

PC 12-9-21
Planning Department <planning@edcgov.us>

3

1 page

Cannabis and Corrections

1 message

nancywws@aol.com <nancywws@aol.com>
Reply-To: nancywws@aol.com
To: "planning@edcgov.us" <planning@edcgov.us>

Fri, Dec 3, 2021 at 9:08 PM

Clerk of the Planning Commission

Please include my comments in the record regarding item 21-1899, item 21-1660, item 21-1900 and item 21-1903

21-1899: It is my hope that the Planning Commission recognizes that this is another example of El Dorado County acceding to the financial wants of developers in detriment to the goals of the General Plan to provide housing for those who cannot afford a single family home. While I cannot keep up with all the activity in the county concerning housing and the General Plan Promises, I do try to remind those in charge that they are here to carry out the goals of that Plan and not the goals of the developers. Why even have a General Plan when this sort of manipulation is approved by those promising to move this county into compliance. It would be a relief to know exactly what progress has been made in the effort to provide the State expectation of some thousand or two housing spots for our low income humans beings. Please think of this in contrast to developer goals and do the right thing. Vote against more single family homes on parcels allocated for multi-family high density residential. Please vote against turning Open Space into housing for single family development.

21-1660: I had to research Green Valley Station and it's location. The company calls the neighborhood high income and gentrifying. It's too bad it is already a strip mall. I think this is a better fit than Cool would ever be.

Cannabis items: There are three proposed sites for three cannabis vendors. I have only two concerns, since this would be very lucrative for the county in tax revenue. Why 3 stores in 2 contiguous areas? Sounds like overkill. Why not grant one business the go ahead and see how it affects the communities in which it is situated. Just a short term observation to allow for putting on the brakes if it doesn't look good. Concern #2: It appears from their names that two of the companies may be headquartered outside of El Dorado County. One company has actual persons named and might be local, I don't know. I would hate to see the fat cats take the money out of our county while a possibly local business, maybe a small business, is crowded out by competition. I have heard about the high earning corporations that eventually have control over all aspects of their business. Pretty soon it all happens outside of the county and we lose out. If business #3 is a small local business, let's support them instead of the big guys.

Thank you for your consideration,

Nancy DeRodeff
Quintette, CA
Tax Payer and Voter

21-1660 Public Comment

PC Rcvd 12-03-21