions: For each outcome listed in the table, report the program results in the boxes provided. REPORT NUMBERS ONLY. DO NOT INCLUDE ANY SYMBOLS, CHARACTERS OR WORDS WITH THE NUMBERS ("%," "pct.," "percent," etc.). Inclusion of anything other than numbers will result in the entry being "zeroed out."

PRIOR TO COMPLETING THE TABLE, CLICK HERE FOR SPECIFIC, IMPORTANT GUIDANCE ON PROVIDING ALL REQUIRED OUTCOME INFORMATION.

Juvenile Participant Outcome Measures

Outcome:	**Arrest Rate (All Arrests)**	**Completion of Probation Rate**	**Incarceration Rate**

Juvenile Participant Outcome Measures

Outcome:	**Arrest Rate (All Arrests)**	**Completion of Probation Rate**	**Incarceration Rate**
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Percentage	A Percentage	A Percentage
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	N/A
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	While in Program
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	284	125	113
Results For Program Participants:	16.5 %	52.8 %	9.7 %
Estimated Number of **Additional Current Year** Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	160	88	67
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	159	116	112
Results for Reference Group:	22.6 %	60.3 %	16.1 %
Estimated Number of **Additional Current Year** Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	0

Outcome:	**Rate of Completion of Restitution**	**Rate of Completion of Court-Ordered Community Service**	**Probation Violation Rate**
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Percentage	A Percentage	A Percentage
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County

If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	N/A
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	While in Program
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	200 Days
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	53	101	125
Results For Program Participants:	56.6 %	62.4 %	20 %
Estimated Number of **Additional Current Year** Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	30	60	72
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	60	104	116
Results for Reference Group:	61.7 %	76 %	25 %
Estimated Number of **Additional Current Year** Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	0

Outcome:	**Education - Academic Achievement (Grade Point Average)**	**Education - Attendance - Other - Percent of Class Periods with Unexcused Absences**	
Outcome Measure Expressed as:	A Mean or Average	A Percentage	
Program Goal or Expectation for Outcome Measure:	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	No Change (Relative Goal) : 0	
Goal Expressed With Reference to:	Prior Program Participants in the County	Prior Program Participants in the County	
If Goal for Outcome Measure is Expressed with Reference to Conduct of Program Participants at an Earlier Point in Time, What is the Earlier Time Period?	N/A	N/A	
Goal for Outcome Measure Refers to Conduct of Program Participants During Following Time Period:	While in Program	While in Program	

Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Program Participants:	200 Days	200 Days	
Approximate Length of Time in Days Represented by Results for Reference Group:	200 Days	200 Days	
Number of Program Participants for Whom Results Reported:	107	65	
Results For Program Participants:	2.27 Mean/Avg.	10.42 %	
Estimated Number of **Additional Current Year** Program Participants for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	67	67	
Number of Subjects in Reference Group :	109	59	
Results for Reference Group:	2.19 Mean/Avg.	9.14 %	
Estimated Number of **Additional Current Year** Reference Group Subjects for Whom Results Will Be Reported Next Year:	0	0	

Progress Report Comments - Outcomes: Provide any additional information that further explains or is important to the interpretation of the results reported in the progress report, including program implementation issues, pending additional program results, supplemental evaluation findings (process evaluations, case studies, cost-benefit analysis, etc.), the results of statistical significance testing, program changes emanating from the evaluation results, etc.

For the 2006/2007, data analysis, the CART program participation has been divided into two tiers. Tier I encompasses probation minors (informal supervision, probation/wardship supervision, & deferred entry of judgment supervision) assigned to the CART School Resource Officer (SRO). Tier II encompasses minors who received ancillary services from the SRO during the year, and are minors not on probation supervision or are a minor on probationer not assigned to the SRO's caseload. As all minors receiving services from JJCPA funding must be included in the annual statistics, both tiers are reported in hypotheses 1: Arrest, 2: Probation Completion, and 6: Probation Violations. The Tier II minors are not included in the reporting of hypotheses 4: Restitution completion rate, and 5: Court ordered community service completion rate as they are not probationers. Also, education information is not available for the Tier II minors and they are not included in hypotheses 7: GPA or 8: Attendance.
Information is reported in two distinct modes, All minors and Probation Supervision Minors.

1. Arrest rate
All Minors
The number of all minors with an arrest is significantly less in the current year, 16.5% compared to 22.6% in the previous year, 6.1% less. Thus the goal of no change is exceeded for all minors.

2. Completion of Probation
Only 16 Ancillary minors were on probation at the time of contact. The non probationers cannot complete probation, thus they will be removed from the test, N=201. There is a 7.5% between 2005-06 and 2006-07 for minors completing probation. The difference though noteworthy, is not statistically significant, thus the goal of no change between the years is met.

Probation Supervision Minors
There is a 6.7% difference between 2005-06 and 2006-07 for minors completing probation. The difference though noteworthy, is not statistically significant, thus the goal of no change between the years is met.

3. Incarceration Rate
Probation Supervision Minors Only
6.4% fewer minors received a commitment during their program in 2006-07. The goal of no change between the years is exceeded.

4. Paying Restitution
Probation Supervision Minors Only
The percent of minors with a restitution obligation fell to 46.9% for the current year compared to 53.6% for the previous year. A slightly lower percentage paid all their restitution for the current year. This difference is not significant and thus the goal of no

change between the years is met.

5. Completing all Work/Community Service
Probation Supervision Minors Only
In the current year 102 of the 113 minors have a work/community service order. Minors who have a community service obligation this year completed their obligations at a lower rate by 13.6% than the previous year's sample. The goal of no change is not met. It is believed this lower rate of community service completion is partially explained by the high rate of community service completion demonstrated in the previous year (2005/2006), which was due to CART minors completing community service in a department supervised work program. This supervised work program was not available to CART participants in 2006/2007, resulting in fewer opportunities for them to complete community service.

6. Probation Violations
Probation Supervision Minors Only
In the current year the rate of minors having at least one probation violation arrest has fallen 5% compared to the previous year. An even greater decrease is the average number of probation violations. For the current year the average is only 0.24 compared to 0.39, an average 0.15 fewer. While these are noteworthy decreases, neither the percent's Chi Square nor the mean's ANOVA resulted in a significant difference. Therefore, the goal of no change was met.

7. Grade Point Average
Probation Supervision Minors Only
There is only a slight increase of 0.07% in grade point average at the end of the program between the two years, thus the goal of no change between the years is met.

8. Unexcused Classes
Probation Supervision Minors Only
This program year, the probationary minors have a slightly higher percent of unexcused absences. The 1.28% change during this year is not statistically significant therefore the goal of no change between the years is met.
Of the eight (8) hypotheses all but one (1) were met or exceeded. Results indicate that CART's goal of meeting or surpassing the outcomes of last year's equally successful program, while difficult, was attained. The major goals of the CART program to lower truancy, improve attendance, raise academic achievement, reduce recidivism to have minors complete probation supervision successfully are all showing positive gains. Data recording procedures and new focus areas, such as ancillary services, are helping to better understand the impact the CART program has on the community. It is believe the CART program will continue to prosper in the 2007-2008, academic year.

Return to Program Selection Screen