

**Fiscal Year 2014/2015 Addendum to Memorandum of Understanding
Department of Justice
Electronic Recording Delivery System
Costs for Regulation and Oversight**

Parties

This Addendum to the Memorandum of Understanding (Addendum) is between the California Department of Justice, hereinafter referred to as "DOJ" and the County of El Dorado, hereinafter referred to as "County."

Incorporation by Reference of MOU

Both County and DOJ agree that the terms of the MOU, previously executed, continue to operate and are incorporated herein by reference.

Purpose

The purpose of this Addendum is to continue the agreement found in the MOU previously executed by the parties to comply with the Electronic Recording Delivery Act of 2004 (ERDA) (Gov. Code, §§ 27390-27399; "Act"). This Addendum shall operate to bind the parties to the final proportionate costs to the County for fiscal year 2014/15. These costs include the costs for regulation and oversight.

Agreement

DOJ and County hereby consent and agree that County will pay DOJ for the direct cost of regulation and oversight in support of the Act, as set forth in Article 6 (commencing with Section 27390) to Chapter 6 of Division 2 of Title 3. The final proportionate cost for fiscal year 2014/15 is attached and incorporated by reference. Upon receipt of payment, the County is authorized to participate in the Electronic Recording Delivery System (ERDS).

Term of Addendum

The term of this Addendum operates for fiscal year 2014/15.

Faint mirrored text from the reverse side of the page, including "ATTENTION: James S. Mitchell" and "Chief of the Board of Supervisors".

MOU Representatives

The Addendum representatives during the term of this Addendum are:

County of: El Dorado
Name:
Phone:
Fax:
E-mail:

Department of Justice
Name: Michelle N. Mitchell
Phone: (916) 227-1127
Fax: (916) 227-0595
E-Mail: michellen.mitchell@doj.ca.gov

Agreed and Accepted

Certification of Addendum Representatives

I certify that I have read and understand the aforementioned statements and agree to comply with the requirements contained herein:

County of: El Dorado
Name: *Norma Santiago, Chair*

Department of Justice
Name: Michelle N. Mitchell

Signed: *Norma Santiago*

Signed: *Michelle Mitchell*

Dated: *9/30/14*

Dated: *10/17/14*

Attachments: Final Proportionate Costs:
Expenditure Report:

Attachment A
Attachment B

ATTEST: James S. Mitrison
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

By *Marcie MacFarland*
Marcie MacFarland, Deputy Clerk

2014-2015 Final Proportionate Cost Report

<i>County Code</i>	<i>County Name</i>	<i>Recordings*</i>	<i>% of Recordings</i>	<i>Final County Cost **</i>
1	Alameda	396,046	4.82%	\$6,509.66
4	Butte	59,943	0.73%	\$985.26
7	Contra Costa	347,252	4.23%	\$5,707.65
9	El Dorado	64,872	0.79%	\$1,066.28
10	Fresno	175,082	2.13%	\$2,877.76
15	Kern	210,602	2.56%	\$3,461.58
19	Los Angeles	1,814,003	22.07%	\$29,816.07
21	Marin	90,409	1.10%	\$1,486.02
24	Merced	23,656	0.29%	\$388.82
27	Monterey	90,399	1.10%	\$1,485.85
28	Napa	43,367	0.53%	\$712.81
29	Nevada	41,822	0.51%	\$687.41
30	Orange	719,978	8.76%	\$11,834.00
31	Placer	118,634	1.44%	\$1,949.94
33	Riverside	602,649	7.33%	\$9,905.51
34	Sacramento	447,251	5.44%	\$7,351.29
35	San Benito	15,472	0.19%	\$254.31
36	San Bernardino	256,144	3.12%	\$4,210.14
37	San Diego	736,140	8.96%	\$12,099.65
38	San Francisco	262,299	3.19%	\$4,311.31
39	San Joaquin	162,521	1.98%	\$2,671.30
41	San Mateo	206,563	2.51%	\$3,395.20
42	Santa Barbara	98,302	1.20%	\$1,615.75
43	Santa Clara	536,829	6.53%	\$8,823.65

* Recordings are based on what the counties submitted to the Insurance Commissioner in 2013 per the LOI.

** The total documents recorded and filed by the participating counties, as reported to the Office of the Insurance Commissioner pursuant to Section 27296 of the Government Code, for the previous calendar year; A percentage figure will be calculated, by dividing the total documents recorded per participating county, by the total documents recorded for all participating counties; The percentage figure is applied to the estimated annual costs of the ERDS Program to arrive at each participating county's System Administrative Fee.

<i>County Code</i>	<i>County Name</i>	<i>Recordings*</i>	<i>% of Recordings</i>	<i>Final County Cost **</i>
44	Santa Cruz	70,359	0.86%	\$1,156.46
45	Shasta	51,851	0.63%	\$852.25
48	Solano	135,085	1.64%	\$2,220.34
49	Sonoma	124,738	1.52%	\$2,050.27
52	Tehama	16,426	0.20%	\$269.99
54	Tulare	82,243	1.00%	\$1,351.80
56	Ventura	217,178	2.64%	\$3,569.67
Total		8,218,115		\$135,078.00

* Recordings are based on what the counties submitted to the Insurance Commissioner in 2013 per the LOI.

** The total documents recorded and filed by the participating counties, as reported to the Office of the Insurance Commissioner pursuant to Section 27296 of the Government Code, for the previous calendar year; A percentage figure will be calculated, by dividing the total documents recorded per participating county, by the total documents recorded for all participating counties; The percentage figure is applied to the estimated annual costs of the ERDS Program to arrive at each participating county's System Administrative Fee.

PROJECTIONS
ERDS Expenditure/Collections Report

COLLECTIONS

YTD Collections (November 2004 through June 2014)	3,614,197
Interest on Collections	44,029
Total Collections	3,658,226

EXPENDITURES

Summary of ERDS Program Expenditures (November 2004 through June 2014)	3,395,455
2014-15 ERDS Projected Expenditures	222,928
1/ Expenditure Credit Applied to (2014-15) for Subsequent Years (2013-14)	(87,350)
2014-15 Projected MOUs	135,078

1/ Expenditures credits will be applied one year in arrears to allow for fiscal year liquidation.

